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This issue of Belphégor explores the various relationships and interdependencies between book production and distinctions of taste by examining how the material aspects of literary texts, such as the cover, binding, typography, and paper stock, reflect or even determine their cultural status. For example, a reduction in size or the use of cheaper materials and technologies could decrease costs and increase profits, as the text could be produced in larger quantities and marketed to a broader readership, yet such a marketing strategy (including different forms of distribution) could also involve the loss of at least a certain degree of cultural prestige. The use of more expensive materials and production technologies could elevate a text’s cultural prestige, yet prestige is directly proportional to rarity, which limits the circulation of a text and thus its profitability. In many cases, the distinctions between “highbrow” and “lowbrow” texts had little to do with the contents of the texts themselves. In fact, it was largely unimportant whether the people purchasing these books actually read them, as books more often functioned as markers of socioeconomic status, like clothing or home décor.

At the risk of being provocative, one might even go so far as to say that the concept of literary taste, at least since the eighteenth century, has historically been more closely related to fashion sense than critical judgment, although such a claim clearly challenges the hermeneutic and philosophical tradition upon which these distinctions rely for their continued relevance. It is precisely this provocative claim that the contributions in this issue attempt to address by examining the tensions between commerce and prestige in the history of book production over the last three centuries. They explore the degree to which the low cultural status of popular literature can be understood as an inherent consequence of the industrialization of print in the nineteenth century and how the material form of a book often changes the value of texts otherwise experienced as less prestigious.
From this perspective, one of the most significant consequences of the Industrial Revolution was that printing became cheaper, faster, and more easily accessible than ever before. This process began with the development of automatic paper-making machines, which were first invented by the Foudrinier brothers in England in 1803. Their belt-based machine was capable of producing more paper in a single day than workers could produce by hand in a week. In 1816 Thomas Gilpin also developed a cylindrical machine that allowed paper to be produced in large widths on a continuous roll. Up to that date, the size of the wire mould restricted the maximum size of the full sheet and the format of paper books. By 1830 machine-made paper was being used for the majority of all print material, including books. The invention of non-rag-based paper and the introduction of “Esparto” grass and later wood pulp further reduced printing costs. In the U.S., for example, the price of paper fell dramatically from roughly seventeen cents per pound in 1870 to seven cents per pound in 1889, while in the U.K. the price fell from ten pence per pound in 1836 to two pence per pound in 1902.

In 1800 the British scientist Charles Mahon, Third Earl of Stanhope, introduced a lever-powered iron printing press that had several advantages over the wooden press, as the lever mechanism required less effort and delivered greater pressure, more evenly, with a larger platen. It was also possible to operate it with a single printer, thus reducing labor costs. Soon after the Stanhope press was introduced, Friedrich Koenig, a German engineer living in the U.K., invented a steam-driven press that printed roughly 1,000 pages per hour, which was considerably faster than the 300 pages per hour produced by the lever-powered press. In 1848 the English inventor Augustus Applegarth also developed a vertical rotary press, in which the printing surface was attached to the cylindrical surface and rotated against the platen. Paper could be fed into the Applegarth press from four different angles to produce 4,000 pages per hour. By 1850 paper could be fed into this press from eight different angles to produce 10,000 pages per hour. In 1858 the American inventor Richard M. Hoe also introduced a horizontal rotary press, which had up to ten feeding stations and was capable of producing up to 20,000 pages per hour. Hoe’s press soon became the standard technology for printing newspapers.

The nineteenth century saw also several innovations in bookbinding, such as the development of a stabbing machine in 1820, a rolling press in 1840, a folding machine in 1843, a rounder and backer in 1854, and various “gilding, marbling, cutting, and trimming machines.” By the end of the century, machines to fold sheets for binding into books were also in use, and the process of cutting, gathering, stitching, stapling, and gluing pages was entirely mechanized. The industrialization of printing changed not only the printing profession, but also the look of books. It also led to important changes in the social structure of printing shops, as more and more unlearned workers, including women and children, entered a status-conscious profession that was traditionally well-paid and organized in guilds.

The techniques of illustration and pictorial reproduction also went through enormous changes during the nineteenth century. While older technologies like wood and steel engraving were perfected and economized during this period, the invention of lithography by Alois Senefelder particularly revolutionized the printing business. Lithography also gave way to color printing, which was first introduced by Senefelder as color lithography in 1818 and practically applied in 1826. The patent for “chromolithography” was given to Godefroy Engelmann in 1837, and this technique remained in use until late in the twentieth century. The emergence and widespread
availability of popular literature was also connected to these new technologies, as many illustrated journals had high print runs and combined popular content with pictures for a mass public. Even though most of the books printed between 1830 and 1914 were not illustrated, Michael Twyman argues that “the illustrated book was a major factor in defining publishing in the period, and that it changed the concept of the book for good.”

