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1. INTRODUCTION

Tripolis is located within the municipal boundaries of Yenicekent in the Buldan District, Province of Denizli in Turkey. In the ancient period, it was significant for being at the convergence of Lydian, Carian and Phrygian territory (Fig. 1). According to the ancient sources the trade route from Pergamon (Bergama) via Germe passed through Thyateria (Akhisar), Sardeis (Salihli), Philadelphia (Alaşehir) before heading towards Tripolis (Yenicekent), Hierapolis (Pamukkale) and Laodicea (Goncalı) (Ramsay 1890: 49). The presence of this major trade route guaranteed Tripolis its significance for centuries. The commercial and social relation Tripolis had established with other ancient cities along the mentioned trade route is becoming increasingly evident with the archaeological material found at the site.

Over the years, various institutions have commissioned teams to carry out surface surveys and archaeological excavations at Tripolis (Atik and Erdem, 2004: 9 et al.; Ceylan 1995: 159 et al.; Erdoğan and Çörtük 2009: 107; Erdoğan 2011: 328). The third term excavations at Tripoli commenced in 2012, following a three-year interval. The excavations are headed by Denizli Provincial Directorate of Museums and continue under the scientific supervision of the author of this paper (Duman 2013: 179). Although work conducted prior to the third term excavations had revealed information about the town’s Hellenistic, Roman and Early Byzantine Periods, nothing had been reported about the Middle Byzantine Period. Leading his army through Tripolis during the Third Crusade, Friedrich Barbarossa found the town ruined and deserted (Eickhoff 1977: 99). This is an indication that the castle built by John III Ioannes Doukas Vatatzes, Emperor of Nicaea, in the first half of the 13th century A.D. on the hill North of Tripolis, was not the improvement of an existing fortification, but rather an entirely new castle. Therefore the town’s population started to shrink considerably beginning from the end of 6th century A.D. on. One of the most important aspects of the archaeological finds unearthed in recent years is a group of pottery, which sheds light on the Middle Byzantine Period of the town.

2. FINDS AND SITES

61 pieces of pottery have been unearthed at the excavations at Tripolis until now (Fig. 2-3). In literature these are referred to as ‘Micaceous White Painted Ware’. Of these pieces three were found in the Arched Structure, one on the Columned Road, five in Early Byzantine Church 4 while the remaining 52 were unearthed in a level about 2 metres above the portico floor surface inside the Late Roman Agora that was completed around the 4th century A.D. (Fig. 4).

The western portico of the Agora is linked to the Columned Road through a door opening from the southern fortification walls. The Agora must have been severely damaged by Sassanid invasions at the beginning of the 7th century A.D. (ca. 610 A.D.) since an extensive area at the portico base level reveals fire traces and remains of burnt wood. Following the Sassanid destruction, the columns supporting the roof over the portico were relocated to the eastern side of the promenade. The gaps between the columns in the original site were simply filled with bricks and broken pieces of travertine allowing the use of the promenade for a little longer
(about until mid-7th century A.D.). Two coins from the Constans II period, *ca.* 641-668 A.D., are the latest finds that can provide a dating on this area. Reviewing available information, it emerges that there is no data from the compactly filled area starting from the second half of the 7th century A.D. until the level containing the white painted ware is reached. The only piece of data found together with the ware group, which can provide a reliable date, is the anonymous *follis* that can be dated to *ca.* 976-1030 A.D.

Another area for the Micaceous White Painted Ware finds in Tripolis is the building named Early Byzantine Church 4, which has been somewhat squeezed into the narrow space between the Columned Road and the Arched Structure to the North. The building in which the mentioned coin was found is a small church. Although the church was originally constructed in the 5th century A.D., finds suggest that it remained in use until the mid 10th century having undergone various repairs.

3. PASTE, SLIP AND DECORATIONS

The majority of finds from the upper layers of the portico base and the church consist of rim, neck and body fragments of *amphorae* and flagons for liquid transportation, however five samples have the form of a jug (*Cat. N*: 1, 4-7). The examined pieces present coherence because the clay colour is brick red and its shades. The clearly visible silver mica is the striking common feature in all the pieces. Additives other than mica include quartz, sand and small stones. Many of the samples had been exposed to high temperatures during baking, resulting in a brittle structure and grey-dark grey colour changes can be observed in the clay.

