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1 As he emerged from the Chauvet-Pont d’Arc Cave in the Ardèche department, discovered in December 1994 when a breath of air was detected coming out of a crevice, the British art critic and writer John Berger remained dumbstruck in front of the camera.¹ In the cave, all is silent and obscure. How can one describe the drawings produced almost 31,000 years ago – 15,000 years before Lascaux and Altamira – without disrupting any kind of chronological narration? How can one render the incredulity before the black charcoal lines, preserved in such a dazzling state of freshness by the damp atmosphere, they could almost have been drawn the day before? How to recount and share the feeling of connection with an immemorial time in a sealed-off space, the impression of understanding the enigma of Cro-Magnon people – the ultimate “Homo Pictor” (Hans Jonas) – on which so much is still to be discovered?

2 Barely out of the cave, John Berger was intercepted and interviewed by a documentary crew which he was accompanying on location as a researcher. He is asked a rather predictable question for someone who has spent his life writing about pictures: “What did you see over there?” Suddenly John Berger whips out a tape recorder he used to capture a few impressions of what he saw and heard during his subterranean excursion. He is surprised to hear that his voice, besides being beyond-the-grave deep, should be so slow – times passes sluggishly underground. He murmurs a few words, outlines thoughts: “Silence. I switch the lamp on my helmet off. A certain quality of darkness. In this darkness, silence becomes encyclopaedic, condensing everything that has taken place in the interval between then and now.”² “Encyclopaedia of silences”: it is impossible to find a more appropriate, or laconic, phrasing, because these silences generate voices instead of stupefying the mind; to record them spawns drawings and ultimately, writing. Far from being a rational enterprise, this encyclopaedia becomes a fictional matrix, an inexhaustible reserve for narratives. In the cave, John Berger, who taught several generations Ways of Seeing (to quote the title of his most famous book,
published in 1972), felt he had entered a huge intestine. He let himself be guided by the
scientists who were inventorying the animal forms painted with vigorous strokes, all
perfectly integrated to the crevices and irregularities of the rock wall. Although the
cave is now permanently closed to the public, the drawings are still well-known, thanks
to the 3D documentary film directed by another visionary, Werner Herzog (Cave of
Forgotten Dreams, 2010). Unable to verbalise his feelings in front of the animal
representations, John Berger – who also taught us how to look at animals – eventually
starts drawing on absorbent Japanese paper, trying to recreate the same visual
production conditions, the same roughness.

The silences John Berger touches on seem clearer when one simultaneously reads the
two following books, both published in 2019: Préhistoire : l’envers du temps and Saisis par
la préhistoire : enquête sur l’art et le temps des modernes, approaching them like two refined
and fascinating enquiries into the relationship between prehistory and modernity. The
two authors, Rémi Labrusse and Maria Stavrinaki also co-edited the issue no. 147 of the
Cahiers du musée national d’art moderne, and co-curated, along with Cécile Debray,
Préhistoire : une énigme moderne, an exhibition at the Centre Pompidou. On this occasion,
they extended, spatialized, developed and “tested” their critical discourse, by re-
establishing the discussion between prehistoric artefacts and contemporary artworks
on new bases.³

“The eternal silence of these infinite spaces terrifies me”: Blaise P ascal’s phrase acts as
a caption for the drawing by Odilon Redon (c. 1870) analysed by both authors, in which
a simian figure with a human face climbs to the top of a dried-up looking mountain.
Plunged in the silence and solitude of a bare and spectral landscape, suspended
between ether and earth, “the impenetrable minerality remained mute, and it was this
mutism which was shattered by the subject’s dread”⁴ During the 1830s, a few decades
before the drawing was made, prehistory was discovered, or rather invented, “the
result of a network of desires, actions and thoughts that converged towards this
revolution in the representation of time”.⁵ There was now a precise date for the
creation of the times preceding history, integrating them into a chronological orbit.
However, the position of prehistory was still uncertain: “a child born motherless, a
mother dead childless”, following Salomon Reinach’s⁶ expression inspired by Ovid’s
Metamorphoses, in his 1889 text on the art of the late Paleolithic which Maria Stavrinaki
quotes in her book. From that point onwards, time has done nothing but go backwards,
like a “retrograding Apocalypse” (Honoré de Balzac), surpassing the Biblical mosaic
narrative. Time progressively retracted towards the Lascaux cave, then the Pech-Merle
cave: from Prehistory to an a-human, antediluvian world, from organic to vegetable
life, to the age of the Earth. Geological time (the life of the Earth), biological time (life
on Earth), anthropological time (the humanisation and acculturation of humans),
following the division suggested by Rémi Labrusse: by stretching out time in what
resembles a regressus in infinitum – or ad uterum in the case of caves –, the position of
humanity seems increasingly diminished.