The expansion of stereotyping in the 1830s and 1840s also allowed printers to reprint a text at a later date without having to pay the composition costs a second time or the storage costs for unused standing type. The development of mechanical typesetting machines like the Pianotype or the Kastenbein reduced printing costs even further by automating the process of composition, which once again led to innovations in the social structure of typesetters since less-paid women entered the realm of machine typesetting. The first successful mechanical hot lead typesetting machine was the Linotype, which was invented by a German watchmaker named Ottmar Mergenthaler in the 1880s. At roughly the same time American inventor Tolbert Lanston developed the Monotype system, which consisted of a separate keyboard and a caster. These machines were able to compose texts much faster than by hand and solved the problem of redistributing type after usage by melting it. They remained the standard method of composition until the development of phototypesetting devices and computerized prepress systems in the twentieth century. The Linotype and Monotype systems also introduced their own typefaces according to technical restrictions and specifications, which were later adapted for other typesetting devices and word processing software. Some of them are still commonly used to this day, such as Times New Roman, the most widely used typeface of the twentieth century, which was developed by Stanley Morison for The Times of London in 1931.

As a result of this combination of high-speed presses, machine-made paper, publisher’s bindings, and composing machines, all of the basic elements of the printing process could be mechanized and eventually automated. As these machines required less human labor (and also less well-paid and specialized labor), there was a rapid decrease in printing costs and a tremendous increase in the quantity of print runs, which resulted in a sudden explosion of print material in the late nineteenth and early twentieth century. In the U.K., for example, book production rose to over 5,000 titles per year in the 1850s, and by 1910 book production was up to 10,000 titles per year. In the U.S., book production was at 4,500 titles per year in 1890, and by 1914 it was up to 12,000 titles per year. The increase in book production was even more dramatic in Germany, where it rose steadily from 10,000 titles per year in 1850 to 35,000 titles per year in 1913, making this nation the leading book producer in the world. Between 1900 and 1913, the publishing industry also became the third-largest business in the world. As Steven Roger Fischer points out, this increase in book production was due to a wide range of social changes, including “accelerated urbanization, a higher standard of living, a more sophisticated educational system and higher technical training.” These changes led to a greater demand for print material across Europe and North America, as a decrease in the working day and improved education resulted in a sudden increase in literacy rates, particularly among women and the working class. By the 1890s more than 95 percent of the population in advanced countries could read and write, which resulted in the emergence of a mass reading public.

The question as to whether technological innovations caused the rise of mass literacy or whether mass literacy was the driving force behind these technological innovations has
become a major source of debate among historians of the book. While most historians argue that mass literacy was a direct result of the Industrial Revolution, historians like John Feather argue that technological innovations were designed to meet pressing social needs.\textsuperscript{19} Martyn Lyons similarly claims that “the industrialization of the book […] was a response to the expanding possibilities of the market.”\textsuperscript{20} Other critics, like Cathy N. Davidson, dismiss this unanswerable question by describing it as an irrelevant “chicken-or-egg style ontogenetic debate.”\textsuperscript{21} Nevertheless, it is clear that the increase in both the supply and demand for books led to what is known as a “reading revolution” in the nineteenth century. As Rolf Engelsing famously argued (although criticized in many details), in the case of Germany, people went from reading a few books intensively to reading a wide range of books only once, and reading went from being an oral practice performed in groups to a solitary practice conducted in silence. Books thus lost much of their ritual and religious function and became in some cases nothing more than disposable commodities, while readers went from being engaged participants to passive consumers. The development of subjectivity and book-based individuality is likewise discussed as being a result of the increase of silent reading.\textsuperscript{22} This theory obviously does not encompass all readers and reading practices, and certain books continue to be read intensively or aloud today, as is evident by the continued popularity of bible study groups and book clubs, yet it is undoubtedly true that there was a far greater demand for print material in the late nineteenth century, as more people were reading than ever before. Since costs decreased and demand increased, books gradually became cheaper than ever before. In the 1820s, for example, the first edition of a novel in the U.K. would consist of three volumes, which were priced at 31s 6d (these books were known as “three-deckers”). By the 1830s single-volume reprint editions were priced at five or six shillings. In 1849 George Routledge began selling smaller reprint editions for one or two shillings (these books were known as “yellowbacks” because they were commonly bound in glazed yellow boards). In 1883 Routledge also started a “Universal Library” at the price of one shilling a volume. In 1885 Cassell answered with their “National Library” at a price of three pence in paper and six pence in cloth. Routledge’s reaction was a “World Library” at the same price. Both publishers thus “entered into an all-out price war.”\textsuperscript{23} The “underselling” of books (selling books below their advertised price) was also a common practice at this time.\textsuperscript{24} Simon Eliot and Andrew Nash emphasize the tremendous flexibility in this pricing system: “[W]ithin a matter of a few years a reader could buy a novel, which had originally appeared at 31s 6d, at 1/63rd or less of its original price—a price flexibility that even computer manufacturers today would find hard to match.”\textsuperscript{25} While each of these editions was designed to target a different sector of the market, competition between publishers made this pricing system unsustainable over the long term, and by 1900 the three-decker had entirely disappeared. After this time, most new novels were published in one volume and priced at six shillings. In Germany, cheap paperback editions appeared as early as 1841, when Leipzig publisher Christian Bernhard Tauchnitz began printing one title a week. In 1867 Reclam’s “Universal Bibliothek” started as a series of paperback editions that sold for one groschen each.\textsuperscript{26} As a result, cheap paperback editions flooded the market.\textsuperscript{27} In France, Charpentier began producing small, single-volume editions at a reduced price in the 1830s. In 1848 Gustave Havard published a paperback edition of Prevost’s \textit{Manon Lescaut}, which was priced at only 20 centimes. It was a tremendous success, and by 1856 Havard had reportedly published sixty million books.\textsuperscript{28} In 1855 Michel Lévy also began publishing
contemporary novels that were priced at one franc. In the 1890s “Flammarion and Fayard priced their new series of fiction even lower,” and by 1913 French readers could buy novels for only 10 centimes. Similar price reductions also appeared in North America with the rise of dime novels, in Italy with the rise of one-lira novels, and in Spain with the rise of one-peseta novels. As books became smaller and cheaper they were also sold in venues outside the traditional bookstore, such as railway stations—a practice that began as early as 1848 in London and 1853 in Paris. In 1912 German publisher Reclam also began installing book vending machines in bookstores, railway stations, and hospitals. The tremendous expansion of the book market through cheap paperbacks was thus an international phenomenon.

