Matthew P. Canepa. *Achaemenid and Seleukid Royal Funerary Practices and Middle Iranian Kingship*

Carlo Lippolis

Electronic version

URL: <http://journals.openedition.org/abstractairanica/40480>

DOI: 10.4000/abstractairanica.40480

ISSN: 1961-960X

Publisher:

CNRS (UMR 7528 Mondes iraniens et indiens), Éditions de l'IFRI

Printed version

Date of publication: 1 December 2013

ISSN: 0240-8910

Electronic reference

Carlo Lippolis, « Matthew P. Canepa. *Achaemenid and Seleukid Royal Funerary Practices and Middle Iranian Kingship* », *Abstracta Iranica* [Online], Volume 32-33 | 2013, document 147, Online since 01 July 2016, connection on 04 October 2020. URL : <http://journals.openedition.org/abstractairanica/40480> ; DOI : <https://doi.org/10.4000/abstractairanica.40480>

This text was automatically generated on 4 October 2020.

Tous droits réservés

Matthew P. Canepa. *Achaemenid and Seleukid Royal Funerary Practices and Middle Iranian Kingship*

Carlo Lippolis

REFERENCES

Matthew P. Canepa. « Achaemenid and Seleukid Royal Funerary Practices and Middle Iranian Kingship », in : H. Börm, J. Wiesehöfer, eds, *Commutatio et Contentio. Studies in the Late Roman, Sasanian, and Early Islamic Near East in Memory of Zeev Rubin*. Düsseldorf, 2010, p. 1-21.

- 1 The art and rituals related to Achaemenid and Seleucid funerary monuments are examined with two goals. On the one hand, to what extent did Seleucid and Middle Iranian monuments and ritual practices involve Achaemenid traditions? On the other hand, to what extent were new practices adopted using royal traditions from Macedonia, the nomadic steppes, southern Asia and “Iranized” royal traditions? The author analyzes the main Iranian royal funerary monuments (Pasargadae, Naqš-e Rostam), Seleucid royal funerary monuments (Seleucia Pieria, Ai Khanum), and the relevant rituals about which we have information. He then turns to the Macedonian, Persian and nomadic traditions related to Middle Iranian royal funerary practices (Matura, Amasiya, Arsameia on the Euphrates, Arsameia on the Nymphaios) and to the Arsacid and Sasanian monuments (Old Nisa, Naqš-e Rostam). Rather than simply pointing out Iranian, Persian or Zoroastrian traits in the architectural forms or rituals examined, this article focuses on Iranian kingship and the royal identity as aspects that were constantly “recreated and could be modified”. Achaemenid royal practices were not changelessly replicated.

AUTHORS

CARLO LIPPOLIS

Università di Torino