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In the 16th century, printed books\(^1\) were circulated mainly within the restricted circles of scholars, learned Jews and Hebrew-speaking Christians. The early 17th century saw a greatly increased production of Jewish books, and Yiddish books in particular, to satisfy an ever-growing demand, be it local or farther afield. Books now reached a wider circle of readers who had previously remained distant from the realm of book production\(^2\). This evolution was accompanied by the consolidation of a body of book professionals, needed to cater to the growing demand for more books. There developed, between the author and the reader, an organized network of agents, printers, typographers, and merchants in the form of bookshop owners, representatives and itinerant peddlers\(^3\). Fairs served as centers for the sale and exchange of raw materials such as reams of paper and printing material – moulds, Hebrew fonts and engraved plates – many of which had previously served Christian books and were re-used for Jewish books.\(^4\) With the expansion of the market, production techniques and sales improved, beginning with the financing, printing and storage of books and ending with shipping and distribution as far afield as small village communities. In the same way, the growing book market promoted economic partnerships between authors, printers and booksellers, both Christians and Jews. Each side was aware of the importance of this type of tactical partnership, which was limited in time and based not only on financial considerations but also on the transmission of knowledge.

Frankfurt on the Main constituted a remarkable example of the development of a merchant economy and of the expansion of Jewish books in Europe in the pre-modern era\(^5\). This Rhineland city became one of the foremost printing centers of Europe in the 17th and 18th centuries, in large part due to the Frankfurt book fair (Buchmesse), which was a
main center for the European book trade. Twice each year, in Spring and Fall, the city transformed itself into a center for the creation, fabrication, storage and distribution of books at a local, national and even international level, reaching France, Belgium, Italy and Holland, among others. The fair also represented a privileged moment for encounters between book trade professionals, whatever their technical skill or intellectual knowledge. At the Fair, authors could present their manuscripts, and publishers and booksellers could meet to buy and sell books and materials, exchange information, titles and settle accounts.

In this context of intellectual encounters, technical innovation and capitalist expansion, Jews occupied a paradoxical position, which stemmed from the tension created by the legal situation governing Jewish minorities in many German cities at the time. Upper class German families and craft guilds put pressure on municipal authorities to prohibit Jewish printshops, particularly from the beginning of the 17th century, when competition by Leipzig threatened the Frankfurt book trade. Yet the impossibility of establishing print workshops and obtaining licenses and privileges in no way impeded the expansion of Hebrew and Yiddish book production. This situation tells a lot about survival strategies and the circumventing devices developed by Jewish printers to avoid head-on clashes with city authorities and guilds and violation of municipal regulations, while continuing to produce a great quantity of books for local, national and international markets. In order to circumvent guild restrictions, Jewish printers negotiated contracts with Christian printers whom they used as a cover. This explains why Jewish books are most often identified by the names of Christian printers and the names of the Jewish printers are omitted from the title pages. A similar situation was seen in a number of other large printing centers in Europe, such as Augsburg and Basel where, although Jews were not permitted to live in these cities, a book industry in Hebrew and Yiddish succeeded in developing.

To assess the growth of books and reading in Europe in the 18th century, we have chosen to analyze three representative works of the printing output of Frankfurt. Two are morality books typical of a major genre of old Yiddish literature. The first is an instructive treatise containing many mystical sources, Kav ha-yashar by Tsvi Hirsh Koidanover published in 1705-1706 by the Christian printer Johann(es) Wust, a second edition of which was printed in 1709 by Matthäus (Matthias) Andreae. The second work is a Yiddish adaptation of narrative and ethical portions of the Zohar entitled Nahalat Tsvi by Tsvi Hirsh ben Yerahmiel Chotsch, printed in 1711 by the Christian printer Anton Heinscheidt. This work illustrates a change in Ashkenazi culture. Until this time, the Zohar had circulated mainly in learned circles; henceforth, it entered, at least partially, the middle levels of Jewish society. This transformation reflects the evolution of teaching frameworks, study methods and the cultural role played by less educated readers, both men and women, in the propagation of knowledge. Lastly we cite the edifying work, Derekh ha-yashar le-olam ha-ba by Yehiel Mikhl ben Abraham Epstein, printed in 1717 by the Christian printer Anton Kellner.

The Printing of Yiddish Books

The production of books in Yiddish depended upon a commercial arrangement between, on the one hand a Christian printer, owner of a workshop that could provide a technical infrastructure with machines and tools and, on the other, a Jewish printer with
typesetters and, most importantly, correctors. We have some information concerning the production and financing of the three works mentioned above. Firstly, concerning the publishers of Derekh ha-yashar le-olam ha-ba (1717) by Yehiel Mikhl Epstein printed in the workshop of Anton Kellner (Koelner), of the family of Johann Kellner. The work, as indicated on the title page, was prepared for publication, composed, corrected and printed by Shlomo Zalman Aptrod and Moshe Gamburg. Kav ha-yashar was printed in two publishing houses. The bilingual first edition of Kav ha-yashar (1705) was produced in the workshop of Johann Wust, a business founded by Christian Wust of Wittenberg, followed by Balthasar Christoph the elder, and taken over by his two sons, Balthasar Christoph Wust the younger, who married the daughter of publisher Johann Beyer, and Johann Wust, who owned a number of Hebrew fonts, which enabled him to print, among others, the works of Tsvi Hirsh Koidanover. Johann Wust played a role in the development of Jewish book printing, particularly following the agreement he made with the publisher Johann David Zunner and with the principle Jewish booksellers who controlled the market at the time. This type of profitable commercial partnership enabled a Christian publisher to begin a venture with the assurance of being able to sell his production. The second edition of Kav ha-yashar (1709) was printed by Matthäus (Matthias) Andreae, the son of Johann Andreae, who took over his father's shop and carried on the trade from 1681 to 1722. After having helped produce a number of Jewish books, Johann Andreae led a fight against Jewish printers, accusing them of twisting the laws of the market. As for Nahalat Tsvi, the Yiddish adaptation of the Zohar, its publisher, Tsvi Hirsh Chotsch, began by collecting haskamot from great rabbis of the period in order to encourage people to contribute money. In the preface to the book, Chotsch explains that he collected the donations needed for the book's publication from donors such as Moshe Schiff and Ithshak Homel. In addition, to hasten the production of the book, the task was divided among three different printers. This plan was thwarted, however, by the fire in the Jewish quarter in 1711, which delayed printing. Whole passages of the translation were destroyed and the edition is therefore incomplete. The name of the first printer is mentioned on the title page. He is the son of Johann Einscheidt de Cologne, Anton Einscheidt, who printed several books in Yiddish between 1711 and 1719, including the Iggeret ha-teshuvah by Jonah Gerondi (1711) and the Sefer Yehudit, Yehuda Makabi ve-toviah (1714). The second printer was Nikolaus Weinmann, whose name is cited at the end of the work. The name of the third printer is unknown.

We know some details regarding the financial arrangements for the printing of the three books. Concerning, Kav ha-yashar, Tsvi Hirsh Koidanover prepared the manuscript to give to the printer and the work was paid for by his family. His wife Gutel was the daughter of Isaac Kohen Gans of Frankfurt who, with his son Yehuda Loeb, advanced the money for the printing of works edited by Tsvi Hirsh Koidanover. According to the preface in Hebrew, we know that Nahalat Tsvi was financed by donations collected by Tsvi Hirsh Chotsch to help pay the printer. We have no precise information regarding the production costs for the book by Yehiel Mikhl Epstein.

