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1 Galen ranks among the most prolific writers of his time. According to a calculation made by Jean Irigoin, Galen’s writing, which occupies 10,000 pages in Kühn’s edition, represents an eighth of the surviving Greek literary production from Homer to the second century AD.

2 Galen engaged extensively with the writing and publication process of his works, often circulating a still provisional text, called *proektosis*. Galen was also aware of several forgeries sold and published under his name and, in order to firmly establish the extent of his production, he wrote two short bibliographical texts: *On The Order of His Own Books* and *On His Own Books*. While the first explains the order (τάξις) in which his books should be read, the second systematically lists his works, and provides us with precious information on the circumstances in which he wrote his treatises.

3 It goes without saying that such a vast and dispersed literary production, surviving only partially, had to be arranged in different corpuses during late antiquity and the Middle Ages. At first, Galen’s works were transmitted in papyrus rolls, each usually containing one book of a given work. Galen’s texts were later copied into the new book format, the codex, comprising more texts and thus forming a physical unity of several works or books of a single treatise. Smaller works were grouped together, often due to their similar content or following the reading list of late-antique medical schools. Longer treatises, on the other hand, were subdivided in units comprising several books (typically five and then ten, forming *pentads* and *decades*). Around the ninth century AD, with the introduction of the minuscule script, a single codex could fit whole treatises or sets of related works. Those bulky Byzantine manuscripts and their numerous...
Renaissance copies were the models for the tightly printed five volumes of the Aldine edition of 1525, the first attempt at collecting in a single editorial effort Galen’s Greek Opera Omnia.

The history of the transmission of Galen works in the original Greek, here only summarily recapitulated, was deeply influenced, among others, by one man: Cardinal Bessarion (c.1403-1472). A Greek émigré, originally from Trebizond, Bessarion became a powerful Catholic cardinal, bridging the gap separating East and West at the twilight of Byzantium. A wise statesman and diplomat, Bessarion was also one of the most remarkable intellectuals of his time, and his immense and highly selective Greek library bears testimony to his tireless and painstaking philological labour.

**Bessarion and His Library**

In 1468, Cardinal Bessarion wrote a well-known letter to the Venetian Doge Cristoforo Moro (1390-1471), offering his library to the Serenissima. Venice, according to the Cardinal, was “almost a second Byzantium” in which many Greeks émigrés found secure refuge after the fall of Constantinople in 1453. The letter, which offers precious insights in the history and formation of Bessarion’s collection, is a mature reflection on the cultural program the Cardinal carried out in more than five decades: to collect and rescue from destruction and oblivion the fragile heritage of the Greek world.

In Bessarion’s library, we can clearly see the result of his efforts: almost all literary genres of ancient literature are to be found amongst the shelves of his collection, alongside the classics of the Christian and Byzantine paideia. But the Cardinal, who annotated and corrected a huge number of his manuscripts, did not only limit himself to collecting as many texts and manuscripts as possible, but he also had them copied by his tireless scribes in luxury parchment copies, mostly produced in the last two decades of his life.

When, in 1468, the first lot of manuscripts was shipped to Venice, the emptied shelves of Bessarion’s library in Rome were already being re-filled with new books: most of them were copied directly from the manuscripts that Bessarion intended to donate, and others were acquired later on. In 1472, Bessarion died while on his way back from an unsuccessful diplomatic mission in France and, according to his will, the remaining part of his library left Rome for Venice, re-joining the manuscripts sent in 1468.

We have two inventories of the library, which offer us a clear picture of the donation in 1468 (inventory A) and 1474 (inventory B). In 1468, Bessarion sent 482 Greek manuscripts and 264 Latin books, while inventory B enumerates 1024 items in total (mixing Greek and Latin).