The introduction of new publishing formats was also accompanied by the introduction of new content, as Simon Eliot and Andrew Nash point out: “[W]ith the decline of the three-volume form came the rise of identifiable branches of genre fiction, such as romance, spy fiction, detective fiction and science fiction [...]. At the bottom end of the price scale was the shilling shocker [...] which became especially successful in the late Victorian period.” In other words, there was a direct connection between the expansion of the book market and the rise of popular genre fiction. The serial (or serialized) novel was also introduced with remarkable success, as novels by such renowned authors as Balzac or Dickens were first published in newspapers and journals and were later republished as book editions or parts of books. Publishers also began employing new marketing strategies to announce and promote their books, including posters, press advertisements, and book reviews, which led to the birth of the “best-seller.”

As Joseph McAleer points out, popular reading and publishing expanded even further in the early twentieth century, as higher production costs and lower profit margins forced publishers to “accelerate the movement towards cheap-priced books, and to accommodate more closely the tastes of the lower-middle and working class.” This increase was largely due to a wave of paperback editions, which was initiated by Penguin in 1935. Penguin was founded with the explicit aim of making books available for a low price, and the first Penguin paperback cost only 6d—the price of a pack of cigarettes. This strategy proved to be a great success, as Penguin sold over 3 million paperbacks by the end of 1936. In 1937 Penguin also introduced the “Penguin incubator”—a vending machine for paperbacks that was placed in Woolworth department stores and railway stations. In Germany, Ullstein also introduced their yellow series (“Gelbe Reihe”), which sold remarkably well, especially during the holidays. While most paperbacks featured genre fiction, such as westerns, whodunits, and romances, some publishers also reprinted classic works of literature, yet these books “suffered initially from a taint of commercialism caused partly by its humble sales outlets and partly by the lurid covers produced for many of its early titles.”

Michael Winship explains how these changes altered the role of the publisher: For the first time, the publisher was responsible not only for the typography and appearance of the printed sheets but also for the design and production of the binding in which they were sold to the public [...]. Publisher and reader were connected in a new way, not just through the text of the works that the former published but also through the package in which the text appeared [...]. [The] binding could not only reflect a book’s content or genre but in itself influence a customer’s decision whether or not to purchase it. As a result, publishers devoted considerable attention and expense to the design and appearance of the binding.
The rise of new formats and genres thus led to a new emphasis on the material qualities of the book, which effectively functioned as a form of commercial advertising.

Janice Radway points out that the rise of mass literacy, larger print runs, and popular genres encouraged publishers to begin “contracting with a large pool of writers who wrote books regularly and respectively according to editorial specifications by drawing on well-known material already circulating in the press and on the popular stage.” As a result, authorship was increasingly seen as an assembly-line process in which writers churned out standardized products as quickly as possible in order to meet production quotas. Mary Noel similarly notes that “demand [...] created a supply,” as a new kind of low-class writer emerged who was willing to produce copy on demand for a minimal wage. In other words, this species of writer, commonly known as a “hack,” was “as much a product of the Industrial Revolution as was the Hoe printing press.” This new publishing system thus conceived of the book as a mass-produced commodity, of the author as an industrial laborer, and of the publishing industry as a capitalist enterprise designed to exploit workers in order to maximize profits.

Despite the increased availability of cheap editions, most new books still represented a significant investment, particularly for working-class readers. In the U.K., the average working-class reader had a weekly income of roughly 30s, so a cheap single-volume reprint edition priced at 3s 6d might represent their entire disposable income for the week. Libraries thus became the easiest way to access books, yet subscription libraries in the U.K. tended to have rather high annual subscriptions, they sometimes required subscribers to take a share in the library and they frequently concentrated on ‘serious’ subjects (theology, philosophy, history, biography, travel, etc.) to the exclusion or underrepresentation of fiction [...]. With the growing production and consumption of fiction—particularly in the form of the novel—such libraries were never going to satisfy what many would have regarded as a vulgar demand. This was left to commerce, and commerce was what circulating libraries were all about.

The growth of popular reading thus led to the rise of commercial circulating libraries, which not only served poorer areas but also catered to the specific demands of working-class readers, who overwhelmingly preferred to read popular genre fiction. These libraries became known as “twopenny libraries,” which referred to the cost of borrowing a book, and they particularly specialized in western and romance novels.

Similar developments took place in France, where librarians initially sought to direct the reading habits of working-class readers by limiting their holdings to canonical works of literature: Library reformers hoped that by providing suitable literature, and by encouraging the reading habit, they could soften social tensions. Working-class readers, it was hoped, could be weaned away from drink and from dangerous literature with tendencies towards socialism, excessive superstition or obscenity. A range of useful literature, to promote “rational recreation”, would incorporate the more intelligent members of the working class into a consensus of bourgeois values.

