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As work continues on the archaeological and paleoenvironmental evidence relating to the end of the Classic period in the Maya Lowlands (traditionally dated AD 950), it appears that this “end” lasted too long—from 760 to 950-1050—to qualify as a brutal collapse. Certainly punctuated here and there by radical crises and ruptures, the temporal “transition” from the Classic to the Postclassic periods must be studied as a long and thick, or complex sequence that linked up different processes in different regions with a different time sequence (Demarest et al. 2004b). But it also activated generations of actors who came into play as they became conscious of, reacted, and adapted to those changes. Although hardly appropriate, the degree of chronological resolution reached by Maya Lowland archaeology allows us to tentatively evaluate the Terminal Classic sequence using the generational time span. We aim to identify actions and strategies developed in those cities using well-dated archaeological sequences, and possibly envision how the calendric games stimulated an anticipation of political change. [Key words: Maya area, Terminal Classic, generation, transition, action, anticipation.]
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alcanzado por la arqueología de las tierras bajas mayas permite evaluar la secuencia del Clásico terminal al paso de las generaciones. Se intenta detectar acciones y estrategias desarrolladas en ciertas ciudades con secuencias bien fechadas, quizás aún vislumbrar anticipaciones del cambio político que la atención al juego de los calendarios sin duda habría estimulado. [Palabras claves: Area maya, Clásico Terminal, generación, transición, acción, anticipación.]

« De mon vivant ». Actions et interactions des élites dans les basses terres mayas du Classique au Postclassique ancien (le long ix\sup{ème} siècle, 760-920 apr. J.-C.). Au fur et à mesure que l’on approfondit les connaissances tant archéologiques que paléo-environnementales sur la fin de la période classique dans les basses terres mayas – traditionnellement datée autour de 950 apr. J.-C. –, il apparaît que cette « fin » a duré trop longtemps – entre 760 et 950-1050 – pour être qualifiée d’effondrement brutal. Certes ponctuée ici et là de crises et de ruptures radicales, la « transition » temporelle du Classique au Postclassique doit être étudiée en elle-même comme une séquence longue et épaisse, ou complexe, ayant enchaîné plus ou moins rapidement des processus différents région par région (Demarest et al. 2004). Mais aussi, cette séquence temporelle a mis en jeu des générations d’acteurs qui ont pris conscience de ces changements, y ont réagi et s’y sont adaptés. Bien qu’à peine suffisant, le degré de résolution chronologique atteint dans l’archéologie des basses terres permet de tenter d’évaluer la séquence à l’aune des générations, ce qui autorise le repérage des actions et stratégies mises en place dans certaines cités aux séquences bien datées, voire permet d’envisager les anticipations du changement politique que l’attention portée au jeu des calendriers a certainement suscitées. [Mots-clés : Aire maya, Classique Terminal, générations, transition, action, anticipation.]

**Introduction**

Recent archaeological and environmental data on the end of the Classic period in the Maya Lowlands, show that it was both a brutal collapse in some regions and a long (between AD 760 and 1000) transition to Postclassic times in most regions. The so called Terminal Classic period can now be considered as a long temporal sequence entailing different processes in each region (Aimers 2007; Demarest et al. 2004a), with even two waves of political collapse in the northern regions (Hoggarth et al. 2015). Similar or analogous non-concomitant double waves might have also occurred in the southern lowlands. Before furthering the research on causalities, it is urgent to work out the chronological sequence of processes and waves with sufficient resolution and precision to enable us to correlate cultural and climatic changes. In order to improve chronological precision, this paper is a call for urgent, and if possible, collaborative research to assemble absolute datings, region by region, for phenomena such as cessation of monumental construction and resident occupation in investigated sites. More robustly than just hieroglyphic “terminal dates” in inscriptions (see Ebert
et al. 2014), those two markers help refine the timing of political collapses, including those regions that lack inscriptions.

But in order to achieve cultural/environmental correlations, we also need higher resolution referring to time intervals shorter than traditional one-to-two hundred yearlong ceramic phases. All over the lowlands, several generations of Maya agents perceived at least some of the diverse political and environmental changes, reacted and adapted to them. The adoption by archaeologists of the generation as a time unit, entails a deep shift in our approach of the past as divided by breaks (visible in material culture) opening/ending chrono-cultural phases. The shift means taking into account that the perception of risk or vulnerability, but also of novel opportunities, was important in people’s decision-making and strategies of action, region by region, or center by center (Middleton 2012, p. 264, 265; Schollmeyer 2011, p. 412). The outcome was unknown to them, or the knowledge they had of “the end” differed widely from the one we now have. Despite the fact that much still needs to be done to fine-tune the many available site sequences, in this paper we attempt to reevaluate the Terminal Classic period using the time scale of 50 years, two human generations, and reorganize the evidence for a large body of sites (Figure 1) from AD 760 to 920. We have done this with the aim of focusing on the actions and strategies implemented by each polity over decades of change. Traditionally, changes in several parameters of material culture are synchronized to build cultural sequences (although ceramics most often dominate). We tackle the Terminal Classic datasets as exhaustively as possible, under the premise that relationships among events and processes documented by archaeology and epigraphy can and must be reassessed through the temporal distance of 50 years, even though some of those relationships may have required longer or shorter time spans. In other words, priority is given to the time span in which past individuals perceived and memorized events “in the days of their life”1 and that of their parents, and became conscious of current events and unfolding processes.

We do not mean to imply that this shift will produce the definitive sequence for the Terminal Classic period (e.g. AD 760 and 920 are not to be taken as the limit of the Terminal Classic period). Rather, our ambition is to reevaluate the period in order to call for precision in the chronology of processes that are all too often considered secondary in the Maya collapse, such as elite action, mainly

1. “Most of the residences in the city are empty and they are falling into ruins. Fertile farms and beautiful estates are for sale but there is no one to buy them. Formerly the city of Suzhou was prosperous and its people tended to be extravagant. It is natural that after a period of prosperity a period of depression should follow; but I never dreamed that I should have to witness these misfortunes in the days of my life” (emphasis is ours). This citation from the diary of Ye Shaoyuan writing in Southern China in 1642, during the 1635-1650 crisis, painfully expresses the economic cycle acceleration, in this case linked to the collapse of the Ming dynasty (cited in Marmé 2008).
elite political interaction (including conflicts), as well as commoners’ action, that is to say, mainly movements, both seen through variation in monumental construction (including stela and altar erection) and residential occupation. Two caveats must be stressed. First, elite action is much better documented through architecture, iconography and epigraphy than presence/absence and movement of “commoners” (Lohse and Valdez 2004) through settlement archaeology and demography. Our study illustrates this shortcoming and we must insist on the fact that datasets about commoner presence or absence in most sites are poorly dated. Second, as noted by readers of our early draft, our chronological option is developed to the detriment of the spatial diversity of lowland histories. There is no doubt that the narrative format emphasizes the time dimension and that our research effort is structured by the current concern about chronologies (see Cowgill 2015, among others) as much as by the broader approach of the recent Mesures et textures du temps chez les Mayas colloquium in which it was presented.

