**Farhang-e nemād-hā va nešāne-hā dar andīše-ye
Mowlānā. Tehrān, Sorūš, 1383/2004, xii + 888 p.**

Franklin Lewis

Édition électronique

URL : <http://journals.openedition.org/abstractairanica/21201>

DOI : 10.4000/abstractairanica.21201

ISSN : 1961-960X

Éditeur :

CNRS (UMR 7528 Mondes iraniens et indiens), Éditions de l'IFRI

Édition imprimée

Date de publication : 15 mai 2007

ISSN : 0240-8910

Référence électronique

Franklin Lewis, « Farhang-e nemād-hā va nešāne-hā dar andīše-ye Mowlānā. Tehrān, Sorūš, 1383/2004, xii + 888 p. », *Abstracta Iranica* [En ligne], Volume 28 | 2007, document 355, mis en ligne le 18 septembre 2007, consulté le 25 septembre 2020. URL : <http://journals.openedition.org/abstractairanica/21201> ; DOI : <https://doi.org/10.4000/abstractairanica.21201>

Ce document a été généré automatiquement le 25 septembre 2020.

Tous droits réservés

Farhang-e nemād-hā va nešāne-hā dar andīše-ye Mowlānā. Tehrān, Sorūš, 1383/2004, xii + 888 p.

Franklin Lewis

- 1 This reference work of “symbols and signs” in the poetry of Mowlānā Jalāl al-Dīn Rūmī contains over three hundred entries of widely varying length, alphabetically arranged. It is preceded by an introduction (pp. 1-8), and followed by a brief bibliography of 36 sources (pp. 887-88). Though described as a “dictionary” (*farhang*), it is neither particularly exhaustive, nor completely systematic. The headwords consist of nouns and proper names, many of which can be conceptually grouped as pertaining to flora and fauna; mythical creatures and literary figures; social characters and types; prophets and historical figures; nature, natural phenomena and the elements of the physical world; geography and topography; time and the seasons; religious occasions and symbols; human features; manmade objects; abstract concepts, and so on. Entries typically consist of a brief definition of the headword and a sentence or more explaining metaphorical and symbolic usages, amply illustrated by quotations (predominantly from the *Maṭnavī*, but drawing occasionally upon the *Dīvān* or the prose sources of Rūmī and Šams Tabrīzī), usually given at enough length to establish context. In the absence of any index or system of cross-references, readers may find that the headwords are not always the most intuitive or satisfactory choices of rubric: the pairs *mağz/qešr* and *šūrat/ma‘nī* lack their own entries, coming instead under the headword ‘*Pūst*’; the word *qīyāmat* does not appear as a separate entry, but occurs as a subheading under the entry for ‘*Rūz*’ (pp. 483-4).
- 2 Rūmī has often been accused of presenting his teachings unsystematically, or in a dynamic and open-ended way that makes encapsulation and categorization difficult. This is neither the first nor the only work to attempt some systemization of Rūmī’s thought (see, e.g., Karīm Zamānī’s topical *Maṭnavī* commentary, *Mīnāgar-e ‘ešq* [Tehrān, Našr-e Ney, 1382/2003]), but approaching the task through symbols and metaphors seems methodologically promising, as it gives refreshing attention to the poet’s

language and its literary and symbolic dimensions, making for a sometimes helpful resource.

INDEX

Thèmes : 11.1.1. Littérature persane classique

AUTEURS

FRANKLIN LEWIS

University of Chicago