Working-class readers often rejected the kinds of books that were being offered, however, as they preferred popular novels instead. This led to the rise of “popular libraries,” which were commercial businesses that catered specifically to the demands of working-class readers.
The popular reader, often patronizingly known as “le grand enfant,” had a mind of his own. A lithographer, Girard, set up a bibliothèque populaire in the third arrondissement of Paris, and tried to evade municipal surveillance for as long as possible [...]. Two popular libraries had been set up in the industrial city of St Étienne in 1866, which the city notables and clerical élite attempted to bring under control [...]. Working-class readers struggled to form their own literary culture, free of bourgeois, bureaucratic or Catholic control.42

Similar developments took place in Germany, where some of these libraries became huge businesses. In Berlin, for example, the Borstell and Reimarus library offered 600,000 different titles in 1891.43

The rise of a mass reading public that preferred to read popular novels, which the literary elite considered to be vulgar, immediately inspired fears of cultural degradation. As Cathy N. Davidson points out, the application of “Gresham’s Law” to literary production was already prevalent in the nineteenth century:

Many people believed in a Gresham’s law of texts or reading. Bad new works would supposedly drive out good old ones, so that a print world dominated by the Bible, The Day of Doom, sermons, tracts, and sundry other religious works well might be superseded by a new world of predominantly secular reading, of texts designed merely to amuse, not to instruct [...]. The novel threatened not just to coexist with elite literature, but to replace it.44

Such fears were clearly expressed in Noah Porter’s 1881 book on Books and Reading:

Bad books and inferior books are far more common than they once were. Their poison is also more subtle and less easily detected, for as the taste of readers becomes omnivorous, it becomes less discriminating. Besides, the readiness with which good men, and men sturdy in their principles too, read books which they despise and abhor, has introduced a freedom of practice on this subject, at which other generations would have stood aghast. In many cases too, if the principles are not corrupted by reading, the taste is vitiated. Or if nothing worse happens, delicacy of appreciation suffers from the amount of intellectual food which is forced upon us, and the satisfaction is far less keen and exquisite than was enjoyed by readers of a few books of superior merit.45

Porter thus argued that the mass production of books results in an increase in the number of bad books being published, and the danger of these bad books is that they make it more difficult for readers to appreciate good books. In other words, the decline in literary taste was seen as a direct result of the industrialization of print.

Other critics argued that the degradation of culture was a result not only of the quantity of books being published but also the quality and price of the material book itself, which made it increasingly difficult to distinguish good books from bad ones. As a commentator wrote in the New York Evening Mail in 1880:

When a book cost money it was something to be preserved with care, and guarded and cherished as a thing of value. Hence, the possession of handsome editions of good books was a matter of pride to their owners. [Today, however,] books, even of sterling value, are read and thrown away [...]. The publication which may be bought for a few pence, however worthy its contents, is likely to be regarded like a newspaper, as something to be skimmed over and for-gotten.46

American poet James Russell Lowell made a similar argument in 1893:
The problem for the scholar was formerly how to acquire books; for us it is how to get rid of them. Instead of gathering, we must sift.  

These critics thus argue that the quality of literature is directly related to the expense and rarity of the book itself, and a good book should appeal to an exclusive readership through small print runs, limited distribution, and high cover prices. Radway describes this as a “discourse of protectionism” that “saw the traditional book as an endangered species and reading as an art in danger of extinction.”

In the early twentieth century, publishers often blamed the circulating libraries for the poor quality of popular fiction and the low standards of popular taste. As publisher Stanley Unwin wrote in his 1926 book The Truth About Publishing: “The present system tends to assist the circulation of indifferent and bad books, and to retard the circulation of really good books.” Unwin also relates an anecdote about one circulating library that “makes a boast of the extent to which it can force its subscribers to take what is given them, which means, in that particular case, what the library can buy cheapest.” Publishers thus accused circulating libraries of corrupting the minds of lower-class readers by encouraging them to read low-quality material simply because it could be acquired at a low cost. Andrew Nash notes, however, that Unwin’s concerns were not without reason: “The emergence in the 1930s of tuppenny libraries, which […] depended for their business on books being returned quickly, increased the market for popular fiction by promoting shorter books and a greater quantity of titles. Fiction came to be marketed more and more in the form of branded goods.”

The most famous critique of popular fiction and the most famous attack on the circulating libraries was undoubtedly Q. D. Leavis’ 1939 book Fiction and the Reading Public which argued that popular fiction represents a direct threat to highbrow literature: “When Railway Libraries and Yellow Backs offered a kind of reading that needed little exertion, it was not likely that any other would stand a chance.” Leavis’ claim that popular reading is a “drug habit” that makes readers incapable of intellectual exertion clearly echoes Lowell’s argument that “it has supplanted a strenuous habit of thinking with a loose indolence of reading which relaxes the muscular fiber of the mind.” Like Unwin, Leavis also saw the circulating libraries as the primary cause of this cultural degradation, as the reading public “buys its literature in accordance with tastes acquired from its circulating library reading.” Circulating libraries direct the tastes of readers towards best-selling novels because this enables publishers to maximize profits, yet it effectively discourages the public from reading “anything which by the widest stretch could be included in the classification ‘literature.’” As a result, “the general public […] has now not even a glimpse of the living interests of modern literature, is ignorant of its growth and so prevented from developing with it.” According to Leavis, therefore, circulating libraries do not promote literacy by providing access to print material, but rather they actively discourage literacy by threatening the literary establishment: “[T]he critical minority to whose sole charge modern literature has now fallen is isolated, disowned by the general public and threatened with extinction.”