Terminal Classic change was not uniform through the lowlands; it differed according to environments and polities and was not synchronous (see A. F. Chase et al. 2014). In the present study (see Figure 1 for regional subdivisions), we treat spatial diversity as much as possible, although certainly not in a way that will satisfy every Mayanist.

While acknowledging regional diversity, we must also remind the reader that elite interaction was strong across the lowlands through the Classic, and intensified during the Terminal Classic, which is, indeed, what we are attempting to validate. It may have been that Late Classic Maya elites endeavored to overcome the all-too-obvious balkanization process advancing through the lowlands, by searching for some level of general agreement and collective action. We must stress that by the late ninth century, Chichen Itza and Uxmal were showing trends towards (conscious) ideological, political and military unification. Also, considering the marked “mesoamericanization” of Maya Terminal Classic elites, it is relevant to envision elite interaction and strategies on a broad scale. Moreover, population movements—trade mobility as much as true migrations—are now recognized as having been intense during the Classic (e.g. Cucina 2015; Freiwald 2011, 2013; Price et al. 2014; Wright 2012), which certainly did not cancel out regional diversity, but at least created a pan-lowland system of critical importance during the late crises (Webster 2002, p. 207-208). It should be acknowledged that estimating the timing of political collapses on the basis of terminal hieroglyphic dates (Ebert et al. 2014) pays little attention to the plausible variation in political significance of monument erection from site to site and region to region, not to mention the absence of such practices in some important places like Palenque or the Northern Belize sites (Hoggarth et al. 2015, p. 322). Indeed, diversity as seen through epigraphic (Jackson 2005, 2013; Bey 2006), environmental (A. F. Chase et al. 2014; Douglas et al. 2015;
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Fig. 1 – Map of the Maya Lowlands area showing the location of the archaeological sites mentioned in the text. The oval lines define the main regions, labeled “North”, “North-East”, “North Belize”, “East” and “West”. Their definition is partly based on the results of the time-space, political analysis by Ebert et al. (2014, fig. 5), but also on the presence/absence, nature, and epigraphic-iconographic content of carved monuments (Biró 2012; Jackson 2005, 2013). The capital names roughly correspond with those of the 10 environmental and cultural zones recently defined by A.F. Chase et al. (2014, fig. 2.2): from north to south, “Yalajau” (inland freshwater wetlands; high annual rainfall 2000 mm); “Chichen Itza and Yucatan plains” (cenotes, dry depressions, and fertile soils, low rainfall); “Puuc” (hilly zone with deep water table and fertile soils); “Calakmul and Western Campeche” (coastal swamps, karstic interior with seasonal swamps, or bajos); “Tikal” (center of “East”, karstic with lakes, rivers and bajos); “Northern Belize” (karstic terraces crossed by rivers); the “Vaca Plateau” (karstic, high rainfall above 2000 mm); “Palenque and Chiapas foothills” (varied ecosystems); “Seibal and Pasión” (or Petexbatun, streams and lakes); “Uxbenka and Toledo Foothills” (uplands with good soils and high rainfall). Most lowland zones receive from 700 to 1800 mm annual rainfall. (Map drawn by Jean-François Cuenot, ArchAm, based on the Electronic Atlas of Ancient Maya Sites, www.mayagis.smv.org).
Dunning et al. 2012) and trade route evidence (Turner and Sabloff 2012) defines distinct regional divisions that do not overlap among themselves. Nevertheless, they may all have been determinants during these troubled periods. Rough epigraphic and environmental definitions of regions are used in this chronological approach, along with the proximate (decaying) hegemonies of Tikal, Calakmul, Caracol, and others large centers.

The first part of the paper provides a sociological framework to the compiled events and processes of the ninth century, since it briefly explores the sociopolitical transformation apparent in many Terminal Classic sites. We suggest that, in some regions at least, the weakening of sacred kingship institutions went together with the emergence of the large social groupings that prefigure the Postclassic sociopolitical entities. The second part outlines the research variables, specifies the focus on activity types, and evaluates difficulties in time resolution. The third, fourth and fifth sections briefly summarize the available evidence in the fifty-year format, which is cautiously applied with overlapping boundaries, from AD 760/780 to 810/830, 810/830 to 850/870, and 850/870 to 900/920. The final discussion raises a number of questions about the effect of generational periodization on our understanding of Terminal Classic changes.

**Politically and economically powerful houses in Maya Terminal Classic societies**

Whereas in the Classic-to-Postclassic transition much attention is currently paid to the collapse of the sacred kingship institution (or k’uhul ajaw authority), the repeated droughts, and the abandonment of urban settlements, a far-reaching, albeit much less investigated process was probably going on: what can be called the autonomization of sub-royal elites (Chase 1992; Demarest et al. 2014; Graham 2006; Masson 2002, p. 20-21; McAnany 2013; Rathje 1970; Rice 1987). Of course, the Classic elites did not represent a uniform entity during the Classic period (Jackson 2005, 2013), and the strategies they followed to gain power from the ruling dynasties vary enormously from region to region. However, as most of these strategies required labor and war parties to support their endeavors (e.g. Graham 2006, p. 114), we suggest that, from roughly AD 700 to 1000 in a plurisecular process, intermediate social groups were generally accruing their political, economic, and probably military powers by integrating a certain proportion of Maya urban populations and by successfully attracting commoners. The result was the formation of what would become the later Postclassic groups, the basic sociopolitical entities of Preconquest and Colonial times, e.g., the Xiu, Kokom, Itza, Nijaib, Ajaw K’iche’, and many other ethnohistorically known social units called chibal, cuchteel or chinamit in Yucatán, Central Petén, and the Highlands (Hill 1996;
Hill and Monaghan 1987; Jones 1998; Okoshi Harada 2011; Quezada 2014; Restall 1997; Rice and Rice 2007; Roys 1940).

