

# **Discours**

Revue de linguistique, psycholinguistique et informatique

## **Evaluation form**

*Section for the editorial  
board*

---

**Name of reviewer:**

**Deadline:**

---

**Title of article:**

---

### **Reviewer status**

- The reviewer prefers to remain anonymous.
  - The reviewer authorises the journal to communicate his/her identity if this is explicitly requested by the author.
- 

### **Reviewer's familiarity with the field**

- High
  - Average
  - Low
- 

**Confidential remarks for the editorial board (*optional*) :**

# **Discours**

Revue de linguistique, psycholinguistique et informatique

## **EVALUATION FORM**

*Section for the editorial board and authors*

---

**Title of the article submitted:**

---

**Recommendation:**

- Publishable
  - as it stands
  - after minor revisions
  - after major rewriting (not requiring resubmission)
- Revise and resubmit
- Reject

**Assessment of scientific value**

- Important and original contribution, breaks new ground (in theory, epistemology, methodology, etc.)
- Significant contribution, or perceptive restructuring of existing knowledge (interpretation, method, results, etc.)
- Minor contribution
- Negligible or non-existent contribution
- Difficult to assess in the current state of the manuscript

**Further remarks on the significance of the contribution (*optional*):**

# Discours

Revue de linguistique, psycholinguistique et informatique

## Writing Style

*General features (clarity of argument, style, readability)*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Mediocre, some obscurities
- Poor, difficult to understand
- Other:

## *Organization*

- Appropriate
- Inappropriate, needs revising
  - o Lacks clear development
  - o Introduction inadequate, irrelevant, not clear, etc.
  - o Imbalance between the different sections
  - o Insufficient linking between sections
  - o Conclusion inadequate, irrelevant, not clear, etc.
  - o Other:

---

## *Length of the manuscript*

- Appropriate
- Inappropriate
  - o Verbose
  - o Too succinct
  - o Other:

## *References*

- Appropriate
- Inappropriate, needs revising
  - o Inaccurate (errors, references omitted, etc.)
  - o Insufficient (important or key work on the topic is missing)
  - o Over-long, needs pruning
  - o Other: not in correspondence with the style guide

# **Discours**

Revue de linguistique, psycholinguistique et informatique

---

## **If empirical data are reported:**

- The research design
  - ◇ is appropriate and clearly described
  - ◇ is in need of improvement, please specify why:
  
- The population (subjects and items)
  - ◇ the choice of the population is appropriate and clearly described
  - ◇ the population or its description is in need of improvement, please specify why:
  
- Data analysis
  - ◇ data analysis procedures are appropriate and clearly described
  - ◇ statistical tests are appropriate, and are reported correctly and appropriately
  - ◇ is in need of improvement, please specify why:

Other comments :

Other comments and suggestions for the author(s)