Concerning haskamot, these three works are representative of two divergent attitudes toward the internal control of book production in Yiddish. Two of the books include rabbinic approval, which is relatively rare for Yiddish publications, the great majority of which were published without rabbinic approval. Kav ha-yashar contains two letters of authorization, one by the chief rabbi and av beit din of Frankfurt, Naphtali Ha-Kohen, and the other by Shmuel Katz, av beit din of Darmstadt, permitting the printing of the book.
and prohibiting anyone from reproducing it without permission for an unlimited period. As for Taytsh-Zohar, it contains the authorizations of thirteen rabbis from Germany, Bohemia and Moravia, including, once again, Naphtali Ha-Kohen, who authorizes the “great preacher” (darshan ha-gadol) Tsvi Hirsch ben R. Yerahmeel, versed in the “wisdom of the kabala” (hokhmat ha-Kabbalah), to print “simple commentaries and edifying words of the Sefer ha-zohar which he has assembled and written in Yiddish (loshn Ashkenaz) for the good of all, great and small, women and the ignorant. Shimeon bar Yohai himself wrote it (the Zohar) in the vernacular (bilshon la’az), in the language of the Targum, which was spoken by all the people. (Likewise) Moses received the holy Torah from the mouth of God and he explained it in sixty languages for women and unlearned men.” Another rabbi was the Landrabbiner of Bohemia and av beit din of Prague, R. David ben Abraham Oppenheim. All of them gave their permission to print the work and prohibited its reproduction for a period of 15 years from the date of publication. As for the moral treatise by Yehiel Mihkl Epstein, it is part of a great number of works in Yiddish which were published without haskamot. The increased production of books, which made it impossible for the rabbis to control every book and write their haskamot, the secondary status accorded to vernacular books, and the mediocre quality of popularized works intended for less educated readers explain why authors and printers deemed it unnecessary to include rabbinic authorizations. Furthermore, the dispersion of printing centers in Germany and the lack of a supra-community control enabled printers to re-edit works in Yiddish, without taking into account possible prohibitions. Most of the time, they merely changed a title page or introduction and reproduced texts from earlier versions.

Publishing of Popular Jewish Texts

Before presenting a work to the printer the editor prepared a manuscript copy for printing. In the 17th and 18th centuries it was rare to find specimens of this sort, which served as matrices to prepare the printed version, since the printers usually destroyed them as soon as a book was printed and the authors did not keep them. For the three works in question, we can reconstitute some aspects of the writing, editing and organization of the texts. Their printing illustrates the cultural changes which took place among Ashkenazi communities in the late 17th and early 18th centuries. The expansion of education, personal reading and individual study, the increase in written documents, and thus of the paper market, gave greater importance to scribes and producers of texts who were able to draw up handwritten documents on request. At the same time, books for personal use increasingly penetrated Jewish homes. Our three authors, who were aware of the pedagogic function of books, the increased demand for books by popular readers and the need for the moral instruction of the Jewish masses, ventured to publish inexpensive works in the vernacular language for didactic ends. Each seems animated by the same wish to distribute edifying works to a community threatened by ignorance, lack of knowledge of canonic texts and slackening of moral standards. Thus, on the title page of Nahalat Tsvi, the author explains that the book consists of “moral words (divrei musr), stories (sippurei mayses) and simple revelations translated and assembled in the Yiddish tongue”. Similarly, the title page of Kav ha-yashar states that it is an excellent work “useful for the soul and body, that all may meditate on from year to year, (which includes) good literal commentaries, parables and moral passages. Anyone (who reads
them) will feel joy and delight”. Derekh ha-yashar le-olam ha-ba by Yehiel Mikhl Epstein states that it is intended for “simple readers, women and young girls.” Its preface notes that:

9 “Those who live in large communities where there is one or more rabbis, learned men or doctors of the law, can pose a question to the rav. But (this is not the case for) those who live in small communities and yeshuvim, where there is no one to teach (unter rikhtn) or advise (unter vayzn) them from time to time. That is why I have produced this book, so that people may learn from it... I hope it will be of use to them, that they will follow what they find in it, and will read other books in Yiddish (taytsh) in which they will find laws (dinim), like Lev tov, Brantspigl or Sefer ha-yire”

10 On the structure and page lay-out of popular Jewish books, there have, so far, been few analyses focusing on the complemenarity between the content, technical characteristics and writing styles of these works. Our three texts do, however, show signs of reflection and search for an appropriate form for this type of work, intended for less educated readers. They contain much information on the cultural and religious role of the “authors” of vernacular works, who combined the functions of compiler, translator, commentator, educator and transmitter of canonic texts and who acted as intermediaries between the High Culture in Hebrew and popular readers.

34 In his analysis of Kav ha-yashar, Elias Tcherikower regards Tsvi Hirsh Koidanover as a “plagiarist”, who simply assembled existing sources and assumed authorship of the text. In basing his comment on the modern notion of attributing a work to a sole creator, Elias Tcherikower misunderstands the process of producing popular books in a vernacular language. This has nothing to do with an “author” in the modern sense of the term, that is, a “creator” (auctor) who composes an original work. Such a designation is not applicable to the process of producing popular Jewish texts in Yiddish. In these cases, the author is, above all, a transmitter who transposes Hebrew sources into the vernacular, augmenting or enriching an already existent work with personal commentaries.

35 Thus, in his introduction, Tsvi Hirsh Koidanover describes the methods he used to prepare the text. He recalls the two masters who had a decisive influence on his education and on the composition of his ethical treatise: first, his father, Aaron Samuel Koidanover, rabbi, preacher, and author of a number of ethical works; second, his master, Yosef ben Yehuda of Dubno, a kabbalist, ascete, and author of Yesod Yosef, a moral work with kabbalistic references. When Yosef of Dubno passed away, in 1700, Tsvi Hirsh Koidanover inherited a manuscript that served as the basis for the composition of Kav ha-yashar. In 1705, while Tsvi Hirsh Koidanover was living in Frankfurt, he decided to print this work and he explains in the preface, in Hebrew, that: “The book was composed on the basis of what I heard from my father, R. Aaron Samuel and other great rabbis of our province, among them the famous rabbi, may he rest in peace, the righteous, Rav ha-Gaon R. Yosef of Dubno.”

40 In another extract, we read: “In some chapters I have reproduced their exact words... Elsewhere, on the contrary, I have added my own words to theirs and, at still other times I have omitted some things...Thus I do not take the crown for myself alone.”

