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The Yemeni manuscripts of the Yahuda Collection at the University of Michigan
Provenance and acquisition

Evyn Kropf

Introduction

The University of Michigan Library is privileged to hold three Yemeni manuscripts in its Islamic Manuscripts Collection, Isl. Ms. 564, Isl. Ms. 589, and Isl. Ms. 638. The manuscripts were identified by cataloguer Evyn Kropf during the “Collaboration in cataloging: Islamic manuscripts at Michigan” project, a grant-funded effort engaging established and emerging scholars by involving them in the detailed cataloguing of the collection. Full codicological descriptions of the manuscripts have been prepared in the course of the cataloguing project and these descriptions appear both in the Library’s online catalogue and in the Catalogue of the Yemeni manuscripts in the University of Michigan Library, made available online by the Centre Français d’Archéologie et de Sciences Sociales de Sanaa (CEFAS).

This article discusses the manuscripts’ Yemenite provenance and the history of their acquisition by the University of Michigan, a subject which has remained little investigated since the manuscripts entered the Library in 1926. In the light of additional evidence recently unearthed in the University archives, it is now possible to provide a full account of the acquisition, and more importantly to clarify the role played by the Orientalist and manuscript collector Abraham Shalom Yahuda (1877-1951).

Islamic Manuscripts at Michigan

The Islamic Manuscripts Collection at the University of Michigan contains roughly 1,090 manuscript volumes mainly in Arabic, Persian, and Turkish dating from the 9th century.
to the 20th century CE. The collection is housed in the Special Collections Library and is organized by acquisition and provenance into several subcollections – the Abdul Hamid, Tiflis, Yahuda, and McGregor Collections being the largest, with roughly 290, 163, 265, and 151 manuscripts respectively, alongside several smaller collections (201 manuscripts in the Walter Koelz, Nuttall, Richard Ford, Stephen Spaulding, Horace Miner, Heyworth-Dunne, Sulaiman, and Frank Schulte Collections and some 19 or so miscellaneous manuscripts of varied provenance). The three Yemeni manuscripts are held in the Yahuda Collection. The size of the collection places it among the largest such collections in North America (others including those at Princeton, University of California Los Angeles, Yale, Library of Congress, and Harvard).

A detailed picture of the coverage and significance of the collection is still being realized in the course of the current cataloguing work and subsequent study. Nevertheless, inventory data reveals coverage rich in: the sciences of the Qur’ān (maṣāḥif, exegesis [tafsir], readings [qirāṭ], etc.), collections and works in the sciences of hadīth (‘ulām al-hadīth), theology (kalām), jurisprudence (fiqh, particularly of the Ḥanafī school), Sufism and philosophy, mathematics, astronomy, philology, poetry, belles lettres, history and geography. The Abdul Hamid manuscripts are also particularly rich in specimens of fine calligraphy and the Islamic book arts. Overall, the collection offers a vast range of raw material not only for editors of texts but for scholars of Islamic social history, knowledge transmission and acquisition, manuscript production and ownership, as well as Islamic codicology and paleography, book arts and calligraphy.

The Yemeni Manuscripts

The three Yemeni manuscripts found in the University of Michigan Library’s Islamic Manuscripts Collection are listed at shelfmarks Isl. Ms. 564, Isl. Ms. 589, and Isl. Ms. 638. The manuscripts date from the period of the Zaydi imāmate following the end of the first Ottoman invasion in 1636 CE, and were copied within 20 years of each other, between 1660 and 1679 CE. Two of the manuscripts contain works addressing matters of usūl al-fiqh, in one case from the perspective of the Zaydi school and in the other from the perspective of the Ḥanafi school. The third manuscript contains Ibn Hīqqa al-Ḥamawi’s commentary on his own poem in praise of the Prophet, al-Badīʿya or Taqdim Abī Bakr.

