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At the beginning of the article, Maeda states his opinion that many Safavid ǧolāms were far from severing all ties with their homeland: here the Author agrees with the views of other modern Safavid scholars but he (rightly, in the opinion of the present reviewer) contradicts what one often reads in the scholarly literature concerning military slavery in the Muslim world in general. Maeda is also correct in stating that “by introducing the institution of the royal ǧolāms, the Safavids imported local [Caucasian] politics” along with the ǧolāms themselves (p. 227) and that, as a consequence, political influence between the two states was able to go both ways. The choice of Gorgijanidze as a topic for this article is especially important to drive these points home, because he was active not only as a Safavid court and administrative official, but also as a man of letters, writing in both Persian and, above all, in his mother tongue, Georgian. Maeda’s study focuses in particular on one episode in Parsadan’s life, namely, his exile in Šuštar, which in its turn is very likely to have been brought about by Parsadan’s continuing involvement in Georgian domestic affairs. The exact reasons of the exile remain unclear (Parsadan blames the king of Kartli, Vaxt’ang V for his fall from grace but does not enter into details), but Maeda highlights circumstances (above all, the fact that Parsadan was a protégé of Vaxt’ang V’s predecessor’s, Rost’om Mepe and a failed bid for power over K’axeti, then ruled by a son of Vaxt’ang’s, by a rival Georgian pretender) that may have caused it. In so doing, the Author sheds light also on the governor of Šuštar of the time, the Georgian Vâ xušt Beyg/Vaxušt ‘i Baratašvili. Finally, the remark
that “Parsadan possessed a dual sense of identity, as both a Georgian and a servant of the shah” (p. 226) is important and correct. Most of the details about Gorgijanidze’s life and career are culled from his own history of Georgia, which confirms (if there was any need) the importance of Georgian sources for the history of the Safavid period.

Because of a misprint, King Vaxt’ang VI appears as Vaxt’ang IV (p. 219).
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