11 An identical process of collective creation can be observed in Nahalat Tsvi, by Tsvi Hirsh ben Yerahmiel Chotsh. Fragments of the Zohar had already been translated by Aviezer Zelig, a Kabbalist and rosh yeshiva in Korev near Lublin, and the great grandfather of the editor. In 1601, Aviezer Zelig began to translate the Zohar into Yiddish, but he was unable to publish his translation before his death. One of his four sons, Yosei of Vienna, collected...
Haskamot to enable the publication of this translation, but the *gezerot tat"het* (1648) upset this plan, mainly because the authorizations written by the rabbis had been destroyed. Tsvi Hirsh Chotsch, a descendent of Aviezer Zelig, agreed to publish the text but introduced several changes, firstly because he judged the translation too literal, secondly because the manuscript had been damaged, and thirdly because certain esoteric passages had been translated into the vernacular. Just like the author of *Kav ha-yashar*, the adaptor of the Zohar considered it unthinkable to reveal to ordinary Jews the secret aspects of Kabbalistic doctrine. Tsvi Hirsh Chotsch therefore undertook to rewrite his ancestor’s translation, eliminating passages deemed too obscure or speculative, or which he believed should be hidden from the mass of simple readers. He completed defective passages, reorganized the structure, added quotations from mystical texts, such as the *Tikkunei Zohar*, and adapted the style so as to render it closer to the common language. Publication was delayed several times, often because of financial difficulties but mainly due to the fire that ravaged the Frankfurt community in 1711. The book was finally printed that same year by the Christian printer Anton Einscheit with the collaboration of Nikolaus Weinmann.

12 Even if the writing style of the book by Yehiel Mikhl ben Abraham ha-Levi Epstein seems different, the construction is similar to that of the other two works. Let us recall that Yehiel Mikhl Epstein, a German rabbi, was mainly the author of compendiums (*kitsurim*), notably *Kitsur Shelah* (Fürth, 1693 and 1696), which was translated into Yiddish by Wolf Gershels of Prague under the title *Ets Hayyim* (Frankfurt, 1720). This was an abridged version of *Shnei Luhot ha-Brit* by Isaiah Horowitz (first edition, Amsterdam, 1649) to which Yehiel Mikhl Epstein added glosses, laws, customs, as well as his own reflections on education and the process of study. Yehiel Mikhl Epstein intervened on three different levels. first as a compiler, selecting from the vast body of ethical and mystical texts fragments containing fundamental ideas, then as a translator putting into the vernacular a limited number of Hebrew sources, and finally as a commentator, adding new references and interpretations to existing sources.

13 This common profile helps us to define the figure of the “author” of popular texts in Yiddish. Sometimes he is content to translate without changing anything and to link together texts composed by others. Sometimes, he takes traditional texts as his basis and adds limited commentaries, which aim to make difficult passages more specific or more explicit. On other occasions, he is an author, when his additions go beyond mere clarification or when traditional sources are integrated with personal statements in order to support original interpretations (*hiddushim*). Our three “authors” alternate between the functions of translator, compiler, interpreter and commentator, but they have no ambition to create an original work. What they want above all is to disseminate ethical texts and fragments of mystical tradition in the vernacular language, to complete people’s education and enhance the moral attributes of popular readers.

14 The material presentation of the works presumes linguistic and technical choices intended to make the texts more intelligible. The books are written, as the prefaces indicate, in a “clear and simple language” (*safa berura, leshon tseha, bilshon kalila*) in order to clarify the often complex meaning of the Hebrew sources. Particular attention is paid to the material presentation, in order to facilitate a visual and mental grasp of the texts. The popular works were, in general, printed in a smaller format, so that they could be put in a pocket or a bag when traveling. Another important aspect was the choice of characters. One of the most remarkable features of these works is the adoption of the
cursive writing style known as Mashait Ashkenaz, which has the particularity of being clear and easy for the eye to grasp. The compact appearance of the pages, as in the works of Tsvi Hirsh Koidanover and Tsvi Hirsh Chotsch, without blanks or pauses, implies an intensive oralized type of reading, where the reader deciphers the text, pronouncing it in a low voice, either one word at a time or more rapidly. One may also imagine a type of silent reading by readers with more training or experience. The printers also introduced, in these pages, particularly in the case of prayer books, differences between the characters in order to separate the Hebrew texts from those in the vernacular. This hierarchy between the cursive and block characters makes it possible to insert markers or indications, especially in translations of the Bible, between the verses of the Torah and translations or commentaries in Yiddish.

A feature of Kav ha-yashar is that it is bilingual, which is quite rare for popular works of the time. At the top of each page is a text in Hebrew, printed in small block rabbinic characters in two columns, and underneath is the Yiddish text in which we find an alternation of cursive Ashkenazi and block rabbinic writing, mainly used for citing biblical verses. The printer makes the beginning of each chapter coincide in the Hebrew and Yiddish portions, to make it easier to pass from one language to the other. Thanks to this dual page lay-out, the printer increased his readership, and thus his financial profit, since the work could be read by the learned as well as by Jews whose knowledge of Hebrew was imperfect.

Another important element is the writing style of these texts, which contains special features that differ from those of scholarly works in Hebrew. The editorial strategies of the printers combined the writing styles of the compilers, translators and commentators. Tsvi Hirsh Koidanover mixes and assembles a multitude of sources, such as the oral teachings of his masters, original or re-written quotations from printed works, and personal additions. One distinctive feature is the nearly exclusive use of previous sources, which are re-written and combined to form new statements in the vernacular. The fragments, furthermore, are not connected to each other, which explains why we find heterogeneous fragments, or even divergent opinions placed side by side with no apparent logic. Very rarely does the author intervene in the first person. He remains in the background, merely assembling a great diversity of old sources. He seeks to anthologize and recapitulate more than to be systematically consistent, or to organize a logical discourse around a precise theme or present an original thought.

Yet another element is the simplification or “popularization” of “sources”. Kav ha-yashar is “put together" with excerpts from a multitude of ethical and mystical traditions. We find occasional fragments of the literature of the Heykhalot, the Merkaba, the book of Enoch or quotations from Midrashim such as Alfabeta d-Rabbi Akiba. There are many passages from the Zohar, mainly the ethical or aggadic portions. The author excludes philosophic and speculative references, such as those concerning the divine throne and cosmogonic speculations on the creation (ma'aseh bereshit), the eyn sof or the sefirot. The tradition most commonly used is ethical and mystical literature, such as Sefer Hasidim, Reshit Hokhmah by Eliah de Vitasor Shnei luhot he-brit by Isaiah Horowitz. We find some allusions to practical kabbala, such as references to the teachings of Joel Ba'al Shem of Zamocz or Jacob ben Eliezer Temerls, a master of the holy name and 17th century Kabbalist. There are also references to hagiographic traditions, such as that of Isaac Luria and his followers, notably Hayyim Vital. Most often, Tsvi Hirsh Koidanover presents the quintessence of a quotation or commentary, without going into the details of...
reasoning or interpretation. The theoretical and speculative are secondary to the ethical and educational objective and the wish to mark people’s minds, by moralizing, admonishing and warning against deviances and arousing the fear of punishment. The means of exposition are similar to those of sermons or explanations of the law and practices given by the learned to less educated listeners or readers. We must remember that Tsvi Hirsh Koidanover, like Yehiel Mikhl Epstein and Tsvi Hirsch Chotsch, was a preacher (maggid), aware of the need to transmit the essence of Jewish tradition to the Jewish people. As representative examples of the writing of Yiddish texts, we present two excerpts from *Kav ha-yashar*. The first, which concerns the feast of Pesah, contains, among others, references to the Zohar, *Shnei Luhot ha-Brit*, Isaac Luria and the oral teachings of Tsvi Hirsh Koidanover’s master, Yosef of Dubno. The practices connected with the *Pesah Seder* are clarified through mystical references, gematria and demonology:

“The Shelah” writes that it is customary to wash the body with hot water on the eve of a festival, particularly on the eve of Pesah. The Zohar (*Vayiqra*, 35b) also explains that when we burn the hamets, we must think that we are free men (bnei horin) and when we burn the hamets, we show that the Holy One, blessed by He, has destroyed the inclination to do evil and God is one and His name is one. That is why we burn the hamets on the fourteenth day of the month of Nisan, because there is a full moon and the kelipot have no power over little children who could, God forbid, fall victim to epilepsy (nihkheh). However, when there is no full moon, the kelipot can do harm to children; that is why in Ma’aseh Bereshit, me’orot is written without a vav, meaning malediction (me’érat) and that we have vanquished the kelipot. This night is called a night of watch (lail shimurim), as it protects from demons (mazikim). We show by this law that by burning the hamets, we are freed from the evil inclination. Just as when someone is freed from captivity he washes and purifies himself, so when we are freed from the exile of the evil inclination (galut ha-yetser ha-ra), we must wash and bathe on the eve of Pesah. The Seder is a tikkun for the Shekhina. The coming out of captivity (from Egypt) was led by a Jewish woman. My master said that the coming out of captivity was thanks to a virgin who had not yet had her menses (seder nashim). The Zohar explains a great secret on this subject. We must read the Haggada and recount with great joy the coming out of Egypt and the miracles and wonders that the Holy One, blessed by He, accomplished. Then God assembled all the angels and said to them: See how my children of Israel rejoice and praise me, for I have freed them from Egypt. However, he who reads the Haggada of Pesah without joy will not merit that the Holy One, blessed by He, make miracles but he will send him punishments. When we recite the Ma’aseh be-Rabbi Eliezer, we must be aware that in the heavens there are 370 lights to illuminate those who study Torah for its own sake. We must be aware when we say the word ma’aseh, that it is made up of the letters mem, ayn, shin, hey and that through the merit of R. Eliezer and R. Akiba, the 45 and the 360 lights illuminate us and protect us from evil. The Ari, of blessed memory, says: When we recite ve-hi she-amdah la-avoténu until veha-kadosh barukh hu matsilnu mi-yadam “And the Holy one, blessed be He, saved us from their hands”, we must raise our glass, for the word “and she” (ve-hi) refers to the Shekhina. She is among us and she protects us, just as the divine presence was at our side in the exile in Egypt.”

A second and quite different excerpt recounts oral traditions taught by the prophet and visionary Heschel Tsoref, who was a leader of the Sabbatean movement in Poland. As in his work, *Sefer ha-Tsoref*, he offers, based on gematriot, esoteric interpretations and speculations on the date of redemption. Poland, the land of impurity where the Jews must bear the suffering of exile, is compared to the land of Edom and Esau as opposed to Israel, the land of freedom. However, it is from Poland and Lithuania that the messianic redemption will begin, which will then spread southward. This passage, which ends *Kav*...
ha-yashar, shows the force of messianic hope in Eastern Europe at the end of the 17th century, particularly in the Sabbatean movement:

“In Midrash Rabbi, Parashat Bereshit”, it is written: “And YHWH Elohim made for Adam and his wife coats of skin (‘or) and clothed them”. The word ‘or is found in the Torah of Rabbi Meir written with an alef, not with an ayin. Why did R. Meir change the word, which is written in the Torah with an ayin, and write it instead with an alef? I will give an explanation: the Rabbi could change this letter and not another talmid hakham, for I have heard from a holy man, Heschel of Vilna, may his memory be blessed, a great secret and I will write part of this explanation: One must know that Tsepho ben Eliphaz ben Esav corresponds to the first kelipah among the 70 nations and its chief Samaël is Satan. Tsefo is a country in the land of Israel, which is called Erets Tsuf; for the letters of tsefo are the letters of tsuf and tsuf is in holiness and tsefo is in impurity. When one wishes to announce to Samaël that such and such a person (peloni) has the same numerical value as tsuf, that is 166, one must know that tsuf founded the country of Poland, whose numerical value is 166, just like tsefo. Poland is the greatest kingdom of Edom. We often find explained in the Gemara and in the Midrash: when one wants to mention the capital of a country, one says this city is meterpolin, namely, the city is the mother of cities. This is why Poland is called muterpolis, for Poland is the mother of all the kingdom of Edom. This state (polin) is under the domination of this person (peloni) who is Samaël. The Holy One, blessed by He, has decreed that in the country of Poland, the Jews will suffer many exiles, more than in other countries, because Adam ha-rishon committed the sin of eating the fruit of the tree of knowledge. Some wise men say that the fruit of the tree of knowledge is wheat and in the holy tongue wheat is hitah whose numerical value is 22, for Adam ha-rishon spoiled the 22 letters of the alphabet which are the letters of the Torah. The sin of Adam ha-rishon spoiled the eighth measure (midah) of the ten sefirot and eight times twenty-two is 166, just like tsepho and peloni. And because of Hava, sin came to the earth, for she obeyed the primeval serpent (nahash kadmoni). When we write Hava, that is het, vav and hey, if we retain the last letters (of the name of each letter), this gives tav, vav, alef, which equals (together), in gematria, tavhet, that is 408. This reminds us that, in the year tav het (408 that is 1648), the qelipatsepho will triumph in the land of Poland thanks to the sar ha-peloni. In that year the Messiah will come, as it is said in the Zohar Midrash Neelam, where it is written: “With this (zot) Aaron will come into the holy place”. In 1648, the geula will come. And when in the word zot, written with a zayin, alef and a tav, if we take the last letters of the name of each letter, that is, yud, nun, lamed, feh and tav, this is equal in gematria to tsepho which has the same numerical value as polin and peloni. And that is the secret that the prophet said: From the North will come the evil, which means the prophet said from the north will come the evil decrees (gezerot) against Israel. The secret that the kingdom of the north (Tsafon) equals Poland and Lithuania, I heard from the mouth of the Holy Rabbi Heschel Tsoref, z”l, and I have other great secrets to write, but they cannot all be revealed... One should know that the Messiah will come first to the kingdom of Poland and Lithuania, as said in the verse: Awake, north wind! Come, south wind! which means the redemption (geula) of Israel will come from the north, for tsafon, polin and lita have the same numerical value. And then the redemption will spread to the south.”

Readers and Reading Practices

Reading, long considered a passive activity, was re-evaluated as a creative act, somewhere between individual experience and social action, that reflected cultural habits, and also a greater autonomy of subjects in relation to the control of the religious authority. If the study of reading practices in Jewish society has much in common with popular cultural
habits, proper to Europe, it nevertheless shows an evolution in the religious lives of Jews, concerning, among other things, the individualization of belief. Of course, Yiddish books still represented, primarily, a tool for inculcating norms of behavior and preserving traditional values. If the rabbinic authorities praised the technique of printing, it was because they understood all the possible advantages they could reap from the diffusion of books for the propagation of the faith and the edification of simple Jews. The same was true for morality books (musar sforim), which helped to interiorize norms of conduct, codes of behavior and the transmission of fundamental principles of the law, the Bible and prayers. Thus, on the title page of the edition of Seder tefila derekh yeshara, Yehiel Mikhl Epstein explains the types of readers of this kind of edifying book. It was printed, first of all, for “the ignorant (ameratsim), for old people (zkeynim), simple Jews (gemeyne balebatim) , young men (neorim), women (noshim) and young women (psules)... who do not understand the holy tongue”, and also for the learned (lamdonim) so that they should know the laws (dinim) and usages (hanhoges) connected with prayer. The work was intended mainly for Jews living in small communities, (yeshuvim, kfarim), where there was no leader (manheg), rabbi or master (moivre tsedek) with whom to study in a brotherhood (khevre) or bes-medresh.