The role of Bessarion’s library in the transmission of Greek classics has been stressed more than once. The oldest and best manuscripts of many ancient and Byzantine writers are often to be found in his collection, including Homer (with two, tenth-century manuscripts, the Veneti A and B), Aristophanes, Euripides, Aristotle, and Plato. It also included the only complete copy of Athenaeus’s Deipnosophistae, as well as two important manuscripts of Photius’s Bibliotheca – two works that saved from oblivion a huge number of fragments and the names of their authors. The importance of Bessarion’s library is not limited to literary texts, however: he also collected technical treatises, including a considerable number of medical texts.
Bessarion had about thirty medical manuscripts in his collection, and some of them played a crucial role in the transmission of the texts they include: this is the case of *Marcianus graecus* 269, probably the most famous witness of the whole Hippocratic collection, an early tenth-century manuscript from which stemmed a huge number of *recentiores*. About half of Bessarion’s medical shelf was occupied by the works of Galen, undoubtedly the most prolific medical author of antiquity. Excluding codices transmitting only minor excerpts, I have counted fourteen Greek manuscripts still preserved in Venice, at the Marciana: the group is not homogeneous, and a preliminary chronological distinction is to be made. First, I will deal briefly with the older manuscripts, penned between the twelfth and the fourteenth centuries. In this category, only four manuscripts are included – just a fraction of the total. Significantly enough, at least one out of the four was produced in Southern Italy. The remaining ten witnesses were copied in Bessarion’s lifetime and nine of them present similar codicological features: they are luxury manuscripts made out of parchment and penned by skilled calligraphers on behalf of the Cardinal.

The importance of the manuscripts owned by Bessarion for the renewed interest in Greek medicine, and particularly in Galen’s works, cannot be stressed enough. Véronique Boudon-Millot, in her general overview of the textual transmission of Galen, has dedicated an entire chapter to the fifteenth century – “le siècle de Bessarion”, as she styles it – profoundly marked by the Cardinal’s library. Indeed, Bessarion’s manuscripts were the reason that many Venetian humanists could finally read Galen in the original Greek, sharing their knowledge in nearby Padua, where in the course of the sixteenth century the European medical renaissance started to blossom. As we shall see, it is also thanks to these very manuscripts and their copies that the passage from manuscript to print was made possible. The aim of this article, which is mostly palaeographical and philological in focus, is to offer a closer look at these Venetian witnesses, providing new evidence as to their dating, context of production, and circulation in the Renaissance.

The Older Manuscripts

The oldest manuscript transmitting Galen’s work in Bessarion’s collection is the *Marcianus graecus* 288, a large parchment manuscript (305x215) that transmits in 136 densely written leaves the ten books of Galen’s *De compositione medicamentorum secundum locos* (Περὶ συνθέσεως φαρμάκων τῶν κατὰ τόπους). The *Marcianus* was written in angular script by a single scribe, and is an example of the “Reggio-style” writing typical of twelfth-century Siculo-Calabrian book production (centred in Norman-controlled Sicily and Calabria). The hand of this anonymous scribe has been identified in another medical manuscript (folios 1r-95v), the *Vaticanus Urbinus Graecus* 64, which transmits works of Hippocrates. The same scribe also copied the *Vaticani Graeci* 1349 and 1391, which were originally united in a single miscellaneous codex containing the romance of Achilles Tatius alongside works of Libanius and Theophylactus Symocattas (the collection of *Letters* and the *Quaestiones naturales*). Such interest in medical and rhetorical texts is a traditional feature of Italo-Greek book production and, at the time in which the *Marcianus* was penned, it represented a sort of cultural and ethnical resistance – an attempt at reconnecting with the Greek and...
Byzantine element, contrasting the inevitable influence of western culture on the Calabrian peninsula and Sicily.

