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INTRODUCTION

Geophysical prospection has a long history in Sweden, searching for ore bodies and investigating geology, connected to Sweden’s long mining traditions. In archaeology the situation has been quite the opposite. Swedish archaeologists have generally been quite skeptical to use geophysical methods, and as a consequence only a handful geophysical prospection surveys has been conducted each year since the late 1970s. However the last years have seen a shift in the trend with an increasing use in archaeology. This abstract will briefly outline the major developments in archaeological geophysical prospection throughout the history of Swedish archaeology.

GEOLOGICAL AND ARCHAEOLOGICAL PRECONDITIONS

The quaternary soil in Sweden is mainly dominated by glacial till which is the result of the last glacial period, Weichsel, in Northern Europe. As a consequence the soils in the landscape are predominated by non-sorted and highly heterogeneous glacial sediments or moraine. Clay soils are common in the central parts of Sweden as well as along the eastern coastal areas of the country. The moraine soils make both data collection and interpretation difficult and the clay areas prevent good depth penetration when using ground penetrating radar (GPR). Furthermore, Sweden has rather elusive and subtle prehistoric remains consisting mostly of postholes and hearths. The geology and the archaeology therefore present rather special challenges when working with archaeological geophysics.

A BRIEF HISTORY OF GEOPHYSICAL PROSPECTION METHODS IN SWEDEN

The 29th of July 1668 dates the first properly documented attempt to locate iron ore deposits with magnetic methods. It was performed with a simple declination compass by the prospector Jöns Persson (Carlborg, 1963:13). The knowledge of the compass’ ability to locate iron ores was probably known in Sweden as early as the beginning of the 1640s (Carlborg 1963:23). An early magnetometer, for the same purpose, was developed in Sweden in the late 19th century by Professor Robert Thalén (1879).
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The first well documented attempt to use electrical equipotential methods for the location of ore bodies in Sweden can be traced to the year 1906. These surveys were executed with the method as outlined by Daft & William (Petersson, 1907). The method was however deemed to have too many problematic (subjective) aspects and was therefore abandoned after a few attempts. Instead G. Bergström and F. Tegengren developed the method in the summer of 1913 (Bergström, 1913). Further developments of the equipotential method was made in 1918 by Hans Lundberg and Harry Nathorst who conducted several surveys (Fig. 1) with their new patent during the following years before Lundberg emigrated to Canada (Lundberg, 1919; 1922).

The first use of electromagnetic (EM) instruments to locate buried ore deposits was in 1921 by Centralgruppens Emissions A.-B. (Sundberg et al., 1923:39). The survey used the EM method as developed by Karl Sundberg (Fig. 1) (Sundberg et al., 1923:18ff). The EM Slingram method was invented in Sweden in 1936 by Sture Werner and Alfred Holm (NE).

GPR was probably used for the first time in Sweden in 1976 (Nilsson, 1978).

The first use of a geophysical method for archeological prospection in Sweden was in 1959 when a metal detector was used for examining the Iron Age peat bog site Skedemosse (Hagberg, 1961). Other geophysical methods were not used until the end of the 1970s when a collaboration between the Geological department at Chalmers University of Technology/University of Gothenburg and the Swedish National Heritage Board resulted in several electrical resistivity- and magnetometry surveys between the year 1977-1981 (Fig. 2) (Fridh, 1982). The geophysicist in charge was quite disappointed with the archaeologists involved in the project for not digging where he suggested.

The earliest PhD thesis in archaeological geophysics was published in 1980 (Fischer, 1980).

The first time GPR was used for locating buried archaeological remains in Sweden was in 1979 when Lund Technical University and the Swedish National Heritage Board investigated a Stone Age site in Skåne (Wihlborg & Romberg, 1980). Other important early GPR surveys were carried out at the Stone Age sites of Skateholm, Skåne (Bjelm & Larsson, 1984) and Ajvide, Gotland (Fig. 2) (Burenhult, 2002). Seismic methods have only been used once, 1992, in a survey of the Viking age town Birka (Andrén & Lindeberg, 1997). Electromagnetic measurements with the EM-38 by Geonics were first used in the beginning of the 1990s when the Archaeological Research Laboratory (AFL) used the method when searching for a settlement connected to the boat grave burials in Vendel, Uppland, Sweden (Persson & Olofsson, 1995). Other methods, such as magnetic susceptibility measurements for laboratory use, were first used in 1980 (Freij, 1980).

**Figure 1:** (left) An early Swedish equipotential mining survey, (right) Electromagnetic mining survey using the Sundberg method. Picture from Yearbook nr 17 of the Swedish geological survey (1923).

**Figure 2:** (left) Measurements with a proton-magnetometer at the Stone Age site in Gömme, Sweden. © Swedish National Heritage Board. (right) Early GPR measurements at the Stone Age site Ajvide, Gotland, Sweden. Photo: Göran Burenhult.
GEOPHYSICAL PROSPECTION IN CURRENT SWEDISH ARCHAEOLOGY

The first surveys in Sweden were most commonly carried out by geophysical specialists with little or no experience in archaeology. Today several companies work exclusively with archaeological prospection and the number of surveys carried out every year has increased tremendously in the last couple of years. At present the Archaeological Research Laboratory is the only place for a university education in archaeological geophysics in Sweden, although several engineering programs in Sweden provide an opportunity to work with archaeological case studies. Another important development in archaeological geophysics has been the founding of a geophysical department at the Swedish National Heritage Board in 2005.

THE FUTURE OF GEOPHYSICAL PROSPECTION IN SWEDISH ARCHAEOLOGY

The future of geophysical prospection in Swedish archaeology looks promising. The different geophysical methods are being used with increased frequency and developments towards faster motorized high resolution surveys are being initiated from different geophysical and archaeological prospection companies in Sweden (Fig. 3).
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