Formation of large intermediate social groups and the end of sacred kingship

Briefly summarized, archaeological evidence for the emergence of large Terminal Classic social groupings includes new monumentality and the size of residential architecture, transformation of earlier large public structures into huge residential units (e.g., La Danta in El Mirador, Structure II in Calakmul), the contraction of resident populations from small dwellings into adapted elite compounds, social segregation within residential zones, and changing funerary practices (Arnauld in preparation). The seemingly relevant epigraphic evidence on the consolidating identity of those groups, encompasses a proliferation of elite titles in the West and parts of the Puuc in the North, or at least their insertion in glyphic inscriptions (Jackson 2005, 2013; Jackson and Stuart 2001; Lacadena 2008, 2010b; Vega Villalobos 2011), along with the existence in some cases of multiple contemporaneous emblem glyphs in one city, as well as their relocation or pairing (Bíró 2011b; Gronemeyer 2012; Houston and Martin 2016, p. 449-450; Reents-Budet et al. 2012, p. 90-93; Tokovinine and Zender 2012, p. 56-61). It can also be noted that there existed a continuity in the erection of monuments even after the ruler’s demise, all of which suggest that non-royal elites had acquired royal symbols. The third category of evidence refers to the increasing intensity of long-distance exchanges throughout the Terminal Classic period, which implies networking and alliance-making over wide territories typical of house societies.

The house society model implies co-residence, ranking and alliance relationships in the determination of sociopolitical dynamics (Arnauld et al. 2013c; Gillespie 2000), by encompassing and articulating archaeologically-based concepts such as household and lineage (rather than excluding them). The model fits state and non-state societies, but applies only to highly hierarchical ones (Carsten and Hugh-Jones 1995, p. 10). It introduces history in our anthropological approaches of Maya cultural change, as advocated by Sabloff (1986, p. 116, cited by Smith 1992, p. 31), a theoretical requirement for our work on generational chronologies involving agents. In the Maya case, this model most efficiently accounts for the demographic growth of intermediate social groups. Co-residence enables us to analyze the monumentality of Late-Terminal Classic residential buildings to which many commoners must have contributed as builders and inhabitants, or co-residents (Arnauld 2012; Arnauld et al. 2013c; Christie 2003; Hendon 2012; Lemonnier 2009; for comparison see Feinman and Nicholas 2016). However, the process was not widespread since in settlements having a higher density of landesque investment (e.g. terracing at Caracol) the latter must have resulted in land inheritance within household lineages and frequent fissioning.
(McAnany 1995, p. 69-99), in turn blocking the development of houses as collective actors (see D. Z. Chase and Chase 2004, p. 141-142). Moreover, with a degree of regional diversity, ranking was internal within social groups—e.g. commoner households affiliated with noble lineages—but also external, between groups forming pyramidal hierarchies up to the apex of what epigraphers call “paramount rulers”, “overkings” or “overlords”. Martin and Grube have noted that, “while these [Tikal and Calakmul] were twin superpowers […] they were by no means the only polities to produce ‘overkings’, and lesser hegemonies developed in every region.” (2000, p. 20; see also Grube 2000, Arnauld et al. 2013c, p. 473-474, and Iannone 2010, p. 359-361).

Ranks (or positions in hegemonies) were based not on territories or lands, but on personal ties between lords (Chase et al. 2009; Martin and Grube 2000, p. 20; Okoshi Harada 2000, 2010, 2011, 2012; see also Graham 2006, p. 118, and Jackson 2009). As indicated by the erection of stone monuments, they were periodically waived in highly ritualized performances including inaugurations of buildings, accession to power, and period-ending commemorations. Wide shifts in greater and lesser hegemonies characterize the Terminal Classic period in the Maya Lowlands. Alliance-making was the most prominent strategy for promotion, through exogamous marriage between high-ranked lineages of distinct houses, while endogamous marriage reinforced commoner affiliation (see Arnauld et al. 2013c, p. 484-487 for arguments and references). In this way, a wide web of personal ties, sometimes across large regions, was able to sustain military alliances, loyalties, and probably also tribute obligations (Graham 2012).

Broadly speaking, if the burst of non-royal, monumental-residence constructions over the Lowlands was initiated by AD 700-750, it may be correlated in time with the later weakening of k’uhul ajaw authority. As a case in point, research across many Río Bec residential groups of monumental residences has shown that local societies were firmly structured and prosperous throughout the Late-Terminal Classic, although with extremely weak kingship institutions (Arnauld et al. 2014b; Fowler and Arnauld 2013; Arnauld and Nondédéo 2010; Nondédéo et al. 2010; Nalda and Balanzario 2014). Effective political authority should be distinguished from apex positions in local or regional hierarchies. Weak or strong, every sacred ruler was the overlord of somebody and sanctified some hierarchy of titles and names by which subordinate houses were ranked. After the Classic k’uhul ajaw institution (Houston and Stuart 1996, 2001) had disappeared with a number of rulers slaughtered or banished, the need for hierarchy remained among the growing and competing houses. The evidence in monument raising suggests that many new Terminal Classic leaders attempted to retain a degree of the traditional sacred aura and rituals in order to enforce the new ranking systems that favored their own allies (see Martin and Grube 2000, p. 63-64 for an example). In other words, in the current consensus about the collapse of the sacred, or “divine
kingship” during Terminal Classic times, there may be some confusion between institutions and practices. In the midst of the wide shifts in hegemonies (perhaps the primary, emically-conscious process of the times), some aspects of the sacred institution would indeed have survived into Postclassic times (Grube 2000), whereas political monopolies restricted to the sovereign and his staff would have been transformed into power-sharing practices among noble lords to the detriment of traditional dynasties (Chase et al. 2009, p. 181; Chase and Chase 2014, p. 149).

Architecturally, the latter would correspond to the proliferation of large, complex residences combining private and public functions during the early Terminal Classic times (e.g. Demarest et al. 2014, p. 207-208; see also Andrews and Fash 1992; Barrientos 2014; Inomata 2008; Inomata et al. 2002; Fash and Stuart 1991; Fash et al. 2004; Grube 1994b, p. 329, 338). As already suggested, within such an evolution each noble family would have needed strong commoner support as the most determinant asset in the competition for institutional construction.