A few decades after the *Marcianus* 288 can be placed the *Marcianus Graecus* 276, a large paper manuscript (300x230) composed of 271 leaves. This *Marcianus* is not a unitary codex, but is made up of several different codicological units that were put together in the late thirteenth century. As the existing descriptions of this manuscript are not satisfactory, I would like to draw attention to the distinction of its parts that resulted from an inspection of this complex artefact. The *Marcianus* 276 is composed of two main codicological units that can be dated to the late twelfth century: the first one encompasses folios 3-100 (containing a fragment of the final part of book 12 of Galen’s *De methodo medendi*, probably displaced, *De sanitate tuenda*, *De marcore*, and the first two books of the *De methodo medendi*), and the second one contains folios 101-251 (books III to XIV of the *De methodo medendi*), with a new autonomous quire-signature (first one on f. 108v: α’). These two units were united by a late-thirteenth-century restorer, who added folio 1r-v (now heavily damaged) and consolidated the manuscript by adding new strips of paper where the text was missing on folios 101r, 249r-v, 250r-v, and 251r-v. The same restorer, in co-operation with a second hand, then added folios 252-259 (covering the final section of the *De methodo medendi*). The final part of the manuscript is clearly later and can be placed in the last quarter of the thirteenth century or in the early years of the fourteenth: folios 262-268, an autonomous quaternion, transmit the *De atra bile*, while folios 269-270 the treatise *On Exercise with a Small Ball*.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scribes</th>
<th>Texts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ff. 1r-v: A (late 13th or early 14th century):</td>
<td>Unidentified letter addressed τῷ σακελλαρίῳ θεσσαλονίκης. Inc. οἴμαι καθησυχασμένος (sic ut videtur) ἀθαυμάτως καὶ πλησίόνος.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f. 2r-v blank</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| ff. 3r-73v: B (late 12th century) | f. 3r: last lines of Galen’s *De methodo medendi* book 12.
ff. 3r-68r: *De sanitate tuenda*
ff. 68r-73v: *De marcore* |
<p>| f. 74r-v: C (contemporary with A), this scribe also wrote the word τέλος on f. 73v | Start of Galen’s <em>De methodo medendi</em> |
| ff. 75r-99v: B | <em>De methodo medendi</em> books 1 and 2 |
| f. 100r-v blank | |
| ff. 101r-106r, l. 6, 107v-108v, l. 6, 111v, 112v, 113v-116r: D1 (late 12th or early 13th century), possibly identical to D2, with a different ductus | <em>De methodo medendi</em> books 3-4. |
| ff. 106r, l. 6-107r, 108v, l. 7-111r, 112r, 113r, 116v-148v: D2 | <em>De methodo medendi</em> books 3-6 |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ff. 149r-251v: E (= D2, wrongly distinguished by the preceding one by Mioni)</th>
<th>De metodo medendi books 7-14 (up to τὴν τοιαύτην χολήν ὁ δὲ ὑπόλευκός = Kühn X, 973, 4)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>f. 252r-v: C</td>
<td>De metodo medendi, continuation of book 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ff. 253r-259v: A</td>
<td>De methodo medendi, final part of book 14, with several lacunae and the final remark τέλος τοῦ ἑδ’ λόγου.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f. 260r-v blank</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| ff. 261r-268v: F | 261r-268r De atra bile  
268r: De oculorum morbis (short fragment which was later deleted)\(^\text{14}\)  
268r-v: De causis respirationis (fragmentary, the last words ἐὰν ἔκατερας αὐτῶν were penned by an apparently different hand at the start of f. 269r) |
| ff. 269r-270v: G | De parvae pilae exercitio. The title was corrected by Bessarion himself, who integrated it as follows: Γαληνοῦ <περὶ τοῦ> διὰ τῆς μικρᾶς σφαίρας γυμνασίου. |
| f. 271rv blank | |

\(^\text{14}\) The manuscript, most probably penned in the East\(^\text{15}\), came to Italy thanks to the learned doctor Pietro D’Abano (1250-1316), who found it in Constantinople. Pietro used this witness for some of his Latin translations of Galen, as recently shown by Antoine Pietrobelli, who also identified scribe G in the Modena manuscript 109, another witness of Galen once belonging to Pietro d’Abano\(^\text{16}\). We do not know how this Marcianus came into possession of Bessarion in the fifteenth century, but he must have acquired it before 1468, when it was listed in the first Venetian inventory\(^\text{17}\).

\(^\text{15}\) Next comes the Marcianus 278, a smaller manuscript (245x165, 157 folios) penned at the end of the thirteenth century, on oriental paper, by a single scribe who offers a neat example of the so called Fettaugen-Moden script. A few smaller texts written on the last two leaves were penned by a later hand, while folios 1r-2v were added to the manuscript by a fourteenth-century scribe. The Marcianus transmits Galen’s commentary on Hippocrates’ Aphorisms and the De ratione victus salubri (that is, the third book of the De natura hominis) and, according to a recent survey of the manuscript tradition, it ranks among the independent witnesses of the commentary on the Aphorisms\(^\text{18}\).