The colour of slip used extensively on the semimat and slightly coarse exterior surfaces is a few shades lighter than the colour of clay. Two pieces feature off-yellow coloured bands painted on dark brown lining that have been filled with zigzag painting in background colour or by means of carving out notches (*Cat. N*: 1, 2). The same decoration is repeated on the exterior of some jars, however the continuous bands created using white paint is not found on all samples. The main background in 59 of the pieces is made of lighter or darker shades of brick-red. Some of the samples feature cream or white-coloured bordering continuous bands on the top and base of the pot body. While in some samples the bands have been left plain, in others, the bands have been decorated with zigzags or notch decorations (*Cat. N*: 1-3, 9, 11, 12). The area between the bordering bands at the top and bottom of the pot have sometimes been decorated with wide waves in white (*Cat. N*: 10), whilst in other cases this area is filled with incised hatching decorations (*Cat. N*: 13, 14).

Especially coherent with the shape of closed forms, the band decorations seen on the surface are mostly off yellow in colour (*Cat. N*: 9). Having said that, white paint was used on a single mouth-lip piece from an *amphora* (*Cat. N*: 12). Paint marks can be seen on 11 handle pieces. Yellow coloured paint was used to create vertical bands for decoration on these pieces (*Cat. N*: 22, 27), some of the finds include examples of white paint use (*Cat. N*: 23-25).

The handles of the *amphora*, flagons or jars feature single, double rows or irregular series of holes that have been opened with a sharp, pointy tool (*Cat. N*: 8, 15, 17, 20-22). In some examples the force applied from above has created positive embossing on the underneath (*Cat. N*: 17, 21), and in one example a sharp, pointy tool pushed from the top has gone straight through side creating a hole on the other side.
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Fig. 2: Mid-Byzantine ceramics.
Fig. 3: Mid-Byzantine ceramics handles.
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Fig. 4: Tripolis general plan.

1. Philadelphia Kapısı
2. Büyük Hamam
3. Tiyatro
4. Tiyatro Hamamı Sarnıcı
5. Tiyatro Hamamı
6. Meclis Binası (Bouleuterion)
7. Geç Roma Agorası Batı Portiği
8. Geç Roma Agorası
9. Erken Bizans Kilisesi 4
10. Kemerli Yapı Batı Portiği
11. Kemerli Yapı
12. Roma Dükkânları
13. Erken Bizans Surları
14. Erken Bizans Kilisesi 2
15. Mozaikli Villa
16. Sütunlu Cadde, Bizans Mekanları
17. Güney Giriş Kapısı 1
18. Güney Giriş Kapısı 2
19. Orpheus Çeşmesi
20. Hierapolis Caddesi
21. Hierapolis Kapısı
22. Geç Bizans Surları
23. Stadyum
24. Nekropol Alanları
25. Yukarı Kale
There are doubts as to whether the holes seen particularly on the handles of the wares from the same group found at Hierapolis are for firing or decorative purposes (Cottica 1998: 82, Fig. 3, nn. 10-12). From the 48 handle pieces unearthed at Tripolis, 31 had single or double rows and sometimes irregular holes. The other six pieces did not have holes (Cat. N°: 23-27). Some of the unearthed samples feature irregular holes. It is evident that these holes on the handles were opened for purposes of firing rather than decoration (Cat. N°: 8, 15). Holes can also be seen on one of the handles (Cat. N°: 17) featuring finger print decorations (Cat. N°: 17, 18). A single sample that was different from the plain or holed handles belongs to a closed vessel and features incised small wave decorations (Cat. N°: 26). A knob can be seen in the middle. A similar knobbed application can be seen on a flagon handle unearthed at Hierapolis (Cottica 2007: 263, Fig. 13, 3). Notched decorations have been used on Cat. N°: 19 instead of holes. On another handle, it is possible to observe round shaped decorations in the form of deep edges with an embossed centre that has been made with the help of a tool (Cat. N°: 16).

4. CENTRES OF FINDS

Pottery resembling those unearthed at archaeological excavations carried out at Tripolis has been found particularly in Hierapolis and other settlements along the Çürüksu/Lykos valley, namely at Laodikeia and Çardak/Charax.