As mentioned above, the fall of traditional k’uhul ajawob is not synchronous with the cessation of monument raising everywhere. From AD 830 on, some stone monuments were carved and raised anew, while others were relocated from main public plazas into noble compounds or subordinate centers: see for instance at La Milpa, Tikal, Yaxha, Naranjo, or El Peru-Waka’ (Zralka 2008, p. 201; Hermes and Martínez 2005, p. 144-145; Marken 2011; Valdés and Fahsen 2004). Old traditions of public statements were to some extent maintained by the new elite (Tokovinine and Zender 2012, p. 63-64) to their own advantage with new content. One hypothesis would be that, instead of glorifying individual rulers, late Terminal Classic monuments periodically reshuffled or reconfirmed the titles and ranks of local houses on occasions of period-ending rituals (see Feinman 2000, p. 168-170). The practice would be manifested by the change in iconography of many late monuments (see section 4 below; Cases and Nondédéo 2014).

Balkanization, fragmentation, agglomeration

Diverse urban components, including capitals and their subordinate settlements (e.g. Scherer and Golden 2014) increasingly interacted, resulting in Terminal Classic changes conceptualized as a “balkanization”, “decentralization”, “fragmentation”, and “elite proliferation” (Aimers 2007, p. 344, 346; Demarest et al. 2014, p. 207; Fash and Stuart 1991, p. 175-176; Houston and Stuart 2001, p. 73-76; see also Iannone et al. 2014, p. 60). Referring to an Eastern site (Minanha), Aimers and Iannone (2014) use the concept of “societal compression” to stress that at both ends of Terminal Classic Maya societies, royal elites and unaffiliated commoners may have been impacted by cultural and natural dynamics, whereas intermediate groupings were reinforcing positions and prospering, especially those controlling any permanent water source (see also Iannone et al. 2014, p. 64). Both “societal
“compression” (on the social scale) and “fragmentation” (political scale), adequately capture the entire transformation of traditional Classic societies. However, attention should also be paid to what those processes implied in concrete terms, i.e. the gathering of people affiliating, gradually or rapidly, to powerful families living in prestigious residences. This aspect of compression and fragmentation is seen in the agglomeration or coalescence of large co-residence groups, and may become archaeologically visible at least in many settlements through shifting occupational rates in site sequences, and withdrawals from small houses by commoners moving to cluster within and around elite compounds, resulting in the contraction of residential zones (see, among others, Arnauld et al. 2013b, 2014a; LeCount and Yaeger 2010, p. 75-76; Marken 2011; Nondédéo et al. 2010, p. 50, 2013a, p. 379, 381-382; Sion 2014; Taladoire et al. 2013, p. 361-364; Valdés 2005, p. 59-60; Zralka 2008, p. 129, 131; Zralka and Hermes 2012, p. 181). Agglomeration also corresponds to what is frequently described as internal modification of large compounds, with enclosures, division of dwelling spaces, additions of benches, and narrowing of entrances (Laporte and Mejia 2002; Hermes and Martínez 2005; Sion 2014, in press; Valdés 2005, p. 58; Zralka 2008; Zralka and Hermes 2012). Such changes imply that more people were integrated than segregated from the rest of the population.

**Physical houses**

The diversification of functions in residential compounds has only recently been explored and much still has to be elucidated in Late-Terminal Classic residential architecture (e.g. Christie 2003). In contrast with its Early-Late Classic counterpart, the complexity and monumentality cannot be overemphasized, especially in the East-Central and Northern Lowlands (Figure 1) where the Río Bec, Chenes and Puuc styles characterize extraordinary residential architectures. The latter became so prevalent that they almost replaced public religious architecture (Michelet et al. 2013; see Braswell et al. 2004, p. 179; Hansen et al. 2008, p. 45-46 for cases of temples transformed into residential spaces at El Mirador, Calakmul and Tikal; also, Morales Aguilar 2012). Physical houses were the core of the newly formed groupings. As places of memory, of ancestral cult and “mutual knowledge” (Hendon 2000, p. 49) about the exact location of ancient buried deposits, they were sacred sites that transmitted names, titles, emblems, and stored properties (Beck 2007; Gillespie 2010; Hendon 2010; Iannone 2010; McAnany 1995).

To summarize, there is already a large corpus of evidence showing that by the eighth to ninth centuries, numerous intermediate social groups had emerged that questioned the authority and ranking imposed by the long-lasting hegemonies of sacred dynasties. The power of those groups lay in the political, economic (based on long-distance trade and, possibly, on markets), and mostly...
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demographic bases, with military capacities and access to tribute at stake. Agents in those groups were able to act corporately, to make alliances with outsiders and foreign groups, and to make at least part of their group move to new places. However, an unknown proportion of Maya populations probably never integrated into those houses, and was thus left with the option of staying unprotected in declining cities or dispersing into forested hinterlands. Agents well incorporated into large groups had more decision-making options in the volatile circumstances of Terminal Classic times, and the effects of their actions have fortunately left more visible traces than their isolated counterparts. In this work we explore the generational sequences of events and rapid processes brought forward by those actions, since individual actions taken by commoner families are more difficult to trace through settlement variation and dynamics.

Variables, focus, and time resolution

While not exhaustive, precisely dated information for the AD 750-1050 interval was gathered from a wide bibliographical review led by Demarest et al. (2004a) and Aimers (2007) who produced outstanding syntheses, with the contribution of many recent papers on sites in the Northern, North-Eastern, Eastern and Western Lowlands (Figure 1). Other datasets come from the results of the archaeological research projects in which we were (and still are) involved at a number of sites—in the North: Xculoc, Xcochkax, and Xcalumkin; in the North-East: Balamku and Río Bec; in the East: Naachtun; in the West: La Joyanca, Zapote Bobal, and Cancuen—reinforcing our sensitivity to the ecological and sociopolitical diversity of the Maya lowlands, along with the wide range of processes the regions went through, even though our narrative must follow the primarily generational time guide.

Variables

We have limited the research collected to the following four variables (Figure 2, next page):

– dedication of sculptured stone monuments, as an expression of whatever political institutions were functioning in the city in which they were erected and revered;
– warfare, conflicts, and violence;
– monumental construction in epicenters and residential zones of cities;
– presence/absence of, and rapid shifts in, the resident population of cities.