Establishing the manuscripts’ Yemenite provenance requires detailed examination and analysis of the manuscripts themselves. In the case of one manuscript, the place of copying is explicitly stated in the colophon. For the other two manuscripts, their Yemenite province is strongly suggested by the actors responsible for their production (patrons and copyists) and is corroborated by the gatherings (chiefly quadrinoms), use of colored inks (especially green or yellow), use of larger bolded headings, content (particularly in the case of Isl. Ms. 589) and identities of other former owners. In addition, the hands of all manuscripts bear a certain resemblance, most significantly a tendency toward scarce pointing, and two of the manuscripts (Isl. Ms. 589 and Isl. Ms. 564) are on papers similar to those attested in other Yemeni manuscripts of the period.

Isl. Ms. 638 (copied 1073/1663) has ties to the vicinity of Ibb in the southern highlands. In this manuscript the place of copying is specified – the residence of the copyist in qaryat al-Malḥukī (المحلكي), locale of the renowned Ġāmiʿ al-Mulaykī (جامع المليكي) to the east of the city of Ibb. The copyist is identified as ‘Alī ibn ‘Abd Allah al-Zubayr al-
In 1925, A. S. Yahuda of Heidelberg offered to the British Museum a collection of more than two hundred Arabic manuscripts, carefully catalogued. The examination was made in large numbers in the district of Damār. The copyist of this manuscript is not identified, though most headings are supplied in a larger bolded script and are either fully rubricated, provided in yellow or green ink, or accented with one of these colored inks. Keywords too are either rubricated or accented with red, green or yellow ink. The contents of the manuscript further suggest its Yemenite provenance as it contains selections from al-Mahdī li-dīn Allāh Ahmad ibn Yahyā ibn al-Murtaḍā’s commentary on his own al-Azhār fī fiqh al-a’immah al-athār, a textbook of Zaydī law.

Isl. Ms. 564 bears ties to the port of al-Muḥā (Mocha). The patron for whom Isl. Ms. 589 was copied (1070/1660) is identified as Muḥammad ibn Aḥmad ibn Muhammad ibn Şāliḥ al-‘Ansī (Muḥammad ibn Aḥmad ibn Muḥammad ibn Shāliḥ al-‘Ansī). His nisba suggests his belonging to the Yemeni tribe ‘Ans (of the great Maḏīḥ group),18 with large numbers in the district of Damār.19 The copyist of this manuscript is not identified, though most headings are supplied in a larger bolded script and are either fully rubricated, provided in yellow or green ink, or accented with one of these colored inks. Keywords too are either rubricated or accented with red, green or yellow ink. The contents of the manuscript further suggest its Yemenite provenance as it contains selections from al-Mahdī li-dīn Allāh Ahmad ibn Yahyā ibn al-Murtaḍā’s commentary on his own al-Azhār fī fiqh al-a’immah al-athār, a textbook of Zaydī law.

The patron for whom Isl. Ms. 589 was copied (1070/1660) is identified as Muḥammad ibn Aḥmad ibn Muhammad ibn Şāliḥ al-‘Ansī (Muḥammad ibn Aḥmad ibn Muḥammad ibn Shāliḥ al-‘Ansī). His nisba suggests his belonging to the Yemeni tribe ‘Ans (of the great Maḏīḥ group),18 with large numbers in the district of Damār. The copyist of this manuscript is not identified, though most headings are supplied in a larger bolded script and are either fully rubricated, provided in yellow or green ink, or accented with one of these colored inks. Keywords too are either rubricated or accented with red, green or yellow ink. The contents of the manuscript further suggest its Yemenite provenance as it contains selections from al-Mahdī li-dīn Allāh Ahmad ibn Yahyā ibn al-Murtaḍā’s commentary on his own al-Azhār fī fiqh al-a’immah al-athār, a textbook of Zaydī law.