\(^\text{16}\) A small note on the upper margin of folio 3v, which has so far been ignored, can be transcribed as follow:  
* ἡ βιβλίον αὕτη [...]

\(^\text{17}\) This kind of metrical ex libris – a Byzantine hendecasyllable (or sometimes dodecasyllable) – is quite common and it can be found, in many variants, on several manuscripts\(^\text{19}\). The first letters of what can only be a name in the genitive case are hardly legible because a later hand (Bessarion’s?) has cancelled part of the text. Even in
this state, I think we should read here the name Gennadios (Γενναδίου or τοῦ Γενναδίου). As for the identification of this ancient possessor of the manuscript, I would like to suggest Gennadius Scholarios (1405-1473), whose autographs seems to be consistent with the note on the Marcianus. If this hypothesis proves to be correct, this manuscript should be associated to the Marcianus graecus 264, which bears a monocondylion of Scholarios on folio 246v.

The last manuscript pertaining to this group, the Marcianus graecus 283, can be dated thanks to its watermarks to the mid fourteenth century. The manuscript has so far been attributed to southern Italy but, even if its script offers some similarities with the Otrantine style, such attribution should be put in question in favour of an eastern origin. The Marcianus 283 plays a relevant role in the textual transmission of Galen since it is the sole witness of his commentary on Hippocrates’ Epidemics book 6. Contrary to a common assumption, this very manuscript was never used as a printer’s copy for the Aldine edition of the treatise, which was clearly based on a lost copy of it.

A Fifteenth-Century Manuscript from Cyprus?

The Marcianus Graecus 277, containing Galen’s commentary on Hippocrates’ Aphorisms and a shorter fragment from the Commentary on Hippocrates’ De salubri victu, is a puzzling manuscript. It was penned in 1416 by a certain Antonius Mpelchasem, who added a lengthy subscription, full of mistakes, at the end of the text, on folio 255v:

Μην ἀγνοῶσθε κ’ ἐν χρονίας τοῦ κυρίου ἡμῶν. Ἡρῴδης Χριστός, αὐτὸς ἔταξεν ἑαυτῷ βιβλία διὰ χειρός ἐμοῦ ἅμαρτολός Ἀντιόνου Μπέλαχαμ νῦν τοῦ μακαρίου | κυριοκλῆς Ἐπιφανίου καὶ ἑγγόρρια ἑυτὸ ἐν | συντόμω καὶ ἡ ἁναγνώσκοντες αὐτῷ | ἐβέβαια μοι ὡς ἁμαθὴ καὶ ἄνευ μαθήματος καὶ ὁ κύριος να σάς σκέπτῃ ἐκ παντὸς κακοῦ ἁμην. * <πρωτονοτάριος | ἑμπερεύαλ καὶ τὰ ἔξης.

This book was completed on August the 20th AD 1416 by me [litt. by my hand], the sinner Antonios Mpelchasem, the son of sir Philpos, of blessed memory. And I wrote this in a short time and the readers [should] pray for me, as I am ignorant and without education, and the Lord may be with you and protect you from all evil. Amen. The imperial protonotary etc.

Elpidio Mioni, the only scholar to date who has given a palaeographical assessment of the manuscript, claimed the manuscript was copied in Southern Italy, but he did not explain why he came to such a conclusion. The script shows no sign of an Italo-Greek influence but the subscription, and the title of “imperial notary” and the name of the scribe (clearly a transliteration of an Arabic name) betray a provincial origin under Western influence. Following the suggestion of Marie Cronier, I would like to place this manuscript in Cyprus, where a large number of medical manuscripts were copied.