Pierre Bourdieu provides a sociological explanation for these fears by explaining how popular fiction and “pure” literature were gradually seen as two competing modes of production:

These fields are the site of the antagonistic coexistence of two modes of production and circulation obeying inverse logics. At one pole, there is the anti-“economic” economy of pure art. Founded on the obligatory recognition of the values of disinterestedness and on
the denegation of the “economy” (of the “commercial”) and of “economic” profit (in the short term), it privileges production […] oriented to the accumulation of symbolic capital, a kind of “economic” capital denied but recognized, and hence legitimate […]. At the other pole, there is the “economic” logic of the literary and artistic industries which, since they make the trade in cultural goods just another trade, confer priority on distribution, on immediate and temporary success, measured for example by the print run, and which are content to adjust themselves to the pre-existing demand of a clientele […]. An enterprise moves closer to the “commercial” pole the more directly or completely the products it offers on the market respond to a pre-existing demand, and in pre-established forms.

Like Leavis, therefore, Bourdieu argues that popular fiction was seen as a commodity that could be standardized and mass produced in order to maximize profits, while literary fiction was based on a rejection of economic profit and an accumulation of “symbolic capital” or prestige. This cultural shift helps to explain why publishers did not market literary novels in the same way as popular novels, as Ellen Gruber Garvey points out: Too frankly acknowledging commodity status threatened the prestige that old-line publishers considered essential to success. Prestige could not be bought outright; it could only be traded or invested for greater prestige […]. Prestige differentiated the products of old-line publishers from the cheap editions […] of publishers who advertised in posters, eye-catching window displays, and newspaper ads.

Unlike Leavis, however, Bourdieu argues that the rise of popular fiction does not represent a threat to “pure” literature, as the “symbolic capital” of literature depends on its rejection of commercialism. The rise of a mass readership also poses no threat to the literary establishment, according to Bourdieu, as the divide between working-class readers and the literary elite represents the very source of this minority’s privileged status as defenders of culture. Bourdieu notes, for example, that this new definition of literature did not emerge until after the literary establishment had already consolidated its social power through the founding of non-profit institutions dedicated to the conservation, interpretation, and celebration of “pure” literature. In other words, publishers generate economic value through the mass production and distribution of popular fiction, while the literary elite generate symbolic value by rejecting the economic imperatives that drive the publishing industry.

Bourdieu’s argument is certainly compelling, yet it is important to note that publishers also attempted to exploit the symbolic value of books through the production of deluxe editions. Already at the end of the eighteenth century, Cotta published the collected works of Wieland in four different formats, thus offering an enormous range of options for the acquisition of the always identical text. In 1854 George Putnam similarly offered an edition of Irving’s works in seven different types of prestige bindings: cloth ($19), sheep ($20), half calf ($30), half morocco, gilt tops ($33), calf, extra ($37.50), calf, antique ($40), and morocco, super extra ($48). As Ronald Zobray points out, “innovations in bookbinding created a market for books with elaborate bindings as expensive luxury commodities.” For many readers, therefore, the appearance of a book mattered as much, if not more, than its content: The conspicuous consumption of books during the era meant that some readers preferred owning books over reading them. David McKitterick makes a similar point concerning the use of gold-blocking: “The discovery that [cloth] could be gold-blocked led to some of the greatest changes in the appearance of bindings—and, hence, in the ways that books could be publicised and presented to
potential readers [...]. Books could be sold almost as much by their outside as by their contents.” Radway adds that this emphasis on the appearance of books also reflects the notion of literature as commodity, as the economic value of the material form of a book became synonymous with the symbolic value of its content:

In this more traditional discourse the book was conceived of [...] as a permanent and precious possession. Characterized concurrently as a kind of verbal real estate, this book was considered intellectual or cultural property. Since its intrinsic value was understood to increase with age [...] it required a design that would promote longevity; hence the need for high-quality paper, secure bindings, and hard covers.

Through the logic of property, the cultural value of the book could also enhance the cultural status of its owners:

Potentially, then, every book sale could generate two forms of profit. On one hand it could generate cash for its publishers. On the other hand it could also produce perceived changes in the status of the individual who bought it because the more traditional discourse about the book had managed to associate the social prestige of learning with the particular technology for producing that learning in the first place, that is, with the leather- or cloth-covered book itself.

Mary Benton employs the term “bookaflage” to describe the practice of purchasing and exhibiting certain editions for the purpose of constructing a “cultural persona”: “Books played a prominent, often featured role in the widespread preoccupation with fashioning one’s domestic environment, which in turn suggested—and presumably shaped—one’s very persona [...]. One’s books could be selected and arranged to present whatever impression one wished to make, be it literary delicacy, friendly charm, or erudite intellect.” This practice also explains why books appeared in nearly 30 percent of magazine advertisements, where they were used as “props or part of the background scene to telegraph implicit messages about the intelligence, social class, and personal values of the depicted subject,” and these values were not conveyed through titles or authors but rather through “heft, dimensions, and often a subdued, elegant binding.”