Isl. Ms. 564 bears ties to the port of al-Muḥā (Mocha). The patron for whom the manuscript was copied (1090/1679) is identified as Fażr al-Dīn ‘Abd Allāh ibn ‘Ali ibn ‘Īzz al-Dīn al-Akwa’. A well-respected qādī and scholar (particularly of ‘ilm al-āsāl) from the great Yemenite family al-Akwa’,22 he resided in several places before receiving an appointment in al-Muṭā, then moved to Šan’ā’ where he remained until he died in 1127/1716.23 Two copyists were involved in the production of this manuscript, Muḥammad ibn ‘Abd Allāh ibn Šāliḥ ibn ‘Abd Ḥāliq ibn Ǧāḥšāf al-Qāsimī and al-Husayn ibn Ahmad ibn Muḥammad ibn Ahmad ibn Hašr.24

The three Yemeni manuscripts are held in a subset of the University of Michigan Library’s Islamic Manuscripts Collection known as the Yahuda Collection. Though limited inventory cataloguing began some five years after the Yahuda manuscripts were acquired,25 their provenance was scarcely investigated and a full account of their acquisition was not prepared in the course of the inventory cataloguing.28

In the absence of further evidence, speculation arose29 that the manuscripts constituted a portion of the exceptional personal collection of the famous Orientalist and manuscript collector Abraham Shalom Yahuda (1877-1951), whose manuscripts were otherwise known to have been dispersed to the National Library of Medicine, Princeton University Library and the National Library of Israel (formerly the Jewish National and University Library). In 1992-1993, Roberta Dougherty30 began an investigation into the acquisition history of the Islamic Manuscripts Collection and unearthed in the Special Collections Library administrative files a brief account of the Yahuda acquisition.31

“...In 1925, A. S. Yahuda of Heidelberg offered to the British Museum a collection of more than two hundred Arabic manuscripts, carefully catalogued. The examination was made..."
by Mr. Edwards, who in 1924 had gone to Cairo for the Museum in order to examine the Abdul Hamid collection, and had recommended that purchase; later he was instrumental in arranging that the Museum would turn over to the University of Michigan at nominal price a considerable number of duplicate copies of Arabic Manuscripts, and these form a valuable supplement to the collection of Abdul Hamid MSS. received by the University. Mr. Edwards found the Yahuda collection of much value, containing some manuscripts not in the British Museum, and not a few MSS. of which the market value is about £20 each. However, the British Museum was not in a position to make the purchase. Mr. Edwards noticed that the Yahuda collection to a remarkable degree supplements the list of Arabic MSS. previously sent to the University of Michigan, and called Mr. Kelsey’s attention to it, advising the purchase. Mr. Kelsey obtained from Dr. Yahuda the catalogue slips of the collection, and these were carefully checked over by W.H. Worrell, who found only four MSS. that would need to be rejected as duplicates of the present University Collection. These four Dr. Yahuda offered to replace. As the result of negotiations extending over a number of months, Dr. Yahuda agreed to accept the sum of $5,500 in payment, and to deliver the collection to the British Museum, there to await Mr. Kelsey’s arrival (...). Mr. Kelsey on his personal credit arranged to pay to Dr. Yahuda the sum of $5,500 though he has had as yet not opportunity to take up this subject with President Little (...). The words ‘now or never’ are applicable to Institutions having need of such material, and an opportunity such as this for the University of Michigan should not let slip provided the acquisition can in any way be arranged.”

In addition to roughly dating the events to ca. 1925, this account confirms that the acquisition of the Yahuda manuscripts, as with the other significant early acquisitions, was facilitated by the University’s own Professor Francis W. Kelsey (1858-1927). In fact, Kelsey is the author of the account. A classicist and archaeologist as well as an admired and progressive instructor, Kelsey continually involved himself in visionary projects in the service of scholarship (particularly classics and archaeology) and general humanitarian aims. As director of Near East research (1919-1921) he raised funds for the University’s first archeological expedition to the Near East, and thereafter supervised each year’s expedition, including the excavations at Antioch of Pisidia, Karanis in Egypt, and Carthage in 1924-1926. His passion for collecting antiquities and his tireless fundraising efforts allowed him to build a remarkable collection now housed by the museum that bears his name. In addition, Kelsey was responsible for the initial purchases that now form the basis of the University’s world renowned papyrus collection and of course its Islamic Manuscripts Collection.