Above and beyond the commercial rhetoric, commonly found on the title pages of popular books, which aimed to attract as many readers as possible, one can clearly see the multiple uses of this kind of book. First it could serve as a prayer book in the synagogue. It could also be consulted through individual silent reading at home, as a manual to accompany ritual and explain the meaning of the prayers. Lastly, it could be studied with the family when a less educated person wanted to understand the significance of a prayer or explain it to a child or relative. We read, for example, (fol. 8a-b): “It is good that each person (ben odem) should study daily by himself (zelbst lernen) according to his understanding. If he does not know the holy tongue, he must study books in Yiddish (taytsh sforim) which were composed with the fear of God, such as Tsenerene, Sefer lev tov, and Brantshpigl, but not, God forbid, (read) vain and senseless books (sifrei havolim) like books of imaginary stories (Bovebihlein) and other impious works”. The struggle against the reading of secular works of entertainment, deemed to divert from the study of the divine word, was one of the aims of edifying literature in Yiddish.

The change in reading practices was part of a slow cultural mutation which took place between the mid-17th and 18th centuries. The most remarkable change was the diversification in the types of reading. Until this time, “intensive” reading was the most widespread type among the popular classes. Readers, both men and women, regularly read and re-read a limited number of works in their possession, and often knew entire chapters by heart. The increased production of inexpensive books and the greater diversity of available works furthered “extensive” reading. Books became more familiar and lost their nearly sacred aura, as they became more familiar objects in the religious lives of simple Jews. The kinds of texts that were printed, whether Bible translations, homiletic commentaries, manuals of piety, moral treatises, collections of prayers of penitence and supplication (tekhines), tell us that reading was organized around the meditation of the revealed word, spiritual exercises and mystical techniques intended to purify the soul and commune with the divine. This form of more intimate relationship with the higher spheres, which no longer required the mediation of representatives of the religious authorities, be they rabbis or other learned men, implied a retreat from...
society, which often took the form of small holy brotherhoods, while at the same time favoring forms of mystical “dissidence”.

22 Our three works reflect the growing role of mysticism in popular Jewish literature. As the growth of individual, less directed, reading created a distance from the representatives of religious power and their manner of directing the study of canonic texts, references to mystical beliefs and practices multiplied in Yiddish works. Until the 17th century, nearly all mystical works were published in Hebrew or Aramaic. The choice of these languages implied a circulation of esoteric knowledge among circles of initiates with a high level of religious culture indispensable for reading, studying and interpreting learned works. Kabbalistic sources were hardly, or not at all, read or transmitted among middle levels of Jewish society whose religious culture was insufficient to initiate them into the “secrets of the Torah”.

23 An important indication of the transformation of the religious role and social usages of mystical texts is the dissemination of Kabbala through Yiddish, which became a possible vehicle of esoteric traditions. The rise of the vernacular language resulted in a wider diffusion among new ranks of readers, both men and women, of excerpts from Kabbalistic literature. From the start of the 17th century, we find short fragments of mystical texts in various works in Yiddish, such as the collection of legends, the Mayse bukh (Basel 1602), the homiletic commentary on the Bible, Tseenah u-renah (Lublin 1622) or the treatise on morals and civility, Brantshpigl (Basel 1602). From this period on, mystical themes penetrated different “genres” of old Yiddish literature. They entered translations of ethical treatises such as Kitsur Shelah (Fürth, 1693), an abridged version of Shnei Luhot ha-Brit by Isaiah Horowitz, published in Yiddish under the title Ets Hayyim (Frankfurt, c. 1720). They were also found in liturgical traditions such as the Maymodes (first edition, Verona, 1595), the Tikkunei Hatsot (Poland, 1790), Seder Shomerim la-Boker (Frankfurt, 1704, Hanau, 1719) and the Lurianic prayers. Kabbalistic lore was also disseminated through narrative traditions, such as hagiographic or edifying collections, notably, Mayse Adonai (Frankfurt, 1691), Abbir Yaakov by Akiba Baer ben Yosef (Sulzbach, 1700) and Mayse ha-Shem (Frankfurt, 1691), which includes multiple adaptations in Yiddish of hagiographic legends taken from Shivhei ha-Ari. This list of titles once again shows the importance of Frankfurt in the dissemination of fragments of mystical sources in the vernacular.

24 The preface of Nahalat Tsvi, a Yiddish adaptation of ethical and aggadic portions of the Zohar, attempts to legitimize the popularization of mystical sources in the vernacular. Tsvi Hirsh Chotsch explains that the story of the revelation of the Torah is divided into different phases. On Mount Sinai, God told Moses to reveal the secret meaning of the Law to the wise and learned and the literal meaning to the people. Even though simple Jews could not penetrate the hidden aspects of the Torah, which is compared to a garment
wrapped around the heart of the revealed law, their reward will be no smaller as long as their intention during prayer, their fervor (kavone) and their fear of God are sincere. Moses wanted to transmit the Mishnayot, Gemara and Midrashim, but God refused. Only after the destruction of the Temple, when Israel was in exile, and the Law was in danger of being forgotten, did it become necessary to put the oral law in writing. The great secrets (groyse soydes) it contains were nevertheless hidden and ended up by being lost. Then God sent Simeon bar Yohai and his disciples, who are compared to a great light that was hidden during the six days of creation, to reveal these secrets. With regard to the choice of the vernacular language, the author explains:

“In this work, we find many things written in the literal sense. Even if it is in Yiddish, the reward is great, for the Torah was transmitted to Moses in 70 languages... In the land where the language of Targum was spoken, the Zohar was transmitted to everyone (in this language), including to the people. In our country, the language spoken by everyone is Yiddish. Those who are educated must not think it shameful to read holy books (taytshe seforim) in Yiddish. That is why, here (in our country), the language of the Zohar must be Yiddish. I have therefore included, in this book, many fine literal commentaries (peshotim) that appear in the Zohar and I have revealed the hidden meaning of the verses and interpretations so that this holy book may arouse the fear of God in the heart of all.”

25 The dissemination of esoteric traditions furthered the new practice of reading in study circles within the holy brotherhoods (khevres). These kinds of books, full of mystical references, began to be read and commented on during collective meetings of groups of male or female readers who would listen, study and offer different interpretations from those imposed by the rabbinic authorities and learned men, for example, in the course of lessons in a house of study. Collective reading favored new forms of social encounters, even meetings of “heretics”. Various indications lead us to believe that Kav ha-yashar and Nahalat Tzvi were studied in small circles of the Sabbatean movement. We do not claim that they were studied exclusively in this marginal type of assembly, but we have reason to believe that they were read and interpreted by prophets, visionaries and itinerant preachers in order to spread religious propaganda related to the return of Shabbatai Tsevi.

