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Analysis by Marie-Hélène Schwoob based on:
– Mao Yushi, (2) “Key to problem of cultivable land in China lies in rational and equitable use,” Shidai zhoubao, 3 November 2011.

Over the past few years, development policy initiatives aimed at the Chinese countryside have intensified. The measures stemming from the five-year plan to reduce rural poverty, published last November, show how much of a priority the issue has assumed for the government. But at the core of the “rural question” lies the thorny issue of land, a limited resource much in demand amid rapid urbanisation that requires new real estate, and for maintaining agriculture production levels. It has stoked increasing worries for the central government leadership as well as for Chinese people at large.

The current system for protecting cultivable land aimed at ensuring agricultural production levels and food security prohibits free conversion and sale in the open market. However, Zhang Qianfang points out, the gap between the value of cultivated land and of urban land puts considerable pressure on the former. The low price of agricultural products – and thus of income from cultivated land – the lack of rural infrastructure, and a series of other factors greatly undermine the value of farmland. Urbanisation leads to a significant appreciation in land value, and so rural land earmarked for agriculture gets taken over thanks to the need for change. This is reflected in the change of administrative status of many rural areas. In 2001, the national coverage rate of urban cities had risen to 42.6 percent of the country – against just 20 percent in 1990. Through this process, land sales have helped local governments amass huge profits.

However, as Zhang has stressed, the ever-increasing needs of accelerated urbanisation and the particularly lucrative nature of farmland sales have also sparked a large number of violent land disputes, which have emerged as a major phenomenon. Meanwhile, accelerated development is causing significant loss of arable land.

In order to combat these trends, the government has sought to implement recent years a series of measures aimed at protecting arable land from the ravages of urbanisation. In June 2006, the Ministry of Land and Resources decided to vest with the central government the power to approve significant loss of arable land. The current system for limiting land conversion is highly inefficient. The national land management system suffers from insufficient or inexact information. Moreover, it is apparently impossible to establish appropriate mechanisms to rein in local governments’ penchant for allowing illegal conversion of arable land for much more lucrative building projects. The system does not take into consideration local interests and the needs of economic development, especially in coastal areas. Zhang adds that the unrealistic aspects of land management undermine the authority of the whole system.

Rational land planning has to be adopted, but it is an uphill task, as any rational process must be based on complete information. Further, national authorities are not in a position to survey and control real use of farmland and to resolve conflicts of interest. Adoption of new techniques of information gathering and augmentation of control staff and arrangements at all levels seems essential for realising an effective system of land management.

Mao Yushi denounces the return to economic planning implied in such a system of land management. He holds that the state must not usurp the market’s role to take decisions that go against specific local interests. Mao considers it impossible to precisely calculate the nationwide need for arable land. In his view, prioritising one function over another for a piece of land depends entirely on local conditions, and it makes no sense to systematically accord primacy to farming. He believes in optimal allocation of re-
sources through market forces: if demand for food is too great in relation to national production, farm product prices will rise and contribute to raising the value of agricultural land, thus leading farmers to resume cultivating land they had previously abandoned.

To tackle the supply-demand imbalance, Mao recommends developing national and international trade in food products. That, he says, would not only help secure food supplies, but would also bring down the total cost of food production. Mao cites the example of Japan and South Korea as food importing countries.

However, the population levels of these importing countries are hardly comparable to that of China. Also, a shortfall in national agriculture production would have an impact on international markets, raising the risks of unstable world prices for agricultural products.

The accentuation of governmental efforts at moderating rising prices of food products seems to indicate that regulation through market forces is not the best option.

Zhang concludes that China’s urbanisation is in any case an inexorable trend, that social problems stemming from land conversion are thus unavoidable, and that the process should either be strengthened or suspended.

While hoping that urbanisation would lead to positive fallout in rural areas too, the central government apparently has no choice but to face up to divergent interests – its own, those of local governments, those of farmers, and those of the rest of the population.

Translated by N. Jayaram

Chinese firms “going out”: An economic dynamic with political significance

Analysis by Thomas Vendryes based on:
– Special issue of Contemporary International Relations (xiandai guoji guanxi, 2011, no. 8) following a meeting organised by the China Institutes of Contemporary International Relations (zhongguo xiandai guoji guanxi yanjiu yuan) on 9 August 2011 on the theme “Reviewing ten years of China’s ‘going out’ strategy: Successes and challenges,” containing the following articles:
– Article 1: “From an active and progressive ‘going out’ strategy to its speedier implementation,” Tao Jian, professor and director, University of International Relations.
– Article 2: “Reviewing ten years of China’s ‘going out’ strategy: successes and challenges,” Jin Canrong, professor and vice-director, Faculty of International Relations, Renmin University.
– Article 3: “Why are the political risks of China’s direct investments abroad so high?”, Mei Xinyu, researcher, Chinese Academy of International Trade and Economic Cooperation.
– Article 4: “Some salient problems linked to China’s ‘going out’ strategy,” Jiang Yong, researcher, economics department, China Institutes of Contemporary International Relations.
– Article 5: “International political economic analysis of China’s ‘going out’ strategy,” Lin Hongyu, professor and director, Department of International Politics, University of International Relations.
– Article 6: “Promoting the renminbi’s internationalisation through an autonomous strategy,” Zhao Qingming, PhD, deputy senior manager at the head office, China Construction Bank.
– Article 8: “Hong Kong’s role and functions in the new phase of China’s ‘going out’ strategy,” Zhang Yuncheng, researcher and director, Centre for Hong Kong and Macao-related Studies, China Institutes of Contemporary International Relations.
– Article 9: “Enterprises ‘going out’ and public diplomacy,” Li Yonghui, professor and dean of the School of International Studies, Beijing Foreign Studies University.

Three decades ago, Deng Xiaoping steered China on to the path of “reform” and “opening” to the outside world. Twenty years later, in 2001, as part of the Tenth Five Year Plan (2001-2005), Chinese authorities unveiled a strategy for Chinese companies “going abroad” (zouchuqu wentuo, articles 1 and 2). This was a veritable turning point in China’s relations with the world at large, in both the economic and political domains. This strategy grew in strength in the first decade of the twenty-first century: by late 2009, not only had annual exports risen to $1,200 billion and foreign exchange reserves to $2,400 billion, but in a sign that Chinese firms had arrived on the international scene, they had by then set up more than 13,000 enterprises overseas, across nearly 180 countries. Chinese direct investment abroad totalled $2,500 billion, and the number of people leaving China annually passed 50 million, according to Jin Canrong (article 2). While the Tenth Five Year Plan spoke merely of “going out actively and gradually” (jiji wentuo de zouchuqu wentuo, the eleventh (2006-2010) referred to

1. According to Fan Libo, there are three strategic paths (zhanlüe lujing) for development, which are respectively linkage (hulian), leverage (ganggan), and localisation (bentuhua), hence the term “3L method.”