Kelsey’s account also introduces the significant role played by the British Museum in facilitating the acquisition, particularly one “Mr. Edwards,” that is, Edward Edwards (d.1944), a distinguished former pupil of E.G. Browne and noted Persian scholar in his own right who served for 31 years (1904-1935) in the Museum’s Department of Oriental Printed Books and Manuscripts and contributed to the preparation of several of its catalogues. With regard to manuscript purchases, it was primarily this “Mr. Edwards” who kept Kelsey apprised of notable opportunities, reviewing the manuscripts in advance and comparing them against Michigan’s holdings.

Perhaps most significantly Kelsey’s account also establishes the involvement in the acquisition of one “A.S. Yahuda,” that is, the well-known Orientalist and manuscript collector Abraham Shalom Yahuda (1877-1951). An avid manuscript collector, Yahuda amassed an exceptional collection of books and manuscripts in the course of his travels
and eventually bequeathed a large number of them to the National Library of Israel. He reportedly sold other manuscripts from his collection to the U.S. National Library of Medicine (then the Army Medical Library) in 1940-1941 and to Princeton University Library in 1942.

According to Kelsey’s account, A.S. Yahuda was clearly the main broker of the purchase, offering the manuscripts, finalizing their selection and accepting payment for them. Given Yahuda’s reputation and circulating accounts of the dispersal of his personal collection, it is perhaps not surprising that (in the absence of further evidence) Yahuda’s involvement was taken to imply his former ownership or that the manuscripts were part of his personal collection. The account includes considerable detail (though it leaves a few points unclear), and yet invites any confirming, clarifying evidence that might be available in further records of the acquisition in the administrative files and archives.

The vague references to the acquisition that appear in the contemporary records of the proceedings of the University’s Board of Regents (1926-1929) and the General Library report to the president do not mention Yahuda but neither do they introduce any information contradicting his involvement. The accounts in these records do confirm the approximate date of purchase (1926), as well as the role of Kelsey in orchestrating the purchase, the number of the received manuscripts (263), and the approximate date of receipt (1926-1927). These records also highlight the role played in the funding by Horace H. Rackham (1858-1933) a Detroit lawyer and generous benefactor of the University of Michigan who made his fortune as an early investor in Henry Ford’s then fledgling enterprise, and with whom Kelsey was on intimate terms.

It is from records of Kelsey’s own correspondence that the details of the acquisition are better resolved. An account follows.

In July 1925, Kelsey receives a letter from Edwards notifying him of the opportunity to make a notable manuscript purchase. “Professor S. [sic] Yahuda, the brother of J. B. Yahuda of Cairo” had called upon the Museum and shown Edwards a list of Arabic, Turkish and Persian manuscripts that his brother had for sale. Edwards had declined the purchase for the Museum given the presence of “copies of nearly all of them” already in their collection. Still, he would recommend the purchase to Kelsey, for: “(...) it appears to me from the lists that they are a most useful lot of works – many of them being rare indeed, and a goodly number of old copies. We have dealt with Yahuda for many years, having bought about 200 Mss. during the last three years, and we found him very reasonable in his prices and his books of good literary value and of scientific importance. I am advising him to send on the lists to you so that your expert may judge and advise you regarding them. I am inclined to think that they are very well worth considering.”