Galen and the Scribes working for Bessarion

With the Marcianus Graecus 275 we start to deal with the manuscripts copied on Bessarion’s behalf and upon his request. Marcianus 275 is a rather large (300x215mm) parchment manuscript written on thirty lines by several scribes under the supervision of the Cretan scholar and copyist Michael Apostolis. The group of scribes who penned the Marcianus alongside Apostolis is the same group we find in two other manuscripts produced for Bessarion’s library, namely Marcianus Graecus 405 and Vindobonensis.
Theologici Graeci 75, which are similar in size and with an identical number of lines per page. In at least two of these three manuscripts we find two anonymous hands co-operating with George Tribizias, Michael Lygizos, George Alexandrou, and George Calophrenas – all well-known Cretan scribes.22

Marcianus Graecus 275 seems to be a copy of Laurentianus 74, 5: a twelfth-century manuscript copied by the famous scribe Ioannikios and his colleagues. Since these ancient and fragile paper manuscripts were in Italy since at least the end of the twelfth century, and as there is no reason to believe that they were ever sent to Crete, it is reasonable to conclude that they were copied in Italy. The only timeframe that could accommodate the contemporary presence of these scribes in Italy seems to be 1463, when Apostolis, George Tribizias, Michael Lygizos, and George Alexandrou moved temporarily to the Italian peninsula, under Cardinal Bessarion’s protection, in order to escape the impending war between Venice and the Ottoman Empire.23

The Cretan scribe Michael Apostolis is the scribe who penned the first codicological unit of Marc. gr. 286 (folios 1-128v), slightly smaller than Marc. gr. 275 but with the same number of lines per page (30). The second part of the manuscript was copied in 1470 by Cosmas Trapezuntius and is clearly independent from the first one. The two sections form together a complete edition of the 11 books of the De simplicium medicamentorum temperamentis ac facultatibus. The manuscript, already in Venice, was annotated in the late fifteenth century by the famous Venetian humanist and patrician Ermolao Barbaro (1454-1493), and by one of the scribes working for his household (known as “Anonymus Harlfinger”).24

The first half of Marc. gr. 286, as recently shown by Teresa Martínez Manzano, is completed by a manuscript now in Spain (Escurialensis Σ II 11), which was separated from the Marcianus at a very early stage. We know that Apostolis copied his text from the Vaticanus Urbinas gr. 67. His work can also be dated quite accurately, thanks to a letter he sent to Bessarion in 1467, where the scribe informs the Cardinal that he is copying the treatise On simple drugs alongside The Doctor and the On the Composition of Drugs according to Places.25

Next comes a set of seven parchment manuscripts, Marc. gr. 279-282, 284-285, 287. They all present a similar format (330/360x225/250, with 44-48 lines per page), allowing them to contain a sizable portion of the still-surviving Galenic works. These luxury manuscripts were produced in Bessarion’s later years, when, after the Venetian donation of 1468, he had new copies made for his soon-to-be-emptied shelves.26 Most of these manuscripts, as we shall see, are again copies of the set of medical codices copied by Ioannikios and his colleagues.

Marc. gr. 279, annotated by Theodore Gazas, was copied entirely by the Cretan scribe George Calophrenas. It transmits folios 1-202 of the Anatomical Procedures, the commentaries on Hippocrates’ De articulis, De fracturis, and De officina medici, which were all derived from Paris. gr. 1849 – a paper manuscript copied by Ioannikios and his colleagues. The second part of the Marcianus (folios 205-269), on the other hand, derives from a manuscript now in Milan, Ambr. B 108 sup., dating from the twelfth or thirteenth century and formerly part of Bessarion’s collection.27