26 Various types of texts were printed by the Sabbateans and circulated by their followers, among them, works of penitential devotion, spiritual exercises and liturgical collections, the reading of which was part of the purification of the Jewish people, an indispensable condition for the coming of the Messiah. But above all it was the Zohar, as a receptacle of the “mysteries of the divinity”, of descriptions of redemption, the end of time and the messianic era, that became an essential text in the theology of Shabbatai Tsevi and his disciples. If sources exist on the reception of Sabbateanism in Frankfurt, we find little information on the period that followed the apostasy of the false Messiah, undoubtedly due to fear of the rabbinic authorities, which led to silence and dissimulation. One can assume that, in this community as in many others in Europe, there still existed centers of Sabbateanism. Let us recall that, at the time when our three books came out, there lived in Frankfurt the av bet din and Kabbalist, Naphtali Katz, whose attitude to Shabbatai Tsevi was certainly hostile, but who nonetheless, gave his approval (haskamot) to various Sabbatean works including Hemdat Tzvi (Amsterdam, 1706) by the author of the Zohar in Yiddish, Tzvi Hirsh Chotsch. Nahalat Tzvi is a possible example of mystical production in the vernacular, which was disseminated by members of the “sect” to prepare the people for repentance and redemption. The authors of Kav ha-yashar and Nahalat Tzvi themselves seem to have had connections with Sabbatean circles. The title of Tzvi Hirsh
Koidanover’s work may be regarded as an indirect allusion to the Kabbala of Nathan of Gaza, for whom the “light of thought” (or ha-mahshava) rises in the “right quantity” (kav ha-yashar) to the space (tehiru) created after the tsimtsum. On the title page of the book, Tsvi Hirsh Koidanover justifies the title by explaining that it has the same numerical value as Tsvi. Elsewhere in his work, Tsvi Hirsh Koidanover explains, based on gematria:

“This I received from the divine man [ha-ish ha-élohi], our master, Rav Heschel Tsoref, z”l”.

We will recall that the latter was a mystical ascetic, a visionary, and a leader of the Sabbatean movement in Poland. In his text, Tsvi Hirsh Koidanover also mentions Yehuda Hassid, the Maggid of Szylowiec, a Sabbatean prophet in Poland. But above all, even if the Sabbateans who wrote the ethical works tried to dissimulate direct allusions to the theology of Shabbatai Tsvi, Kav ha-yashar can be considered an example of homiletic literature which might have circulated in Sabbatean circles between 1660 and the first decades of the 18th century. During this period, those whom G. Scholem calls “moderate Sabbateans”, concentrated their reflection on repentance and moral concern. The errors and failures of the previous period aroused the desire for redemption. The will to individual purification and collective liberation favored the publication of treatises that combined ascetic practices, prayers and penitential exercises, messianic speculations and Kabbalistic themes, in particular the use of kavanot, yihudim and meditation on the letters of the Torah (tserufim). Aside from speculative texts, a more “popular” form of Kabbala was also disseminated, based on certain edifying notions and supplemented by legends from the Talmud, the Midrashim and narrative parts of the Zohar or the Shivhei ha-Ari. One should also mention Shevet Musar (Constantinople, 1712) by Eliyah ha-Kohen ha-Itamari, which was translated into Yiddish (Amsterdam, 1732).

The late 17th and early 18th centuries were characterized in Germany, Bohemia and Moravia by the dissemination of Sabbatean themes by preachers, prophets and visionaries from the middle levels of Jewish society. In small clandestine circles of ascetic pietists, the writings of Nathan of Gaza were re-copied and studied, along with the Zohar, ethical treatises and Kabbalistic texts. One can mention, in Moravia and Silesia, the preacher (mokhiah) and peddler Loebele (Leibele) Prossnitz who, it is said, was “converted” to the Sabbatean doctrine by the editor of Taytsh-Zohar, Tsvi Hirsh Chotsch, during a stay in Prossnitz in 1696. Among his followers was Meir Eisenstadt, who was a rabbi in Prossnitz in the early 18th century. We know of Issachar Behr Perlhefter in Bohemia and Mordekhai ben Hayyim in Moravia, Hungary and Poland. Another Sabbatean center was formed in Mannheim with Isaiah Hassid of Zbarach, who, in his beyt ha-midrash, gave sermons calling for repentance. From Vilna, we have mentioned the goldsmith Heschel Tsoref who became a Sabbatean prophet, and in Grodno, Zadok or Hayyim Malakh who propagated the ideas of Nathan of Gaza in Poland and Podolia, as did Moshe Meir Kamenker. We also have testimonies, from around 1700, about the holy brotherhood (havruta qedoshah) of Yehuda Hassid, a group of Sabbateans, known as Hassidim, who were opposed to the authority of the rabbis and leaders of the kehilot and were given to extreme ascetism and Messianic speculations. We also know that some of the most influential preachers and authors of ethical books centering on ascetism were secret followers of Sabbateanism. However, we do not possess any ethical or mystical books reflecting the style of the sermons given in the vernacular at clandestine meetings of “believers”.

Kav ha-yashar and Nahalat Tsvi cannot be reduced merely to Sabbatean documents, because, as edifying books, they were read by a great many readers. They can, however,
be included among the treatises that were read and interpreted by Sabbatean followers, in individual or collective readings. Although we have no direct testimony concerning these clandestine assemblies and the social usages of the works, their contents have certain points in common with edifying works which centered on purification through asceticism and mortification, as promoted by preachers and moral instructors (maggidim), and which exhorted the Jewish people to self-sacrifice, penitence and confession of sins. In any case, Kav ha-yashar reflects the penetration of Kabbalistic ideas into old Yiddish literature and the incorporation of aspects, even though fragmentary, of Sabbatean “theology” in the form of sermons. The author’s aim was to arouse the fear of sin and transgression, to shed light on the sins of the generation and to call for collective repentance. Tsvi Hirsh Koidanover criticized simple Jews for their deviant conduct and moral imperfection, but also community leaders for their nepotism, corruption, indifference to poverty and quest for money, the consequences of which would strengthen the forces of evil, disturb the cosmic order, prolong the exile of the Shekhina and thus delay redemption.  

The connection with the Sabbatean movement is also seen in Tsvi Hirsh Chotsch and his Yiddish translation of the Zohar. According to G. Scholem, his commentary on the Tikquipei Zohar, Hemdat Tsvi (Amsterdam, 1706), “shows his Sabbatean belief”. Tsvi Hirsh Chotsch may have belonged to the circle of Heschel Tsoref while he was living in Cracow, and before he fled to Germany, after the scandal caused by the publication of his commentary on the Zohar. According to its preface, Nahalat Tsvi aimed to accelerate the final redemption that could come only “through the study of the Zohar, which each must study according to his grasp and his understanding”. There is another reason to think that the Yiddish translation of the Zohar may have been read in Sabbatean circles. The references to the readers of the book mention less educated men, children and also Jewish women and we know that the Messianic theology of Shabbatai Tsvi assigned a central place to women. This was expressed, in particular, by an appeal to women to read the Torah, the desire to promote equality of the sexes and the participation of women in study circles. In Taytsh-Zohar, we find various references to women readers. The title page is encircled with a biblical citation from Exodus (19,3): “you must tell the House of Jacob and tell the sons of Israel”. The Midrash and Rashi comment: “To the house of Jacob, refers to women. To the children of Israel means we must explain to men”. We also find the verse: “Assemble the people, men and women” (Deuteronomy 31,12) and the passage: “secret things [ha-nistarot] belong to the Lord our God, but revealed things [ha-niglot] belong to all, to us and our children, to practice all the words of this law” (Deuteronomy 29, 28). In Sabbatean study circles, women could read and study, including prayers of supplication (tekhines), morality books (sifrei muser), collections of mystical prayers inspired by Lurianic tradition, hagiographic legends on Siméon bar Yohai and Isaac Luria, and the Zohar in Yiddish. The increase in the reading of Kabbalistic works by women readers bears witness to an evolution in the division of sexual roles in traditional Jewish culture and the dissemination of Kabbala in Europe between the 17th and 18th centuries.