Except in some cases, monument erection, warfare, monumental construction, and residential occupation did not end simultaneously in any one city, and acknowledging their diachronic endpoints is a priority (see a brief discussion by Andrews et al. 2003, p. 152). The four variables were selected due to the like-
Fig. 2 – Schematic distribution on a 20-year time scale of the four variables in a sample of 23 sites of the Terminal Classic Maya Lowlands: series of dry years (A), monumental construction and resident population (B), erection of stelae and altars, and conflicts (C). Sites are geographically ordered from West (left) to East, and South to North (right). The information on dry years (A) is mainly based on Hodell et al. 2005, Iannone et al. 2014, Kennett et al. 2012, and Moyses et al. 2009. Sites with ongoing monumental construction had resident population (B), but the end of monumental construction does not necessarily coincide with abandonment by resident population, and both end and abandonment are poorly dated in many sequences. Concerning conflicts (C), the information is non-exhaustive.
lihood that, emically, they generated information that circulated among social groups, and was transmitted through at least two sequent generations as the basis for chains of decision/action. Etically, the same variables document diplomatic action and population mobility (see below). We also take into account multi-decadal drought episodes as established by recent paleoenvironmental research (mainly Hodell et al. 2005, Hoggarth et al. 2015, Iannone et al. 2014, Kennett et al. 2012, and Moyes et al. 2009). However, the lowland geographical and agrarian diversity is such than rainfall variations did not affect all subsistence systems and regions in the same way.

**Elite diplomatic action and population mobility**

We are fortunate in being able to draw upon a massive amount of information, but a general synthesis is beyond the scope of this paper. We will focus on two specific types of decision/action with far-reaching consequences: elite “diplomatic action”, and commoner “population mobility”. The former is a direct function of hegemonies, house ranking and alliance-making (see section one); the latter an indirect function of elite competition, and possibly also a direct result of climatic conditions. Diplomatic action includes all ritualized performances in which ranked persons gathered in search of matrimonial and military alliances, as well as the redefinition of regional rankings under traditional or new overlords. Certain forms of warfare should also be considered (Inomata and Triadan 2009; Tokovinine and Zender 2012). Diplomatic action can thus be documented mainly through the first two variables, monument erection and warfare, and through monumental construction also. Population mobility, with residence shifting either from city to city, or from city to hinterlands, was common during the Classic period in relation to milpa agriculture, pilgrimages, markets, itinerant production, and exchanges (e.g. Freiwald 2011). However, the Terminal Classic period would have seen an acceleration of a new (previously rare?) kind of mobility, namely the relocation in space of sociopolitical groups, perhaps “mass migration” in some cases, or more limited moves in others (Demarest et al. 2004b; Demarest 2006; Rice and Rice 1990, 2004). Migration challenges the co-residence principle—basic in house societies—since groups had to abandon their traditional residence and relocate to different contexts. Indeed, later Yucatán Postclassic social groups lived only

2. Since the present study does not focus on social houses, we avoid developing a model of diplomatic action and mobility derived from the current knowledge of Classic Maya houses. Such a model should, however, be worked out in order to give more substance to the general characterization of the Terminal Classic moment as one of sociopolitical fragmentation, intensified exchanges, and population movements. It would also help to clarify the Epiclassic ‘Mesoamericanization’ process (e.g. López Luján and López Austin 1999; Ringle et al. 1998).
partly in co-residence (e.g. Okoshi Harada 2012). Population movements can be approached through the last two variables: intensity in monumental construction (Zaro and Houk 2012), and continuity/rupture in resident population: see hinterland studies such as on the Petexbatun area (Eberl 2014; O’Mansky and Dunning 2004; Tourtellot 1970), El Peru-Waka’ (Marken 2011), Xunantunich (Yaeger 2013), La Joyanca (Arnauld 2013; Arnauld et al. 2013b).

Diplomatic actions and population movements must be envisioned within the framework of the Terminal Classic geopolitical transformations reflected in a wide shift in settlement locations, mostly from the interior lowlands to the coasts (Dunning et al. 2012; Turner and Sabloff 2012). Both collective action types imply complex, off-routine chains of decision-action led by many great and petty rulers and leaders alike. Ideally we need to investigate what information was available to those agents at specific moments and places, what the circumstances were for decision-making, and what could have been transmitted through generations. This paper is intended to provide the main guidelines for such exploratory work, setting the theoretical framework and dataset synthesis, but is dependent on the state of research in archaeology, which still lacks the degree of quantitative evaluation necessary for graphical expression.

**Chronological resolution**

The fifty-year time span was selected for the following reasons. In the demographic context of the ancient Maya it corresponds to one lifetime, and also to two sequent generations (parents and children: e.g. Marquéz and Hernández 2013). It can be equated to a little more than two Maya katunob (20-year calendrical cycle), and almost exactly to the 52-year cycle determined by the return of the same day name and coefficient—year bearer—in the conjunction with the haab (solar year, 360 days + 5 days) and sacred tzolkin (260 days). The katun and its five-year quarters were the most celebrated calendar periods in the Maya cities, as witnessed by numerous dated inscriptions on carved stelae and altars (Stuart 2011). These Long Count calendar dates provide a canvas precisely anchoring in time any associated materials, ceramics, architecture or deposits. However, not all Maya cities erected stone monuments, and in many cases the historical or circumstantial meaning of the inscribed dates is lost, particularly the dates on the last monuments (Ebert et al. 2014; Aimers and Iannone 2014; on the significance of Maya Classic monuments, see Christie 1995, 2005; Gillespie 2010, p. 406-407; Newsome 1996, 2001; Stuart 1996).

Ceramic chronologies are still far from such chronological resolution. Archaeologists have long tended to consider Terminal Classic site components as short and catastrophic phases that do not need to be subdivided (Rice and Forsyth 2004, p. 53-59). However, resolution is gradually improving
and sequences with two to three Terminal Classic subphases have now been established (since Ceibal, Sabloff 1975; Forné 2006; Forné et al. 2010, 2011; Martinez and Forné 2014). Radiocarbon dating calibrated along Bayesian models also refines ceramic intervals (e.g. Hoggarth et al. 2014, 2015; Volta and Braswell 2014). There are few reported Terminal Classic radiocarbon dates in published sources, a dearth due to limited sampling in surface strata (e.g. Ebert et al. 2016; LeCount et al. 2002). In the present study all absolute chronological information is entirely based on dating provided by the authors of compiled sources. Although quite useful in this context, dated site construction sequences are not plentiful; nor are surveys in hinterland occupations that might help date population mobility and possible late (post AD 920) demographic collapse. Clearly the resolution/precision problem derives from the fact that the observed variables are dated on the basis of widely diverging methods (Hoggarth et al. 2014). There is not much we can do about that situation, except multiply cross-dating, site sequences, and surveys.