Jeffrey D. Groves points out that certain bindings also became associated with certain publishers:

[A] house style [...] communicated a message of literary quality: if some of the books brought out by a publisher achieved canonical status, and the external design of most of the publisher’s books looked identical, then the intended message from producer to consumer seems to have been that, like their appearance, the quality of the publisher’s book was consistent. Moreover, the development of house styles as texts for communicating such value judgments was historically concurrent with publishers’ increasing sophistication in literary promotion, and the synthesis of book design and promotion encouraged consumers to read bindings as guarantors that literary quality awaited within.

These house styles thus “presented a prestigious spine to the world and so could function as status statements on a bookshelf.” The brown cover of Ticknor and Fields’ books, for example, “came to suggest gravity, elegance, and good taste.” Rather than seeing the book as a disposable commodity, therefore, the production of deluxe editions shows that books also served as markers of a reader’s socioeconomic status—regardless of whether or not the books were actually read. By serving as markers of distinction, the material book can thus be seen as a reification of social relations, much like fashion or home décor.
The sense of distinction embodied in the material book represents “another form of the visceral disgust at vulgarity which defines pure taste as an internalized social relationship, a social relationship made flesh.”

In recent years, Franco Moretti has published several insightful studies combining abstract models for literary history with large, complex, and mostly diachronic data analyses, which have served as examples for many others. His contributions are especially interesting for setting up the criterion of “literary success” for certain titles or genres. According to the articles collected in this issue of Belphégor, commercial success is related to taste and cultural prestige; they are transmitted through the materiality of literary texts as printed books that circulate in specific ways. Despite its many merits, Moretti’s approach neglects such material aspects, as it makes no clear distinction between texts and books. The essays collected here thus attempt to analyze the tension between literary fiction and popular fiction via the relationship between the material properties of books and their cultural prestige, as the commercial success of a book is distinguished from its general commercial success as a text.

The first contribution in this issue of Belphégor, Jennifer Tsien’s “Diderot’s Battle against Books: Books as Objects during the Enlightenment and Revolution,” provides a perfect starting point for this discussion by examining the book debates that immediately preceded the “reading revolution” of the nineteenth century. Tsien’s research also challenges the popular assumptions that Enlightenment thinkers had always advocated education for all and that they believed education necessarily required literacy and access to books. Tsien argues that this optimistic vision of the Enlightenment reflects our own contemporary desire to see a linear progression from the eighteenth century to the French Revolution and ultimately to the Republican values of present-day France. However, Diderot and his fellow philosophes did not set out to democratize knowledge. Instead, the rise of literacy alarmed them, and they denounced both the quantity of books in circulation and the people’s enthusiasm for them. Diderot, for example, described ways of reading, interpreting, and collecting books that depended on a distinction between the savants (such as himself) and ordinary readers. In his view, only a few people deserved to have books, and only a few books deserved to be printed. Diderot also argued that books were meant not to be idolized but to be circulated. In all of these areas, the essential distinction that Diderot makes is between, on the one hand, books as objects that people want to possess for their monetary value or their cultural prestige and, on the other hand, books as useful objects or tools that allow experts to produce more books. Ultimately, he champions the latter use of books and even declares them to be disposable once they have served their purpose—a shocking idea that was ultimately put to the test during the French Revolution.

Kate Roy’s “Only the ‘Outward Appearance’ of a Harem? Reading the Memoirs of an Arabian Princess as Material Text” examines the material properties of various editions of Emily Ruete’s Memoirs of an Arabian Princess from the 1886 to the present. Emily Ruete (born Sayyida Salme) was the daughter of the Sultan of Oman and Zanzibar, and her memoir is considered the oldest published autobiography written by an Arab woman. The book has since gone through numerous editions, which have presented the work as either an important historical document concerning the life of Arab women or an erotic romance that plays on the exotic elements of the harem narrative. Roy examines how the tension between these competing versions of Ruete’s memoir is staged through the material properties of the texts, including the format, binding, cover, paper stock, and price.
particularly focuses on how these properties perform a sort of cultural translation for
different audiences over time. For example, the persistence of the original frontispiece
image of an Arab woman in a veil as lead-in to modern fictionalizations of the memoir
often serves to conflate the genres of the autobiography and the novel. Ruete’s memoir
has even been transformed into a Victorian erotica Kindle ebook titled *Dangerous Romance*, which incorporates various soft porn images of Arab women. Roy argues that this
extreme genre confusion could only be the result of a superficial engagement with the
material properties of the original text, which illustrates how the reception of this book
has largely been guided by its materiality.

In “Der Druck der Phantasmen: Di Illustrationen des Feuilletonromans des 19.
Jahrhunderts und ihre Aneignung in Max Ernsts Collageroman Une Semaine de Bonté”
Philipp Venghaus examines how Ernst’s 1934 collage novel incorporates illustrations
taken from nineteenth-century *roman-feuilleton* in order to deconstruct this popular
genre. These serialized novels traditionally included extensive illustrations, which helped
readers to visualize the narratives, yet in Ernst’s work these illustrations are transformed
in ways that defy any straightforward critical interpretation. Instead of representing the
real world, they more often appear to represent the fantastic or mythical landscape of
dreams and the unconscious. The illustrations from *roman-feuilleton* are also juxtaposed
with images from medical and criminological textbooks, which are used to classify
various forms of abnormalities or monstrosities. By alluding to the abnormal and by
disfiguring these images even further through the form of the collage itself, Ernst’s novel
effectively subverts the formal structure of the text and establishes a magical connection
that directly opposes rational ways of seeing the world. The collage novel is thus regarded
as an abnormality or a mutation that cannot be understood solely through reason or
intellect. *Une Semaine de Bonté* likewise becomes a true and pure work of art through
economic distinctions of rarity and sales price.