Conclusion

Books in Yiddish, long studied mainly from the viewpoint of their content and as translations, have been viewed primarily as vehicles for the transmission of Hebrew sources to general readers. The study of the preparatory phases of printing, and of the
visual space, page layout, technical choices, and the reception of Yiddish books provide important testimony on the cultural habits of traditional Jewish society and on the religious mutations that accompanied the growth of the printing industry. If popular Jewish books played a lesser role in the emergence of new doctrines, they nonetheless reflect changes in the transmission of rabbinic sources and in reading practices, at a time when mysticism was taking a greater place in religious life and when new means of appropriating canonic texts were spreading to less educated readers. Yiddish books were both a factor in the conservation of Jewish tradition and a laboratory of Jewish modernity.

NOTES

5. Jewish books (Judisch buchere) are mentioned in Frankfurt official records at the end of the 15th century, notably in Frankfurter Urkundenbuch aur frühgeschichte des Buchdruckes, publ. by W.K. Zülch et G. Mori, Frankfort, 1920, p. 57 and 59.
7. Frankfurt enjoyed an excellent geographic location, at the junction of many commercial and strategic routes, one of the factors which explain its rapid expansion. The town’s burghers and guilds took good advantage of this situation to extend their privileges and make Frankfurt one of the most important free cities of the empire. See, among others, “Frankfurt-am-Main”, Dictionnaire d’histoire et de géographie ecclésiastiques, Paris, vol. XVIII, p. 549-69. The autumn fair was increasingly visited by book dealers and professionals. With the growth of printing in Amsterdam, the links between Frankfurt and Holland strengthened. Many booksellers, who were also publishers and printers, such as Menassseh ben Israel, often attended the Frankfurt fairs in order to negotiate contracts and sell their products to shopkeepers. On the history of the fair, see F. Kapp et J. Goldfriedrich, Geschichte des deutschen Buchhandels, Leipzig, 1886-1913; A. Dietz, Zur Geschichte des frankfurter Büchermesse, 1462-1792, Frankfurt, 1921.
8. Frankfurt had a large Jewish community which, in 1462, centered around the Jewish street (Judengasse), which was not far from the area of the book trade. The Jewish population was constantly growing. In 1463 the city had 110 Jews and in 1610, around 3,000. On the history of the
Jews of Frankfurt, see, among others, I. Krakauer, Geschichte der Juden in Frankfurt am Main, 2 vols, Frankfurt, 1925-27.


10. See, I. Krakauer, Op. cit., 1927, vol. 2, 1927, p. 101-103. A. Dietz, Frankfurter Handelsgeschichte, Op. cit., 1923, vol. III, p. 162ff. Jewish works were also printed in various German cities which were growing in importance, such as Hanau, Homburg, Offenbach or Fürth. In 1701, for example, the authorities tried to ban the printing of Jewish books. But as the book trade had become the source of substantial revenues, the ban was lifted. See H.B. Friedberg, Op. cit., 1935, p. 65; A.H. Haberman, Ha-Sefer ha-ivri ve-hitpathuto, Jerusalem, 1968, p. 150; The anti-Jewish insurrection of 1614 during which the mob, led by agitator Vincent Fettmilch, entered the Jewish quarter, burned books, desecrated Torah scrolls, and killed people, is indicative of the violent tensions between Christians and Jews. The history of this pogrom is found in Megilat Vintz (1616) by Elhanan ben Abraham Helen, see R. Ulmer, Turmoil, Trauma and Triumph, the Fettmilch Uprising in Frankfurt am Main (1612-1616) According to the Megilas Vintz, Frankfurt, 2001.


15. A first edition was printed in Frankfurt in 1703 by Johann Wust, see M. Steinschneider, Catalogus... Bibliotheca Bolleiana (St CB), Berlin, 1860, p. 1274, n° 6526, 1 and a second edition in 1713 (St CB, 5626, 3). The work discussed here is the third edition, published in 1717, which consists of 104 folios and 40 chapters, see St CB, 5656, 4.

16. The Christians who took part in the publication of Yiddish works were at the same time printers, publishers and booksellers who offered the books they printed for sale and held part ownership. We may assume they sold the books to Jewish booksellers, in Frankfurt, in the region or further afield, in an economic partnership with Jewish peddlers. In Frankfurt, the agreements between Jews and Christians were usually privately arranged, without resort to a notary, as was the case, for example, in Amsterdam or Venice. The agreement stipulated ownership rights, sharing of costs and profits, types of works to be printed, number of copies, methods of sale and distribution, in particular the use of a system of barter (Tauschhandel). The choice of Hebrew characters to be used and the format were the prerogative of the Jewish workers who were hired by the printer for the duration of production of the book.

17. We know the names of some correctors (magie, magiim), typesetters (zetser) and pressmen (pren tsisher). For example, the colophon of Nahalat Tsvi praises the work of Eizik Schayer (Scheyer) who “took care of all printing needs from the beginning to the end and gave advice on the printing”. The 1709 edition of Kav ha-yashar does not include the names of the correctors, nor does the work of Yehiel Mikhel Epstein.

19. The names of these Jewish printers also appear in the edition of *Tsenerene*, (Frankfurt, 1726), published by Johann Kellner.


21. He also printed an edition of the *Mayse bukh* (Frankfurt, 1702) and *Sefer ma’amadot* (Frankfurt, 1703). According to H. Zafren (Op. cit, 1997, p. 263), he worked from 1690 to 1707.

22. Johann David Zunner the elder died in 1653 and his son took over until his death in 1704. Between 1665 and 1704, he published 842 works, many on eastern languages, including Hebrew and Aramaic and, among others, an edition of the Zohar. In 1703, Johann David Zunner entered into partnership with the Jewish bookseller Meyer Abraham Beer, an act which provoked a violent and hostile reaction on the part of local shopkeepers. This was the first time that a Jew became a partner of a Christian publisher.

23. At the end of the 17th century Jewish booksellers dominated the market of Hebrew and Yiddish books in Frankfurt: such as Amschel zur Meise and his son Nathan Amschel Maas at the sign of Zum gueldenen Strauss, who worked with the publisher/bookseller David zum Schiff. See A. Dietz, Op. cit., tome III, 1923, p. 162-164.

