

## Report to the editors of the journal

I would first like to thank you, madam / sir, for this collaboration with our journal *Interventions économiques / Papers in Political Economy*. Those invited to do a review are usually those active in research and recognized for their expertise. For this reason, we appreciate this contribution without which a scholarly journal could not exist.

We ask you to make an assessment in two stages, with a recommendation to the direction of the Journal, which is not transmitted to the authors. We ask that you first decide on whether or not we should publish the manuscript. We then ask you to express an opinion as to whether the manuscript is akin to a scientific article or rather a research note. An article is an original contribution to the scientific analysis of facts or data. This means that the text has to raise an issue based on a literature review which is relevant and timely, it must articulate a central issue and / or research hypothesis and should finally present a novel analysis. A note, for its part, also presents an original interpretation but in a more descriptive way, and has a more limited scientific contribution.

We also ask you to do a detailed report which, in turn, is transmitted in full to the author.

Diane-Gabrielle Tremblay  
And Christian Deblock  
For *Revue Interventions économiques / Papers in Political Economy*

## Recommendation to the editor of the journal

**Article Title:**

**I recommend** that this manuscript:

- be published as an article or as a research note
- with minor modifications
- with major revisions (specified in the detailed report)
- not be published not (paper refused)

### Comment / Justification

	High	Medium	Low
Scientific Contribution			
Quality of research			
Quality of writing (clarity, style, organization)			

**Date:**

**Name of the reviewer** (will not be released to authors):

**Text no:**

Date sent:

Return Date:

**Comments for the editor: these will not be transferred to the author but are important for the editors**

## Review (Detailed report)

**Article Title:**

1. Does the item is appropriate for the journal *Interventions économiques / Papers in Political Economy*?  
Yes      No      Can not decide

Comment:

2. Does the article makes an original contribution?  
Yes      No

Comment:

3. Does the article achieve its objectives?  
Yes      No      Can not decide

Comment:

4. General comments:

	1	2	3	4	5	NA
<b>Relevance of the article for its readers and <i>Interventions économiques / Papers in Political Economy</i></b>						
<b>General Assessment section with regards to:</b>						
Originality						
The research problem						
The theoretical references and developments						
Methodology						
Analysis						
Conclusions						
The quality of the presentation						
<b>Your overall appreciation</b>						

1: excellent, 2: good, 3: fair, 4: below average, 5: acceptable, NA: not applicable

5. In your professional opinion, should this article be published in *Interventions économiques / Papers in Political Economy*? Your recommendation:

**Acceptance without modification:**

**Acceptance with major modifications:**

**Comment:**

**Acceptance with minor modifications:**

**Comment:**

**Comments to the author (s):** (Please provide enough detailed comments for revision of the article, if necessary, for example whether the theories are encamped, missing authors, if the text is clear, well written, well structured, what the author should add, refine, etc..; clearly state what MUST be changed to your eyes and what can improve the text and try to have a constructive approach.)

**Please return your review to Diane-Gabrielle Tremblay**

[diane-gabrielle.tremblay@teluq.ca](mailto:diane-gabrielle.tremblay@teluq.ca)

514 843-2015 ext. 2878

Fax: 514 843-2160