Anthony Enns’ “The Poet of the Pulps: Ray Bradbury and the Struggle for Prestige in
Postwar Science Fiction” examines how the rise of digest magazines and paperback
novels transformed the cultural status of science fiction in the U.S. in the mid-twentieth
century, as the genre began to appeal to a wider readership and was reviewed in
mainstream literary publications. The notion of science fiction as a legitimate literary
genre was thus inherently linked to the emergence of new material forms, which
appeared to a broader range of taste cultures. In order to examine this cultural shift, Enns
focuses specifically on the publication history of Ray Bradbury’s 1953 novel *Fahrenheit 451*.
This novel illustrates the cultural transformation of science fiction not only because it
was one of the first magazine stories expanded and republished as a novel, but also
because it was one of the first science fiction novels to achieve significant recognition
among mainstream critics. The desire for cultural legitimacy is also mirrored within the
narrative itself, as it illustrates the perceived threat mass culture posed to the literary
establishment, which has encouraged some critics to interpret the novel as an attempt to
preserve the power of the literary elite. Enns complicates this reading, however, by
pointing out that Bradbury was simultaneously involved in the production of many
different forms of mass culture, including comic books, films, radio, and television.
Furthermore, the novel’s condemnation of book burning directly targeted the morality
campaigns of the late 1940s and early 1950s, which sought to protect the nation’s youth
from the evils of mass culture. A closer examination of the publication history of
*Fahrenheit 451* thus reveals how it was designed to appeal to a wide range of taste cultures,
which complicates the notion that it is simply an elitist text that critiques the rise of mass
culture and endorses the same cultural values that informed mid-twentieth century
morality campaigns.

43 An Goris’ “Hidden Codes of Love: The materiality of the Category Romance Novel”
examines the significance of the material properties of romance novels. While their
homogeneous appearance is often seen as a reflection of their low cultural status, Goris
argues that the material elements of these novels also reflect dual semiotic codes that
challenge their perceived homogeneity. On the one hand, their packaging features a large
number of semiotic signs that clearly identify the book as belonging to the romance
genre, such as the cover image of the passionate couple and the publisher’s logo. On the
other hand, these elements also contain signs that denote the existence of heterogeneity
within the generic group. For example, each individual version of the cover image
contains a host of other signs that denote variations upon the basic generic pattern, as it
conveys information about each novel’s subgenre, sexual explicitness, and date of
publication. Goris’ analysis reveals a similar dynamic in most of the romance novel’s
material properties, which shows how these features represent a complex semiotic
system that seeks to appeal exclusively to the romance novel’s target readership and to
ward off all other readers. It is primarily through the material properties of the text, in
other words, that the genre and its readership are identified and circumscribed.

44 In “Mutation des supports, mutation des publics. La bande dessinée de la presse au livre”
Sylvain Lesage focuses on the history of comic strips and graphic novels in France and
Belgium. He begins by examining the cultural and editorial changes that made the bande
dessinée central to contemporary French culture. The crucial point for this reevaluation
was the change from comics in high print runs in cheap comic journals to lower print
runs in more expensive books in the album format, which unified a previously published
series into one book-like volume. Lesage also examines how lending rates and book sales
have encouraged more and more highbrow publishers in recent years to publish work in
this formerly shady genre. This change has been made possible through the transfer of
the “sacral” features of the book into the vulgar realm of the comic strip. The importance
of the bookish features of bande dessinée can also be seen in the biases of comic festivals
and the purchasing policies of libraries or museums, which have also contributed to the
new cultural legitimacy of comic strips and graphic novels. This cultural legitimacy has
not only been economically successful, but it has also led to the establishment of “the
ninth art” in the field of art history, as many of the creators of comic strips have been
elevated to the status of artists. Through a change in the material properties of texts, a
formerly detested popular genre consisting of violence, sex, and crime has thus become
part of highbrow culture.

45 Giovanni Remonato’s “Corto Maltese tra fumetto e letteratura disegnata” examines the
publication history of Hugo Pratt’s Corto Maltese comics in order to examine the
relationship between its various editions and its cultural prestige. At the time of its first
appearance in 1967, this series was published in comic magazines for children, such as Il
Corriere dei Piccoli in Italy and Pif Gadget in France. It then moved to a new type of adult-
oriented magazine with more intellectual ambitions, such as Linus. In 1973 it was re-
published in book form by Mondadori, a major Italian publishing house, and in 1995 it
was even transformed into a novel. By examining various editions of the text, Remonato
thus traces the rise of this series from children’s fiction to highbrow culture. This
includes the ambitions of its creator, as Pratt aims to create “letteratura disegnata” or
“drawn literature,” while at the same time being an advocate (and consumer) of the popular arts and refusing to be called an “artist.” The cultural prestige that Corto Maltese has achieved is also confirmed by other material instantiations of the series outside the realm of comics, including film adaptations and museum exhibitions, as well as translations into different languages.