The silence of the past, likewise, has become increasingly dense. Paradoxically, the
more humans take up the scientific and imaginary challenge of understanding their
ancestors’ life, the more they are confronted to the abyss of a mundus inferior; the more
they improve their ability to explore faraway times, the more they can appreciate the
shrinking of their own civilisation; the more the past spreads out, the more humanity’s
position in regards to history – of which it has considered itself the absolute master – is
marginalised. Despite efforts to control and domesticate the past, “silence is its major key”, writes Rémi Labrusse, who devotes a decisive chapter to the immemorial, where history and theory intertwine. He defines the immemorial thus: “neither oblivion nor memory, but rather that which, from within an anonymous and disindividualised past, obscurely moves inside the experience of the present, giving it an unfixable temporal density”.

The prehistoric fragments we are left with are just as mute: polished stone tools, engraved objects and artefacts, bones and fossils, portable art and wall paintings of animals and women, caves and megaliths, dinosaurs and simian creatures: a material and incomplete corpus which has become the battleground for conflicting interpretations. The remains leave us faced with their mutism and their resistance to rally behind a coherent narrative, de facto signalling “the failure of the historicist enterprise”, as the “historicist excesses ended up leading to the destruction of history”.

It is therefore unsurprising, at this stage, to note the silence of historians regarding prehistory. How is one to take into account the aesthetic nature of tools or collective and nameless wall paintings? The expansion of the powers of historicity reaches its limits here, shattering the very notion of limits themselves. The chronology of art history is unwilling to include such a long duration in relation to this order of hyper-historicity, “that reaches from natural history to prehistory and from prehistory to history” by offering “access to reality as a whole”. One can recognize the effects of positivist and rational modernism, whose progressive linearity crumbled throughout the 20th century, weakened by wars, tragedies and nuclear threats. Contrary to art historians, artists have understood that the material and mental prehistoric chronotope is defined by the present, and that prehistory, however distant it may be, gives access to the “clear and burning presence” that Georges Bataille experienced in Lascaux. The prehistoric times of artists are given in the present tense, or presentified, like the drawings John Berger was so visibly stunned by. According to Stavrinaki, modernity was founded upon this feeling.

Rémi Labrusse and Maria Stavrinaki defend the idea that the prehistory of artists is a past that speaks to the present, a past that suddenly bursts into the present, like an epiphany or a sudden idea. “Prehistory is never untouched by the present: it is fertilised by the present as much as it fertilises it”; as such, it is suffused by an ambivalent feeling, a mix of attraction and repulsion: “prehistory proves to be a wonderful tool for producing ‘defamiliarisation’”. However, if exile from chronological time grants access to a different type of time, populated by imagination, there is still a risk of diving into an uninhabited and abysmal world. Such is the case with fossils, models for sculpture and photography as well as material traces of past extinctions, a memento inasmuch as humans and human civilisations, like any other living species, could also one day be faced with a fossil dimension, a geological future. “The myriad extinctions potentially revealed by the depths of the earth anticipated the future extinction of humankind”. Past and present are engulfed by a vortex: “Concern for the past and apprehension of the future merge together, the idea of a human-less Earth can be understood in the past as well as in the future tense”. Going back in time, the quest for origins ends up turning around and reversing itself: “It cannot be stressed enough: from its constitution as a field of knowledge and time-consciousness object to this very day, prehistory has always been connected to the idea of the ‘end’.”
interest in fossils during WWI; the exploration of enigmas by the Surrealists during the 1930s; the connection between Hiroshima and Lascaux, masterfully examined by Maria Stavrinaki in a chapter on post-history; the interest in caves in these times of nuclear threat; the search for origins as a tool for legitimation, or even appropriation, of a Neolithic cultural exception: it is impossible to disjoin prehistoric imagery from the historical circumstances into which it emerges, to the point one could exclaim, following Robert Smithson: “I’m interested in the politics of the Triassic period”!

Our uncertain future, all at once distant and cumbersome, explains this interest in modernity and prehistory. A human-less Earth coincides with surpassing anthropocentric thought (according to which every stage in history is crowned by human action), as well as with our times haunted by the sixth extinction. Finding refuge in prehistory can help us catch a glimpse of the reverse side of time, of what will happen rather than what is moving away: “prehistory appears most clearly when the end of the human species becomes plausible”.

Is prehistory an “encyclopaedia of silences”? “There is” – or “there was” – takes on different forms, which Rémi Labrusse and Maria Stravrinaki analyse by weaving unexpected links with contemporary artistic productions, which also infused the exhibition rooms at the Centre Pompidou. The artists were able to translate silences into words and pictures, taking up the challenge of narrating the unspeakable and showing the unrepresentable. These silences still must be filled in: such is the ambitious project of prehistory confronted with modernity. Using the logic particular to visual arts, Rémi Labrusse and Maria Stavrinaki delve into these excessive times that go beyond humans and time itself –, the chasm opened by prehistory and the silences marked by the “interval between then and now”.
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