At this point, it may be necessary to stress that unfolding events and processes along a generational time span does not result in the detection of cause (see Bailey 2007, and Smith 1992). Rather, it attempts to elucidate the conditions of decision-action experienced by generations in Maya Terminal Classic societies. Two aspects are involved here, the acceleration of change in a lifetime (see endnote 1), and the replacement of one generation by another (Carsten and Prechtel 1991; Gillespie 2010, p. 404-405; see also Houston and Inomata 2009, p. 55-60). On the basis of the information compiled, we surmise that at least some of the events and processes developed rapidly enough to be perceived by individuals in their lifetime, and that they were in a position to react to these themselves and through their offspring. We selected three fifty-year intervals that together cover the Terminal Classic period from AD 780 to 920. Although AD 780 is a midpoint between the lower limits of Western and Eastern Terminal Classic site phases, we eventually opted for AD 760 instead of 780 to accommodate the early processes in the Western Lowlands. AD 830 closes the ninth cycle, Baktun (pik) 9, and opens Baktun 10, in the Maya four hundred-year calendar period. It is also the traditional date assigned to the incipient general distribution of fine-paste ceramics across the Lowlands. By AD 870 few cities still erected stone stelae and altars. AD 920 is a midpoint in the gradual emergence of “International-style” Chichen Itza with Sotuta-Sotuta ceramics (Volta and Braswell 2014). It is also the time of worsening rainfall conditions (e.g. Hoggarth et al. 2015).

**AD 760/780-810/830: Warring kingdoms and houses**

Before AD 810 most of the Western Lowland cities (Figure 1) had lost their royal dynasties and part of their resident populations. Concomitantly they
stopped building monumental architecture and raising carved stelae and altars. One generation before, in AD 761, the defeated Dos Pilas dynasty fled to Aguateca, after which a series of conflicts terminated most cities along the Río Usumacinta and the Petexbatún region. Unstable conditions certainly prevailed even before 761 throughout the entire western side of the lowlands. Perhaps as the outcome of the defeat of Calakmul by Tikal (AD 736), La Corona, La Florida, El Peru-Waka’, Zapote Bobal, and other northwestern cities had ceased stelae erection, but they were not necessarily deserted. Indeed there was much diplomatic activity (and warfare) between these cities, Yaxchilan and Motul de San José by AD 796-800 (Tokovinine and Zender 2012, p. 56), and Waka’ soon even appear to be crowded, whereas the hinterland was mostly abandoned (Marken 2011)\(^\text{3}\). In the extreme south, Copan also lost its royal dynasty by 810-820 (Fash et al. 2004; Manahan and Canuto 2009). Over two generations (AD 761-810), the geopolitics of many parts of the lowlands had radically changed (see Houston and Inomata 2009).

*The Western Lowlands from 760 to 810*

While the temporal sequence of post-760 events is well known thanks to the numerous Petexbatún, Cancuen, Aguateca and Ceibal project results (Demarest 2013b, 2014; Demarest et al. 2004a, 2014; Inomata and Triadan 2013; Triadan 2012), the data from the hinterland of the Petexbatun project show that people started to move away before 760 (Eberl 2014; Inomata 2004; O’Mansky 2014), suggesting that the roots of the crisis are to be found long before the limits of what is considered the Terminal Classic. It is unclear whether kingdoms, or their internal factions, or both, initiated and stimulated the endemic warfare condition. In any case, the conflicts did not aim to conquer territories given that victorious parties did not impose new dynasties (Inomata and Triadan 2009). If noble houses rebelled against rulers, then they apparently fell into endless rivalries. Epigraphist Peter Biro stresses that Western royal dynasties may have intruded from the East—Dos Pilas was at least ruled by a splinter faction from the Tikal dynasty (Biro 2013; Houston 1993). Their legitimacy might have been more easily challenged in times of crisis.

By AD 760-780 rapid changes in residential zones and bursts of monumental construction activity signal population shifts into Aguateca (Ponciano et al. 2013), as well as into Cancuen, a fluvial port on the upper Río Pasión (Demarest 2013a, 2013b; Demarest et al. 2014). Both cities profited from the Dos Pilas defeat (Demarest et al. 2009), although Cancuen had been allied by

\(^{3}\) In contrast, it is well known that Tonina, in the extreme west, and Itzimte, close to Motul, in the East, each erected one last stela very late in AD 909 and 910 (Ebert et al. 2014; Eppich et al. 2005; Golden and Scherer 2013, p. 416).
marriage to Dos Pilas (Houston 1993). The large Cancuen palace was renovated and expanded (Barrientos 2014), while ruler Tah Chan Ahk defeated neighboring Machaquila and Sak Witz (Fahsen and Demarest 2001; Fahsen et al. 2003), and launched an original strategy of long-distance trading reaching as far as Tabasco and the Chontalpa region (Veracruz, Mexico: Andrieu et al. 2014; Demarest and Martinez 2010; Demarest et al. 2012, 2014; Forné et al. 2013, 2014). This clearly avoided the Petexbatún route, which was rife with conflicting factions.

What followed is well known and has been narrated elsewhere (Demarest 2004, 2006; Demarest et al. 2009, 2014; Houston and Inomata 2009, p. 295-304; Webster 2002, p. 274-283 among others). Briefly, in AD 800 Cancuen was attacked and defeated by a victorious party which, for the first time, did not claim the deed in one of the many war narrations then frequently carved on stone (Demarest and Martinez 2010). The Cancuen royal family was slaughtered, the ruler hastily buried in his palace, and other human remains were left unburied in the main port (Barrientos et al. 2006; Barrientos 2014; Suasnavar et al. 2007). Coincidentally in AD 801, the new Machaquila ruler, Ochkin Kalomte, raised a stela (suggesting that he may have originated the attack against Cancuen), engaged in monumental construction work that remodeled the entire city in less than 50 years, and imported ceramics from the Eastern coast (Ciudad Ruiz et al. 2013). The rural hamlets and villages of Petexbatún were then either depopulated or fortified, indicating that warfare was already endemic. In 810, Aguateca stopped building Structure L8-8 (a pyramidal temple) and fortified the epicenter, which was, however, soon taken and burnt down by an unknown party (Inomata 2008; Inomata and Triadan 2010; Ponciano et al. 2013). Since Terminal Classic ceramic diagnostics were not found in hinterland settlements, we can suppose that they were deserted (Inomata 2004). Further down the Río Usumacinta, by AD 810 dynasties fell at Yaxchilan, Piedras Negras and their intermediate subordinates, but were not depopulated. According to Golden and Scherer (2013, p. 416) “post-dynastic residents are best understood as local populations that endured for generations after the death of the courts”. Even though those stoic populations did not massively migrate, dynastic collapses certainly disrupted trading capacities, alliances, and hierarchies. At the same time, Ceibal entered a period of crisis (Sabloff 1973), and stopped construction until 830 (Inomata and Triadan 2013). What happened in the Petexbatun is probably the most radical and violent succession of events within two generations (AD 760-810). An important part of the Western Lowlands was abandoned, sacked, and would never be reoccupied again.