Most strikingly, Edwards’ letter indicates that Yahuda was not offering a selection from his own collection of manuscripts, or even his own sales inventories, but from the manuscripts that his elder brother, Isaac Benjamin Shlomo Ezekiel Yahuda, a bookseller in Cairo, was offering for sale. Edwards’ letter also claims that the British Museum had regular dealings with “Yahuda” and purchased a number of manuscripts from him (presumably between 1923-1925), though whether he refers to I.B. Yahuda or to A.S. Yahuda serving as an agent for his brother is unclear. This suggests that in addition to building his own personal collection, Yahuda’s travels may have been engaged with collecting manuscripts to be sold through his brother’s enterprise and even his own manuscript dealings.
Kelsey replies to Edwards the following month (August 1925), indicating that he has received a letter from Yahuda “containing a description of the collection of oriental manuscripts which he offers, and also a registered package containing detailed data” and expressing his intent to reply directly to Yahuda to confirm consideration of the purchase though review of the material will take some time. After a delay of some months, Kelsey writes Edwards the following spring (April 1926) confirming that the offered collection has been reviewed and “so well supplements what we already have that Mr. Worrell recommended the substitution of four only, and these titles I sent to Mr. Yahuda, who said he would replace them by manuscripts of equal value.” He goes to say that a payment of $5,500 had been agreed upon, but would be delayed “on the account of the absence of a man who needs to be consulted,” perhaps referring to Horace H. Rackham to whom he would write on the matter in November of the same year. In the meantime, arrangements are made for Yahuda to deliver the manuscripts to Edwards at the Museum so that Kelsey can call for them there. With his letter to Edwards, Kelsey had apparently also included the slips received from Yahuda, asking that Edwards compare them with the manuscripts and “write me what the number of the manuscripts is, and ask Dr. Yahuda to correct anything which may need to be corrected on account of the substitution referred to.”

Edwards replies the following month (May 1926), disclosing that further substitute manuscripts were being offered by Yahuda due to nine having been lost or damaged in transit. He also confirms that the manuscripts are waiting for Kelsey at the Museum and offers to receive and hand the payment to Yahuda. Kelsey eventually decides to send Yahuda the payment directly, however, “one-half of the amount due, or $2,750,” which he confirms for Edwards in a letter the following month (June 1926).

Again after some months have passed, Kelsey writes Edwards in October 1926 to confirm that he had been able to collect a portion of the Yahuda manuscripts by this time, some 167 volumes representing 135 works, and that they had been inspected and approved enthusiastically. However, the rest had been unreachable. He presumed they were locked in Edwards’ room (who had been away at the time of his coming) and he asked that the rest be turned over to one “Mr. Lamacraft” who could hold them for Kelsey until he was able to come collect them as well.

Presumably sometime in the early days of the following month (November 1926), Kelsey approaches Rackham in person with a request that he cover the expense of the purchase. Quite soon afterward, on November 4, he sends a very cordial letter to Rackham reminding him of the request:

“President Little is disappointed not to have seen you, and would send his regard did he know that I am writing. We both hope that you will find it possible to add to the wonderful collection of manuscripts of permanent value which your contributions have brought to us by providing the ten thousand dollars need to pay for those supplementing the former collection already paid for on which we have the refusal.”

That Rackham agreed to fund the purchase is confirmed in a letter to Kelsey from Rackham’s secretary dated just four days later, i.e. November 10, 1926. Letters of thanks from Kelsey to the secretary and to Rackham himself, as well as from President Little to Rackham, provide further confirmation. These letters also corroborate the details of the arrangement as described in the Proceedings of the Board of Regents (1926-1929).
From Yemen to Yahuda?

At this time, it is only possible to speculate on how I.B. Yahuda, through his brother A.S. Yahuda, came to offer these Yemeni manuscripts for purchase. He had been active in bookselling for some years by the time of this sale, and therefore likely had quite an inventory. The “catalogue slips” which Kelsey references in his account of the acquisition, typically indicate an age for each manuscript, presumably at the time the slip was prepared, and calculations on the basis of these ages and the corresponding dates of copying may suggest rough dates of acquisition for the manuscripts, i.e. 1923 for Isl. Ms. 638 and 1924 for Isl. Ms. 589. Whether I.B. Yahuda traveled extensively in order to acquire manuscripts (as his famous brother later did) remains to be more thoroughly investigated, but according to S.D. Goiten, “as a dealer in Arabic books, he had connections all over the Arab world” which he undoubtedly leveraged to expand his stock. Still, that he traveled to Yemen to acquire these manuscripts is plausible, though an inspection stamp dated 1313 [1895 or 6] for the port of Tripoli (on the Levantine Mediterranean) suggests that this would not have been necessary for at least one of the manuscripts, not to mention that the presence of Yemeni merchants selling manuscripts in Cairo is attested from the late 19th century.