24. Johann Andreae the younger, who came from a Strasbourg family, practiced from 1666 until his death in 1693. He worked in the shop of Christian Wust the elder. In 1666, he took over the shop of his brother-in-law Daniel Fievet. Of his five sons Johann Philipp and Johann Matthäus (Matthias) worked in printing. In 1707, Matthias Andrea bought up the typographic material of Johann Wust, the family Andreae worked for between 1666 and 1793. See J. Benzing, Op. cit., 1982, p. 137.

25. Matthias Andreae printed an edition of *Yosippon*, *Tsenerene* and the Book of Job with a Yiddish translation. The Andreae family printed many religious works inspired by the pietist movement. According to F. Lübbecke, who gives no precise details, Johann Phillip Andreae took part in the fight against competition from Jewish printers and shopkeepers. Elsewhere in his work, F. Lübbecke explains that the Christian financiers withdrew from the book trade because of low returns from this economic sector due notably to the long periods for the reimbursement of loans and the hazards of the trade of printer and publisher, often faced with losses and forced into bankruptcy. Frankfurt publishers had to turn to Jewish moneylenders, which created tensions. Christian publishers accused the Jews of demanding commissions and imposing too high interest rates. Their representatives made repeated complaints to the City Council and to the Emperor. As the Christian printers could not always repay the sums they borrowed, they paid off their debts in the form of book stocks, which were later resold or sold off cheaply. Although there is some truth in this explanation, it seems simplistic and does not take into account the general context of the German book market at this time. The printing and bookselling trade in Frankfurt saw periods of recession and prosperity, independent of the part played by the Jewish
printers. See F. Lübbecke, *Fühuendt Jahre Buch und Druck in Frankfurt am Main*, Frankfurt, 1948, p. 84, 89-90.


28. Also spelt Hainscheid or Einscheit.


32. After the introduction to Sefer tam ve-yashar, Fürth,1768, these lines are quite representative: “As it is not suitable to give a haskamah to a book printed in Yiddish (loshn Ashkenaz), all persons must be on guard that this book should not be printed during all the time that it can still be found in any place, so that there will not be any risk of property violation (hasagat gevul)”. 

33. It was not until the late 18th century that printers and collectors began to preserve authors’ manuscripts. This fetishism for handwritten works went hand in hand with the “consecration of the writer”, whose works acquired near sacred value, particularly in relation to the “great writers” of European literature. In popular Yiddish literature, we find no author’s manuscript prepared for printing. On the emergence of the notion of the author in the 17th and 18th centuries in Europe, see R. Chartier, “Figures de l’auteur”, *Culture écrite et société, l’ordre des livres (XIVe-XVIIIe siècle)*, Paris, 1996, p. 45-80.


38. Tsvi Hirsh Koidanover’s precise date of birth, in the mid-17th century, is unknown. He spent his childhood in Kurow near Lublin until 1658, the year the Cossacks burned and pillaged his father’s house and killed his two sisters. The father, Aaron Samuel ben Israel Koidanover, who was a rabbi, settled with his family in various cities: Langenlois (1656-1659) in Austria, Nikolausburg in Moravia, Fürth (1660-1667) and Frankfurt (1667-1674). Tsvi Hirsh Koidanover was an itinerant preacher in Germany, Poland and Lithuania. He returned for a while to Vilna, then came back to Frankfurt where he published the works of his father and a collection of Tekhinës by R. Hayyim Raszswitz (Frankfurt, 1709). One of his daughters married Elhanan Hendel Kirschen, the author of the morality book *Simhus ha-nafesh* (Frankfurt, 1707 and Fürth, 1727). Tsvi Hirsh Koidanover edited two treatises of the Talmud printed by Matthias Andreea, *Gittin* (1708) and *Pesahim* (1710), see M.J. Heller, *Printing the Talmud*, Leiden, Brill, 1999, p. 37-40. He died in 1712. See L. Löwenstein, “Zur Geschichte der Juden in Fürth”, *Jahrbuch der jüdisch-literarischen Gesellschaft*, 6, 1908, p. 158-163; M. Brann, “Ein Sammlung Fürther Grabinschriften”, *Gedenkbuch zur Errinnerung an David Kaufmann*, publ. by M. Brann, F. Rosenthal, Breslau, 1900, p. 395.
39. Born in Vilna in 1614, died in 1676. In his lifetime, he published a Talmudic commentary, Birkat ha-Zevah (Amsterdam, 1669). Three other works were published by his son: sermons, Birkat Shmuel (Frankfurt, 1682), a book of responsa, Emanut Shmuel (Frankfurt, 1683) and a Talmudic commentary, Tifferet Shmuel (Frankfurt, 1696). See M. Horovitz, Frankfurter Rabbinen, publ. by J. Unna, Jérusalem, 1969, p. 87-90 et 293-95.

40. The work was printed in Sklov in 1785, nearly a century after his death, in 1700.

41. Lit. shelo ekah atarah le-atsmi as in TB Kiddushin 48b.


44. On the importance of this type of abridged re-writing (kitsurim), see Z. Gries, Sifrut hanhagot, Jerusalem, 1989, p. 54-70.


48. Except for translations of the Bible, bilingual prayer books and certain works such as Menorat ha-ma’or by Isaac Aboab (Amsterdam, 1701) translated into Yiddish by Moshe ben Simeon Frankfurt or the Sippurei mayses of R. Nahman of Bratslav (first edition Ostrog, 1815).


52. KHY, chap. 90.

53. Isaiah Horowitz, Shnei Luhot ha-Brit, Masekhet Pesahim, Bnei Brak, s.d., p. 44.
54. See Pesahim 109b and Rosh ha-shana 11b. Sanhedrin 34b, speaks of “a night that is watched (designated) for the redemption ever since the six days of creation”. The theme of the night of the watch is thus linked to redemption.

55. KHY, 102.


57. Bereshit Rabbah, chap. XX, 12.


59. This is a biblical copy established with variants, including glosses by R. Meir, see S. Lieberman, Hellenism in Jewish Palestine, New York, Jewish Theological Seminary, 1950, p. 20-27.

60. See Genesis 36, 15.

61. Play on the words Mutter and polis (« the mother-city »).

62. Leviticus, 16, 3.

63. Jeremiah, 1, 14.

64. See Song of Songs 4, 16.


68. Allusion to the courtly romance by Elie Bahur Levita, the Bove bukh (Isny, 1541), which became the epitome of the secular book, condemned by the rabbis. The name of its hero, Bovo, came to represent a certain literary genre, i.e., fantasy tales and secular works of entertainment often inspired by Christian sources.


71. Ever since the Middle Ages, the rabbis discussed the advisability of transmitting esoteric knowledge to the population at large. Some were completely opposed to the revelation of such “secrets”. Others thought that the time had come to lift part of the veil and disseminate the Zohar. In the 16th century, the printing of the Zohar in Mantua and Cremona raised a controversy among Italian rabbis. See, among others, S. Asaf, “Le-Fulmus al hadpasat sifrei ha-qabbalah”, Meqorot u-mehqarim be-toldot Israel, Jerusalem, 1946, p. 238-246; I. Tishby, “Ha-pulmus al sefer ha-Zohar ba-meah ha-shesh esreh be-italyah”, Perakim, I, Jerusalem, 1967-1968, p. 131-182; B. Huss, “Les étapes majeures dans l’histoire de la réception du Zohar” in, Réceptions de la Kabbale, publ. by P. Gisel et L. Kaennel, Paris, 2007, p. 59-72.
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