Marc. gr. 280 was copied in 1470 by John Rhosos, one of the best and most prolific scribes working for Bessarion. The Marcianus transmits the De metodo medendi ad Glauconem and two longer treatises: the De locis affectis and the De compositione
medicamentorum secundum locos. While the first work still awaits a modern assessment of their manuscript transmission, the last two have recently been the object of textual studies by Florian Gärtner, who edited the first two books of the De locis affectis, and Alessia Guardasole. According to Gärtner’s assessment of the manuscript tradition, the Marcianus is a copy of Laur. 74, 30, penned in Ioannikios’ workshop; on the other hand, in Guardasole’s provisional stemma codicum, the Marcianus is a member of the family of Laur. 75, 17, another twelfth-century manuscript copied in Ioannikios’ scriptorium. As we shall see, it is highly probable that the Marcianus is an apograph of the Florentine manuscript also for the De compositione medicamentorum secundum locos. Marc. gr. 280 was the source of several renaissance manuscripts produced in Veneto, and in the late fifteenth century, or in the early decades of the sixteenth century, it was read and annotated by the learned Paduan humanist Niccolò Leonico Tomeo and by Giovanni Battista da Lion. The same Lion also copied part of the Vat. Palat. gr. 54 – a descendent of the Marcianus. 

Rhosoś also copied Marc. gr. 287 (dated 1469) and Marc. gr. 285 (first half, ff. 2r-126v). Marc. gr. 287 is an identical copy of Laur. plut. 74, 18, while the first part of Marc. gr. 285 derives from Marc. gr. 278. The second part of the Marc. gr. 285 (ff. 128r-end), on the other hand, derived from Laur. plut. 74, 25, again coming from Ioannikios’ scriptorium, and was transcribed by the Cretan scribe George Alexandrou, who later became professor of Greek in Padua and Rome. Alexandrou copied the entirety of Marc. gr. 281, which transmits several shorter treatises by Galen, most of which were copied from Laur. plut. 75, 5 (ff. 2r-75v of the Marcianus = ff. 166r-324v of the Laurentianus) and 74, 5 (ff. 75v-126v of the Marcianus = ff. 91r-122r and 133r-181v of the Laurentianus), both produced in Ioannikios’ scriptorium. 

Marc. gr. 282, which was entirely copied by the Cretan scribe George Tribizias, transmits several Galenic texts, and some of its sources are now lost or dispersed. At least one treatise (De inaequali intemperie) was likely copied from Laur. plut. 74, 5. In Marc. gr. 282, we again find annotations by Theodore Gazas. 

The last manuscript of this group is Marc. gr. 284, entirely copied by George Tzangaropoulos, who employed a hieratic script similar to the one of Rhosoś, but often freer and more cursive. The red decoration of titles and initials was enriched by green and gold fillings. Here again we find traces of the hand of Theodore Gazas (ff. 59r, 60v, 61v, 156v, 157v and several reading notes). Marc. gr. 284 is a copy of Marc. gr. 276 for the De methodo medendi and the Exercises with the small ball.

As we have seen, the textual investigation of the Galenic corpus has shown that most of these luxury manuscripts were copied from the ancient and damaged Florentine codices produced in Ioannikios’ scriptorium in the twelfth century. This set of paper-manuscripts came to Italy in the same century, when it was annotated by Burgundio of Pisa, who also used them for his Latin translations of Galen. Nothing is known of their history in the following century, but they must have re-surfaced somewhere in the course of the fifteenth century, when Bessarion was able to get hold of them and had them copied. A well-known letter of Bessarion to Gazas, which can be dated 1453-1455, sheds some light on the somewhat obscure history of this set of manuscripts:

Take care of the transcription of Quintus and of the Pyrrhonian sketches. If they still survive, carefully guard those fragile and damaged books of Galen I have...
entrusted you with. Maybe, sooner or later, we will find somebody capable of copying them.

Nobody has tried to interpret this passage in light of the textual relation between the ancient codices produced in Ioannikios’ scriptorium and the Galenic manuscripts copied on Bessarion’s behalf at the end of the sixth decade of the fifteenth century. Even if we can only speculate on how Bessarion got hold of Ioannikios’ copies, the reference to those “fragile and damaged” manuscripts seems to be an allusion to the old paper manuscripts now mostly preserved in Florence, and this mention could offer a precious evidence on their whereabouts in the middle of the fifteenth century⁴⁶.