It is also possible that A.S. Yahuda collected the manuscripts for his brother. The details of his peregrinations around the Middle East, North Africa, and Europe have not yet been established, but reportedly he spent a full twenty years traveling in his quest for manuscripts beginning in 1922, just a year before the first of these Yemeni manuscripts was inventoried. While he was certainly working to build his own collection and selling from it, it is not inconceivable that he also gathered manuscripts for his brother’s bookselling enterprise. The details of the two brothers’ collecting and sales activities, including their source for Yemeni acquisitions, deserve to be investigated further and could likely be worked out in significant measure via detailed examination of A.S. Yahuda’s papers housed at the National Library of Israel.
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NOTES

1. See http://www.lib.umich.edu/special-collections-library/clir-islamic-manuscripts-project. The project is supported by a “Cataloguing Hidden Special Collections and Archives” grant sponsored by the Council on Library and Information Resources with funding from the Andrew W. Mellon Foundation.


4. Prior to this point, the only significant investigation into the acquisition and cataloguing history of the Islamic Manuscripts Collection was the fine work carried out in 1992-1993 by Roberta Dougherty, currently Middle Eastern Studies Librarian at the University of Texas at Austin. See Roberta Dougherty, “Islamic manuscripts at the University of Michigan: Summary of collection history” http://www.lib.umich.edu/files/libraries/area/near_east/IslMssSummary.pdf and Roberta Dougherty, “Oriental manuscripts at the University of Michigan,” unpublished paper.

5. A great number of the manuscripts are multi-work codices, i.e. containing several titles within a single volume; thus, the number of manuscript titles far exceeds the number of volumes and will be difficult to accurately estimate until the cataloguing is complete.


9. Sa’d al-Dīn Mas‘ūd ibn ‘Umar al-Taftāzānī (d.1390), the author of the main text appearing in this manuscript (al-Tawīl īlā kāfī ḥaqā‘īq al-Tanqīḥ) certainly wrote on both Ḥanafī and Šāfi‘ī law and is described as Šāfi‘ī by some of his biographers. Madelung suggests that his remarks in al-Tawīlī reveal that he personally adhered to the Ḥanafi school. See Wilferd Madelung, “al-Taftāzānī, Sa’d al-Dīn Mas‘ūd ibn ‘Umar,” E2, fascicule 163-168, pp.88-89. The manuscript also contains the gloss on al-Tawīlī by Ḥasan ibn Muḥammad Šāh al-Fanā’ī (d.1481).

10. GAL, II 16.

11. Again, full codicological descriptions for the three manuscripts appear in the online catalogue of the University of Michigan, Mirlyn, and in the Catalogue of the Yemeni manuscripts in the University of Michigan Library, recently made available online by the Centre Français d’Archéologie et de Sciences Sociales de Sanaa (CEFAS). The descriptions were prepared by the present author, with contributions from Alison Vacca (Doctoral candidate, Department of Near Eastern Studies, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor) and Anne Regourd (CNRS, co-editor of the Chronicles du manuscrit au Yémen and supervisor of manuscripts projects for CEFAS).

12. The use of quaternions in Yemeni manuscripts (as opposed to the quinions more typical of most Arabic manuscripts) has been reported by Déroche, et al. Islamic codicology, p.87 (i.e. citing FIMMOD 161) and by Adam Gacek, see p.641 in “A Yemeni codex from the library of Sharaf al-Dīn al-Ḥaymī (d.1140/1727),” in Essays in honour of Ṣaḥāḥ al-Dīn al-Manṣūḥīd (= Maqālīt wa-dirāsāt muḥādhīl īlā al-Dūktūr Ṣaḥāḥ al-Dīn al-Manṣūḥīd), London, Al-Furqān Islamic Heritage Foundation, 2002, pp.643-657.

13. The primary texts of the manuscripts are each supplied in nasiṣ of four distinct hands. Full characterization of the hands is provided in Evyn Kropf, Catalogue, though in addition to the tendency toward very casual or even scarce pointing (with any pointing that does appear being in distinct dots as opposed to strokes) all exhibit a slight inclination to the left and mainly curvilinear descenders which are nonetheless quite stiff in some cases. See also m/h 26 (copied in distinct dots as opposed to strokes) all exhibit a slight inclination to the left and mainly tendency toward very casual or even scarce pointing (with any pointing that does appear being in distinct dots as opposed to strokes).