New Eastern hierarchies from 760 to 810

By then, it seems that no other Lowlands region was experiencing the dramatic processes of the West, with the possible exception of some areas in
Northern Belize (see below). In AD 795, among northeastern sites, some large Río Bec groups still erected stelae at the onset of the apogee in the local style (Nondédéo et al. 2010), whereas there was apparently no political activity in Dzibanche after the Calakmul defeat (AD 736; Nalda and Balanzario 2014). At Becan the resident population underwent spatial contraction and a burst of Río Bec-style monumental construction (Nondédéo et al. 2010), although this is interpreted by Ball (2014) as a temporary abandonment of the site due to the limited Chintok ceramic evidence and the lack of royal activities in monument raising and burial practices.

Among the eastern cities, Calakmul and neighboring sites were still substantially populated and active, raising monuments (except for Naachtun after 761, see Nondédéo et al. 2013b, Nondédéo and Michelet in press), trading, and adopting some Río Bec-style features, whereas Tikal launched the construction of Temple III (associated with Stela 24 dated to 810). Tikal was victorious against nearby Yaxha in AD 799. After the Calakmul defeat (AD 736), although with no apparent loss of prosperity nor any monumental construction, Caracol ceased to erect monuments during the eighth century, but resumed the practice by AD 798 (Chase and Chase 2003; Chase et al. 2009), “with a new focus on dynasty and situating the new rulership within a broader Maya worldview” (Chase and Chase 2014, p. 150). Interestingly, “[…] all through the ninth century, rulers appear to have shifted on a regular basis, possibly reflecting a different organizational structure consistent with a non-dynastic batabil form of government known from the Postclassic northern Lowlands” (ibid.; see also Chase and Chase 2015). In the surrounding cities, glyphic texts narrate wars raging in AD 779-780 between Ixkun, Sacul, and Ukanal (Laporte 2004, p. 224; Martin and Grube 2000, p. 97). The royal palace at Minanha was filled in by 810 (Iannone 2010, p. 364-365), as was the Ottawa Group at Lamanai, where elites shifted from stone to largely wooden architecture (probably AD 750-800; Graham 2004; see other cases of infilling in Zaro and Houk 2012, p. 156). At the same time, from AD 790 onwards, other stelae and altar inscriptions record alliance-making visits to Ixkun and Sacul (Laporte 2004, p. 224), with or without Caracol maneuvering regionally. This might be one of the earliest sign of ninth-century diplomatic activity detected in the lowlands, along with the dedication in AD 800 (9.18.10.0.0) of Altar 3 at the Altar de los Reyes site (north of Naachtun) featuring a list of thirteen entities, dynasties, and/or prominent houses, among which Tikal, Calakmul, and Motul (see Grube 2008, and Tokovinine and Zender 2012, p. 56, 61-65) in a plausible redefinition of then current regional hegemonies. Another attempt in the southeast would be represented by Ixtutz Stela 4, dedicated in AD 780 (Zender 2002).

It should be noted that, by then, the subordinate settlements surrounding the three great capitals were increasingly active in monument raising and monumental construction. Though dramatic in the Western Lowlands, the 760-810
period shows all the signs of elite activity and interaction reflecting diplomatic and economic strategies required to face political changes. Evidence of long-distance exchanges in particular indicates that the elites were attempting to reorganize new networks and alliances to suit new priorities.

**Looking for new trading routes from AD 760 to 870**

To what extent did royal dynasties ever control long-distance exchanges and markets? The highland/lowland exchange trading routes were considerably affected by the Petexbatun crisis (at least with the end of the Cancuen harbor on AD 800). Curiously, however, at sites that retained a resident population after AD 810, we observe a tendency for a broader distribution of the obsidian imported from the Guatemalan Highlands in larger quantities. That is clear at Piedras Negras where obsidian quantities increased 10-fold at that time (Hruby 2006), even though there is no longer any hint of royal power at the site after AD 810. A similar trend has been noted in the Tikal-Yaxha transect, where obsidian is more frequent and evenly distributed outside the civic ceremonial centers at that time (Rice 1987). The same has been observed at Tikal (Moholy-Nagy 2003), at Río Bec (Andrieu 2009), and at Naachtun (Andrieu and Sion in press). The obsidian from the Mexican Highlands becomes more frequent and widely distributed from the onset of the Terminal Classic (Andrieu and Sion in press; Braswell and Glascock 2003). These changes probably indicate a major shift in the distribution system in obsidian exchanges that remains to be understood.

Also of great relevance are the shifts in material culture, which include the emergence of non-polychrome, fine-paste ceramic vessels with new forms. Chablekal Fine Gray and Campamento Fine Orange dating to AD 760-780 the earliest, Tres Naciones Fine Gray, Altar and Pabellon Fine Orange dating to AD 830 (or earlier?) were introduced from diverse western regions (Bishop et al. 2005, 2006; Foias and Bishop 1997, 2005, 2007; Forné et al. 2010, 2011, 2013; López Varela 2005), as well as non fine-paste Ahk’utu’ vases in Belize (Helmke and Reents-Budet 2008; Ting et al. 2014). Whether their degree of distribution was socially wider than that of Classic polychromes is a matter of debate (e.g. Chase and Chase 2005). But clearly, even if production tended to be regionalized (with frequent imitations), those ceramics rapidly became standardized newwares shared by most cities across the lowlands. Such changes point to a restructuring and broadening of social negotiations (A. F. Chase and Chase 2004, p. 360, 364; Ringle et al. 1998; Ting et al. 2014), as well as alliance-making activities among intermediate social groups (Helmke and Reents-Budet 2008, p. 47).