Some Conclusions: From Bessarion’s Library to Europe

A private library usually only represents a small fraction of the history of the transmission and reception of a text, but Bessarion’s collection, as we have seen, is exceptional in several ways. Each manuscript described above documents a step in the transmission of Galen that is itself a chapter of the history of medicine throughout the Middle Ages and the Renaissance; from Byzantium to Cyprus, Southern Italy, and fifteenth-century Rome. Such a diverse corpus, in its most minute details, reflects the wide-ranging and multi-faceted reception of ancient medicine and the richness of Galenism through different ages and cultures. The Latin marginalia by Petro d’Abano in Marc. gr. 276, a manuscript composed of different parts dating from the twelfth and early fourteenth centuries – each documenting a different phase of the history of the text – perfectly epitomise a phenomenon of which the vast complexity cannot be easily rendered in broad strokes.

The Cardinal was able to get hold of ancient manuscripts of Galen dating back to the eleventh or twelfth century, and he also acquired later copies originating from different parts of the Byzantine Empire. This remarkable achievement was not enough, because Bessarion also needed to ensure that the text transmitted by those fragile and rare codices could be read for the centuries to come. The desire to have a complete edition of the Galenic corpus, in a durable and readable set, resulted in the majestic parchment manuscripts produced by Bessarion’s best and most enduring scribes in the last years of his lifetime. The efforts Bessarion made for Galen are equal only to the ones he devoted to the transmission of Aristotle, possibly the author he read, studied, and copied the most.

Bessarion set in motion a transformation that slowly but steadily changed Europe. Decades after Bessarion’s death, the works of Galen were finally accessible to doctors and philosophers of the Renaissance in the shelves of the Library of San Marco: among the most notable readers were Ermolao Barbaro and Niccolò Leonico Tomeo. They could read, annotate, copy, and translate the whole corpus, which was finally printed in Venice in 1525.

The philological work on the Greek texts of Galen and Aristotle deeply changed the universities of the Italian Renaissance: students and teachers questioned the reliability of the existing Latin translation and, once they got access to the original text, they finally put it under close scrutiny, inevitably cracking the once undisputed authority of the two ancient writers and leading the way to a generation of scholars that gave birth
to the renaissance of the medical studies at the end of the fifteenth century and continued in the sixteenth century.

NOTES

5. The letter can be found in Lotte Labowsky, Bessarion’s Library and the Biblioteca Marciana. Six Early Inventories, Roma, Edizioni di Storia e Letteratura, 1979, p. 147-149.
9. These manuscripts are included in the first volume of the Venetian catalogue (Elpidio Mioni, Codices Graeci Manuscripti Bibliothecae Divi Marci Venetiarum, I, Thesaurus Antiquus, Roma, Istituto Poligrafico e Zecca dello Stato, 1981). I was able to inspect all the Galenic manuscripts described below and in every instance the information offered by the catalogue has been verified and, when necessary, corrected.


12. The manuscript is made of oriental paper of different sorts. The sections which can be attributed to the 12th century are made of Spanish paper while the most recent ones are on paper without watermarks but probably of Italian origin.


16. Cf. Antoine Pietrobelli, op. cit., with all the relevant bibliographical references.

17. Lotte Labowsky, op. cit., p. 165, n. 207 “Item eiusdem Galieni de regimine sanitatis et therapeutica, in papyro”.


19. See the many occurrences of similar formulae registered by the Database of Byzantine Book Epigrams (www.dbbe.ugent.be).


21. Cf. Nigel G. Wilson, op. cit., p. 60 and Véronique Boudon-Millot, op. cit., n. 2, p. CLXXXVII. I thank Prof. Santo Lucà (University of Rome “Tor Vergata”) for kindly providing me with an expertise on this point.


27. See Ciro Giacomelli, op. cit., n. 25.

28. On Michael Apostolis and his “scriptorium” see Rudolf S. Stefec, “Zu Handschriften aus dem Umkreis des Michael Apostoles in Beständen der Österreichischen Nationalbibliothek”, Jahrbuch der Österreichischen Byzantinistik, n° 63, 2013, p. 221-236. Trapezuntius was one of the most prolific scribes working for Bessarion in the last two decades of his life, on his production see at least David Speranzi, Omero, i cardinali e gli esuli. Copisti greci di un manoscritto di Stoccarda, Mardid, Editorial Dynkijon, 2016, n° 39, p. 141-142.
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