Thorsten Bothe’s “Stephen Kings Das Mädchen (Schneekluth Verlag)—Eine rhetorische Lektüre des Schutzumschlags” focuses on the cover of the German translation of Stephen King’s The Girl Who Loved Tom Gordon, which was published in 2004 in two distinct versions with different ISBN numbers. Bothe argues that these two covers offer two conflicting interpretations that are virtually impossible to reconcile: black or white, life or death, hope or despair exclude each other and are triggered only by the dust jacket, as the binding, paper stock, and even cover price are all the same. Das Mädchen thus offers one of the rare opportunities to discuss one isolated paratextual distinction as a distinction of taste in a strict ceteris paribus manner. Bothe delivers a rich theoretical overview, covering rhetorical, mnemonic, and semiotic operations and options to deal with the dust jacket, which provides good reasons for judging books—or at least this particular book—by its cover. Bothe also shows that the choice between dust jackets ultimately grounds taste in distinctions that are impossible to explain. What is truly undecidable can only be resolved by a decision for one option or the other, and such a choice transports the reader into the realm of luxury, transgression, and art or even l’art pour l’art—concepts that are not easily related to the novels of Stephen King.

Jeff Thoss’ “From Penny Dreadful to Graphic Novel: Alan Moore and Kevin O’Neill’s Genealogy of Comics in The League of Extraordinary Gentlemen” examines how this contemporary comic book reflects both the late-Victorian media landscape from which comics first emerged as well as the current state of the medium in the early twenty-first century. The League of Extraordinary Gentlemen relates the adventures of a superhero team composed of characters from classic nineteenth-century literary texts, such as Allan Quatermain from H. Rider Haggard’s King Solomon’s Mines (1885) and Mina Murray from Bram Stoker’s Dracula (1897), who battle classic nineteenth-century villains, such as Sherlock Holmes’s archenemy Professor Moriarty or the Martian invaders from H. G. Wells’ The War of the Worlds (1898). The League of Extraordinary Gentlemen also includes visual references to obscure nineteenth-century popular texts, including Victorian illustrated newspapers, penny dreadfuls, and boys’ weeklies. On the one hand, Thoss argues that these intertextual references challenge the divisions between high and low culture, as they blur the boundaries between classic works of literature and ephemeral works of popular fiction. The League of Extraordinary Gentlemen can thus be seen as a reaction against the contemporary trend to praise the “graphic novel” as a sign of the genre’s evolution into a more serious artform. On the other hand, Thoss argues that these allusions to Victorian popular culture also serve as a “hallmark of sophistication,” which suggests that in some ways The League of Extraordinary Gentlemen is a textbook example of an ambitious and demanding comic that appears to be written for authors, editors, students, and professors—in other words, for readers who would not otherwise buy comics. The publication of supplemental guidebooks further illustrates the complexity of this series and the need for readers with scholarly training, and the publication of expensive deluxe editions also encourages the perception of the comic as a serious work of literature. The material properties of the text thus reflect the contested status of the genre as a whole.
Although they cover a wide range of historical periods and genres—from Enlightenment philosophy to contemporary comics—all of the contributions in this issue reflect a common set of concerns involving the relationship between prestige and materiality, as distinctions of taste are ultimately embodied in or even determined by the material properties of texts. Many of these contributions also address the larger cultural significance of these distinctions, as books that are perceived as valuable are fetishized for their appearance as much as their content. In other words, literary texts serve a crucial non-literary function as markers of socioeconomic status, regardless of whether or not they are actually read. Material distinctions are therefore not restricted to the past; rather, they are more relevant now than ever before. Today, first editions are usually only published in one binding type, but as soon as they are republished the number of available covers and binding types rapidly increases. The simultaneous publication of a text as both a print book and an ebook also raises questions concerning material distinctions. The same holds true for the migration of texts between languages and cultures, as a text that was originally published as lowbrow fiction could easily become highbrow literature in translation through the acquisition of a new publisher and a new material form, and vice versa. The incorporation of illustrations also has the potential to transform the cultural status of a text by turning it into a work of children’s fiction or an objet d’art, depending on the material properties of the text and the reputation of the illustrator. The method of distribution—through prestigious bookstores, railway bookstalls, mail-order catalogs, book clubs, or newspaper giveaways—also clearly influences the cultural prestige of a text.

The sociological function of literature has encouraged the notion that books without value should simply be discarded or even burned—an idea that was actively promoted during the Enlightenment and was later justified by the inferior material qualities of popular texts, as seen in the case of mid-twentieth century American morality campaigns. The relationship between prestige and materiality in the realm of literature thus reflects fundamental historical changes with regard to the development of technology, literacy, and social power. While the industrialization of print resulted in a sudden explosion of print material, which democratized literature by making books available to a mass reading public, these developments were perceived as a potential threat to the literary elite, who relied on material distinctions as a way of securing their cultural authority. As Bourdieu emphasizes, however, the anti-economic logic underlying their concept of “pure” literature was, in truth, only a rejection of economic profit “in the short term.” Bourdieu thus implies that the literary establishment has never truly rejected the idea of economic profit; rather, it was simply committed to literature as a long-term financial investment. In the end, therefore, the circulation of “symbolic capital” is indelibly linked to the circulation of actual capital, as money generates prestige and prestige generates money. Literature as one of its specific forms, makes no distinction here, or at least no distinction that wouldn’t matter.
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