« Ulama and Fatwas », in : Kayoko Hayashi and Tomoko Masuya, eds., *Documentation and Representation: Historical Materials from the Muslim World*. Tokyo, University of Tokyo Press, 2005, pp. 171-192 [in Japanese].

Akihiko Yamaguchi

Édition électronique

URL : <http://journals.openedition.org/abstractairanica/28562>

DOI : 10.4000/abstractairanica.28562

ISSN : 1961-960X

Éditeur :

CNRS (UMR 7528 Mondes iraniens et indiens), Éditions de l'IFRI

Édition imprimée

Date de publication : 15 mai 2008

ISSN : 0240-8910

Référence électronique

Akihiko Yamaguchi, « « Ulama and Fatwas », in : Kayoko Hayashi and Tomoko Masuya, eds., *Documentation and Representation: Historical Materials from the Muslim World*. Tokyo, University of Tokyo Press, 2005, pp. 171-192 [in Japanese]. », *Abstracta Iranica* [En ligne], Volume 29 | 2008, document 196, mis en ligne le 15 septembre 2008, consulté le 26 septembre 2020. URL : <http://journals.openedition.org/abstractairanica/28562> ; DOI : <https://doi.org/10.4000/abstractairanica.28562>

Ce document a été généré automatiquement le 26 septembre 2020.

Tous droits réservés

« Ulama and Fatwas », in : Kayoko Hayashi and Tomoko Masuya, eds., *Documentation and Representation: Historical Materials from the Muslim World*. Tokyo, University of Tokyo Press, 2005, pp. 171-192 [in Japanese].

Akihiko Yamaguchi

- 1 *Fatwā* has been one of the most important contact points between ulama and the populace in Islamic society. This article, which limits itself to early modern Iran, analyzes issuers and forms of *fatwā*, and its values as a historical source. First, the author surveys actual conditions of the issue of *fatwā* in the Safavid and Qajar periods. According to the author, some *fatwā* were certainly issued under the Safavids, but their issuers, *muftī*, were never bureaucratized (unlike the Ottoman Empire, in which almost all ulama were organized in a hierarchical institution known as *‘Ilmiye*). In Qajar time, ulama had become much more independent from the State and they presented their decisions and legal opinions, both of them called *ḥokm*, without any restraints from the State authorities. Moreover, Kondo classifies these legal documents of the Qajar period into three types according to their formats: a) *fatwā* type, b) question-and-answer type, c) deed type. By analyzing some documents of types b) and c), the author clearly highlights social roles played by Qajar ulama in their daily business.

INDEX

Thèmes : 4.2.1. Safavides et Qâjârs

AUTEURS

AKIHIKO YAMAGUCHI

University of Sacred Heart – Tokyo