14. Partial pointing of letters, use of green, yellow and black inks, and bolded headings and keywords are also attested in the Yemeni manuscript copied for Ṣaraf al-Dīn al-Ḥaymī in 1113/1701, which appears in the codex reported by Gacek (2002).

15. That is, European papers featuring the three crescents watermark and the crown-star-crescent watermark in particular. Compare the composite codex reported by Adam Gacek (2002), pp.644-645 and nos. 147, 150 and 151 for a manuscript copied 1114/1702-1703 in Anne Regourd, Catalogue cumulé des bibliothèques de manuscrits de Zabid, 1 Bibliothèque ‘Abd al-Rahman al-Hadhrami, fascicule 2, Sanaa, CEFAS, 2008. Thanks to Anne Regourd for sharing images of this ms.


17. See p.408 in Ibrāhīm Aḥmad al-Maqṣāfī, Mu’ṣām.


20. GAL, II 187.
24. Possibly a further tie to Mocha since his kinsman Sayyid Zayd b. ‘Ali Gaḥhāf was granted the Mocha governorship at the height of the Qāsimī expansion and held the post from 1066/1655 or 61080/1669 or 70. See pp. 55-64 in Nancy Um, The Merchant houses of Mocha, Seattle/London, University of Washington, 2009.
25. GAL, II 214, no. 3, cmtr. b.
26. GAL, II 216, no. 15.
28. Years later, with only limited information available, James D. Pearson (1971) and Stephan Roman (1990) could address the acquisition of the Yahuda manuscripts only vaguely. Pearson is especially conservative stating only “(...) the Yahuda collection, bought from the bookseller of that name Nos. 451-685 (...)” (see Oriental manuscripts in Europe and North America: a survey, pp. 332-333).
29. Roman’s brief account of the Yahuda acquisition (see The Development of Islamic library collections in Western Europe and North America, p. 235) seems to imply that the manuscripts came from Yahuda’s personal collection: “Two hundred and thirty manuscripts were bought from A.S. Yahuda the oriental scholar. Many of his other manuscripts went to Princeton and the National Library of Medicine. The texts obtained by Michigan include (...)”.
30. Currently Middle Eastern Studies Librarian at the University of Texas at Austin, to whom the present author is most indebted for her preliminary work on the acquisition and cataloguing history of the Islamic Manuscripts Collection.
31. See her unpublished paper, “Oriental manuscripts at the University of Michigan.”
33. For more on these acquisitions, i.e. of the Abdul Hamid and Tiflis Collections, see Roberta Dougherty, “Islamic manuscripts at the University of Michigan: Summary of collection history” and her unpublished paper, “Oriental manuscripts at the University of Michigan.”
37. In fact, Kelsey apparently requested that Edwards keep him informed, see Edward Edwards to Francis W. Kelsey, 14 July 1925, Box 2, Francis Willey Kelsey papers, Bentley Historical Library, University of Michigan, which opens “You asked me to let you know if I heard of any collection of Moslem Mss. that were good and obtainable at a reasonable price. Such a collection has been brought to my notice earlier than I expected (…)”


40. For more on the purchase by the U.S. National Library of Medicine, see p.[1] in Emilie Savage-Smith, Islamic culture and the medical arts: a brochure to accompany an exhibition in celebration of the 900th anniversary of the oldest Arabic medical manuscript in the collections of the National Library of Medicine (Bethesda, MD: National Library of Medicine, 1994) and pp.13-14 in Thomas Conuel, “Treasures from the Collection: the Islamic Medical Manuscript Collection,” NLM Newsline, vol.58, no.1 (January-March 2003), pp.10-11, 13-14, 18-19; Savage-Smith gives 1941 for the date of purchase; Conuel gives 1940; Yahuda’s correspondence file gives “2876. US Army medical (33), 1940-1947.”
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