Archaeological excavations carried out at Hierapolis have unearthed pottery from the same group inside different structures and buildings (Arthur 1997: 531; Cottica 1998: 81-83; Caggia 2007: 294, Fig.19; Cottica 2007: 255). Samples found at the Hierapolis excavations have been named ‘Micaceous White Painted Ware’ (Cottica 1998: 82). Work carried out at the spaces named as ‘silo’, adjacent to the south side of Frontinus Street has revealed a large piece of a pot featuring two white painted band decorations which has been dated to the 10th century A.D. (Arthur 1997: 534, 539, Fig. 6: 10-11). There are similarities in form and decoration between the flaring rim jars of Tripolis and those found at Hierapolis. Body and lip pieces from large-scale white painted vessels have been unearthed in close proximity to the building named ‘Large Structure’ adjacent to the south side of Frontinus Street in Hierapolis. Pottery finds have been discovered, in the proximity of the mentioned structure, at the base level of dwellings that were destroyed during the earthquake that took place in 9-10th century A.D. (Caggia 2007: 294, Fig. 19). Another area for finds at Hierapolis is the structure known as the ‘Casa dei Capitelli Ionici’. Pottery of similar characteristics was discovered in this structure that has been dated to the 8-10th centuries A.D. (Cottica 1998: 82). ‘Insula 104’ is the source of finds belonging to the pottery group decorated with white bands, lines or incised lines at the excavations at Hierapolis. The pottery unearthed at this location in recent years has been morphologically and chronologically evaluated. While the majority of finds discovered at ‘Insula 104’ consists of white paint decorated pottery, plain slip and undecorated versions of the aforementioned painted and notched decorated examples were found in the same layers (Cottica 2007: 261, Fig. 4-7, Fig. 11-13; Ruggiu and Cottica 2007: 175-181, fig. 11-13). Although the approach to decoration in the pottery categorised as ‘Micaceous White Painted Ware’ is similar the form repertoire consists of pieces from jugs, double handle flagons and amphorae. Cottica argues that the group in ‘Insula 104’, still in use in the 10th century A.D., could have been used since the Dark Ages. Cottica also suggests that this form disappeared in the first half of the 11th century A.D. while the white paint decorated vessels were replaced by ribbon decorations created with finger printing (Cottica 2007: 266).

Pottery with white painted body and handles was also unearthed at another Çürüksu/Lykos Valley city: Laodicea. After the settlements were severely damaged by earthquakes, Laodicea was abandoned to a great extent as of the mid-7th century A.D. (Şimşek 2013: 58). Archaeological excavations taking place in the city since 2003 have found only a very small amount of finds dating later than the mid 7th century A.D. Prior to excavation work, an Italian team performed a survey of Laodicea between 1994 and 2001 and discovered pottery from the white band group inside the rectangular shaped late period settlement areas consisting of a few adjacent rooms in the middle of the stadium (Gelichi and Negrelli 2004: 251, 253, Tav. XIII.4, fig. 32.8-10, 33.12-13, 34.19-21). The dates suggested for the micaceous white painted group of pottery discovered during the surveys of Laodicea corresponds with samples discovered at Hierapolis (Gelichi and Negrelli 2004: 253-254).

5. EVALUATION AND DATING

The intensely micaceous white painted ceramics unearthed at Tripolis makes the settlement the second most significant centre of finds amongst the Lykos/Çürüksu cities, after Hierapolis. This pottery
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A group was found in several structures in Hierapolis, particularly the ‘Casa dei Capitelli Ionici’. Considering the number of excavation sites, their existence in Tripolis is more limited. Taking into account the Hierapolis, Laodicea and Charax/Çardak examples in terms of form and decoration repertoire and the fact that finds are, at least for now, only limited to the area, it is possible to suggest the existence of local production in the Lykos Valley.

The inhabitants of Tripolis suffered significantly from the Sassanid Invasions and earthquakes taking place in the first quarter of the 7th century A.D. Looking at the data acquired from the archaeological material unearthed here, it is possible to say that life in the city almost completely faded as of the mid-7th century A.D. Coins provide the most important data regarding the mentioned period in Tripolis. The dates of the coins discovered at the excavations taking place until today start from the 1st century A.D. and, with certain hiatus, go on until the Emperor Phocas period, ca. 602-610 A.D. After 610 A.D., there is approximately a 30-year gap, indicated by only two Constans II coins that were discovered. This suggests that life in the city continued a few more decades after the Sassanid raids. The dates of uninterrupted habitation and gaps that can be followed with coin finds are almost identical to dates acquired from other find groups. After the Constans II coins dated to ca. 641-668 A.D., the approximately 2 m thick earth fill is completely bare of archaeological material. However the anonymous follis dated to ca. 976-1030 A.D. that was discovered alongside the pottery group that is the subject of this paper is chronologically quite significant. It was issued between 945-959 A.D., the years which Emperor Constantine VII and his son Romanus II jointly ruled the empire. The structure in which the mentioned coin was found is a small chapel known as Early Byzantine Church 4 (Fig. 5). Although the small church was originally constructed in the 5th century A.D., findings suggest that it remained in use until the mid 10th century having undergone various

---

Fig. 5: Early Byzantine Church 4 plan.
layers and finds in which the discovered pottery was located it is possible to suggest the third quarter of the 10th century A.D. and the first quarter of the 11th century A.D. as the date for the micaceous white painted wares. The mentioned ware finds are significant in that they prove the existence of a Middle Byzantine Period settlement in Tripolis during the indicated dates. Ware from the mentioned group became known as ‘Micaceous White Painted Ware’ with the finds discovered at Hierapolis a few decades ago. In addition to Hierapolis and Laodicea, examples of such wares were discovered in neighbouring Tripolis. The geography in which these wares were used was expanded in scope of the study and consequently it was possible to reach results that chronologically support the finds at Hierapolis.

B.D.

**CATALOGUE**

All measurements in the catalogue are in centimetres. Below is a legend for the abbreviations that have been used throughout the text and catalogue:

**Cat. N**: Catalogue number, **H**: Height, **G**: Width, **R.D.**: Rim Diameter, **W.T.**: Wall Thickness, **HT.**: Handle Thickness, **HW.**: Handle Width. The revised (2010) version of Munsell Soil-Colour Chart 2009 was used as the colour chart.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cat. N°</th>
<th>H</th>
<th>W</th>
<th>W.T.</th>
<th>R.D.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>21.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>25.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>12.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>0.4-0.5</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

*Fig. 6: A detail from fresco in the Church.*

repairs. The frontal view depictions of two Saints above the fresco on the north body wall and the coin found at the base level must be related to the church’s last date of use (Fig. 6).

Considering the comparison with other find centres, particularly Hierapolis, and the archaeological
matte slightly rough surfaced slip 2.5 YR 5/4 both on the inner and outer surface.

Cat. N°: 9
H:11 W.T: 0.4 W:16

Cat. N°: 10
W: 0.5
Flagon body fragment. Notch decoration bordered with paint on the body, paint and incised wavy decorations on the body. Hard and dense paste, mica and small stone inclusions. 2.5 YR 5/6. Semi-matte slightly rough surface in paste colour both in the inner and outer surface. Paint 5Y 8/1.

Cat. N°: 11
W: 8.8 W.T: 0.5
Flagon body fragment, broken from handle transition point. Horizontal and vertical single row paint band decoration on the lower part. Hard and dense paste, mica and small stone inclusions. 2.5 YR 5/6. Semi-matte slightly rough surface. Inner surface paste colour, outer surface 2.5 YR 5/6, paint 2.5 Y 8/2.

Cat. N°: 12
H: 4.8 W.T: 0.6 R.D:11.6
Amphora rim fragment. Two broad horizontal bands. Hard and dense paste, mica and small stone inclusions. 2.5 YR 5/6. Semi-matte slightly rough surface. Inner surface paste colour, outer surface 2.5 YR 4/6, paint 2.5 Y 8/2.

Cat. N°: 13
H: 10.2 W: 8.7 W.T: 0.8

Cat. N°: 14
H: 6.3 W: 7.3 W.T: 0.7-0.8
Closed vessel body fragment. Slight horizontal painted band decoration from top to bottom. Rightward facing notch decorations on lines. Hard paste, partially porous, mica and stone inclusions, moderately fired 2.5 YR 4/8. Semi-matte slightly rough surfaced slip 10 YR 5/6 both on the inner and outer surface, paint 2.5 Y 8/2 colour.

Cat. N°: 15
HT: 1.5 HW: 2.9
Casserole handle fragment. Irregular dot decoration on the handle, hole traces on the bottom part. Hard paste, partially porous, mica and small stone inclusions. 2.5 YR 4/8. Semi-matte slightly rough surfaces slip 2.5 YR 5/6 on the inner and outer surface.

Cat. N°: 16
HT: 1.5 HW: 2.6
Flagon handle fragment. Circular decorations with deep stamps on the sides on the handle. Hard and dense paste, mica and small stone inclusions. 2.5 YR 5/6. Matte-rough surfaced slip 2.5 YR 5/6 on the inner and outer surface.

Cat. N°: 17
HT:1.6 HW:4.8

Cat. N°: 18
HT: 1.2 HW: 4.5

Cat. N°: 19
HT: 1.7 HW: 4.1

Cat. N°: 20
HT: 1.7 HW: 5

Cat. N°: 21
HT:1.5 HW:4.5

Cat. N°: 22
W.T: 0.4 HT: 1.6 HW: 3.8
Amphora handle fragment. Two rows of vertical dot and paint decoration. Hard and dense paste, mica and small stone decoration, 2.5 YR 4/8. Semi-matte slightly rough surfaced slip 2.5 YR 4/6 on the outside and paint 2.5 Y 8/2.

Cat. N°: 23
HT: 1.1 HW: 2.6

Cat. N°: 24
HT: 1.5 HW: 4
Amphora handle fragment. Vertical paint band decoration on the handle. Hard paste, partially porous, mica and small stone inclusions. 2.5 YR 4/8. Semi-matte slightly rough surface 2.5 YR 4/6 both on the inner and outer surface, paint 2.5 Y 8/2.

Cat. N°: 25
HT: 4.6 HW: 1.5
Amphora handle fragment. Vertical double paint strip decoration on handle. Hard and dense paste, mica and
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