Other, less-studied trade networks expanded, such as those for granite manos and metates from the Maya Mountains, which seem to represent about 60% of
the grinding stones in Terminal Classic Naachtun, while also being present in Tikal (Moholy-Nagy 2003), and in Nakum (Zralka pers. comm. 2013). This was accompanied by a broader distribution of other Belizean wares such as Red Belize ware (Harrison 2000; Chase and Chase 2012). With new routes opening (Andrieu and Sion in press; Golitko et al. 2012; Sion 2016) exchanges over hundreds of kilometers imply that elites from different sites entered into firm alliances and agreements on logistics and production-exchange conditions, trying to avoid old networks and to renegotiate new routes. Emerging networks, their modalities and spatial extent are still insufficiently researched and poorly understood (including tribute obligations: Graham 2006, 2012). Yet, economic networks might provide proxies to the social reorganization of the Southern Lowlands, as well as to their growing interaction with the Northern Lowlands.

North-South interactions before AD 830: ideas, goods, styles, and movement of people

Considering the late development of architectural styles from the North-Eastern to the Northern Lowlands (Río Bec, Chenes, Puuc), it is amazing how rapidly some of those elements spread to the Western (Inomata et al. 2004; Ponciano et al. 2013), and Eastern Lowlands (Chase 1985; Chase and Chase 1982; Harrison-Buck and McAnany 2013; Nondédéo and Michelet in press). The maritime route (on the eastern coast) certainly facilitated movement, resulting in a volatile situation among coastal and hinterland cities, with the episode of violence at Colha and the takeover of Nohmul as possible Northern deeds (Aimers 2007, p. 342-346).

Between AD 751 and 820 Dzibilchaltun, Puuc Oxlintok and Uxmal erected series of stelae, while Chichen Itza was still a modest center. Puuc-style monumental residences signal the emergence of large intermediate social groups. Ek Balam and Uxmal appeared internally divided by walls, either for defense or the segregation of distinct neighborhoods, or alternatively against outsiders attending market plazas (Ringle and Bey 2004, p. 506, 507, 510; Carmean et al. 2004, p. 427). Then, from AD 820 to 850 there was a hiatus in stela dedication in Oxlintok, whereas by AD 810-830 Uxmal had adopted a new stela iconography incorporating the multiple-figure “panel” style (Carmean et al. 2004, p. 427, 429-430). Both shifts would suggest that houses gained in autonomy against dynasties, or that many petty rulers were developing alliances (see Michelet et al. 2000, p. 523).

In the east, the powerful city of Naranjo ceased to erect stelae in AD 820 and fell out of trade networks, leaving a void that resulted in competition among Eastern kingdoms and houses. Xunantunich erected its first stelae, while Yaxha recovered from its defeat by Tikal (AD 799), resumed monumental construction, and then stela dedication. At the same time, Nakum launched enormous
palatial construction programs and benefited from a demographic surge (Zralka and Hermes 2012, p. 181). Before AD 830 Nakum seems to offer an array of imported styles, including even from Xochicalco in the Mexican Highlands, and a multiplicity of reception and dwelling rooms (Zralka 2008, chapter 3) that suggest a remarkable capacity in long-distance interaction and alliance making.

The last katun in Baktun 9

In evaluating the evidence for the AD 810-830 time span, one is struck by the acceleration of interregional interactions. This raises the issue of chronological resolution, as our impressionistic evaluation may not capture the extent of the shift in relation to the preceding and succeeding time intervals. However, in the same last katun, stone monuments and their content deserve special attention, since by then the number of stela-altar erections had sharply decreased in comparison with the eighth century (Ebert et al. 2014; Kennett et al. 2012, fig. 2). Moreover, as N. Grube (1994a, 2013) observed long ago, inscriptions ceased to narrate war events, which implies a public silencing of warfare rather than an effective general peace. Both observations may be another early sign of later changes in epigraphic and iconographic contents. Maya agents born in AD 780, informed about events in the Western kingdoms and engaged in prosperous activities, may have also assessed the situation under the premise of Cycle 9 ending in 10.0.0.0.0, AD 830, and the incoming Cycle 10. How to connect the elements of this 810-830 katun sequence raises intriguing questions (Houston and Inomata 2009, p. 301-304).

AD 810/830-850/870: making alliances

Although a few site sequences place the lower limit at AD 850, this time span falls in the full Terminal Classic period. Recent speleothem research on climatic variation reports “[…] a series of multi—decadal droughts from AD 820-915, ~AD 930, and an extended severe drought from AD 1020-1100 (Kennett et al. 2012).” (Hoggarth et al. 2015, p. 6). The investigated cave is located in southern Belize (South-Eastern Lowlands) at Yok Balum, a distant location, which raises doubts about the impact of such events across the entire lowlands. However, droughts recorded historically from 1500 to 1800 in northern Yucatán show a good temporal correlation (within dating errors) with some of the dry episodes of the Yok Balum record, and in addition, four distinct speleothem and lake records dispersed from the Northern to the South-Eastern Lowlands also show those two multi-decadal dry episodes temporally correlated with Yok Balum, i.e. AD 820-920/930 and 1020-1100 (Hoggarth et al. 2015). Both intervals offer the most robust evidence so far, whereas previous droughts are shorter, thus have higher dating uncertainties, as also does “a [intermediate] period of
increased precipitation from AD 858 to 890” (Hoggarth et al. 2015, p. 3, citing Medina-Elizade et al. 2010, and Kennett et al. 2012; Webster et al. 2007). It is therefore relatively safe to posit that the political changes that occurred before 810 were not necessarily drought-induced, and that the first katun of the Tenth Cycle, AD 830-850, was probably perceived by many Maya agents as adverse in terms of rains. Its real impact on the diverse subsistence systems of the lowland regions is a distinct issue (see Iannone 2014).

In the Eastern Lowlands many ritual deposits and domestic middens reflect intensified trading activities both in diversity and quantities of goods, along with ceramic changes pointing to new ways of food preparation and sharing (Aimers 2007, p. 337, 2004; Mock 2005, p. 123, 1997, p. 165-183; Masson and Mock 2004; Sion in press). Current generations (people born by AD 800-820) were certainly also aware of population movements taking place around Tikal, Naranjo, Ukanal, and Calzada Mopan, archaeologically reflected by rapid shifts in occupation rates (Hermes and Martinez 2005; Laporte 2004, p. 225-228; Laporte and Mejia 2002; Zralka 2008, p. 204, 209-212). However, we are unable to differentiate regional inter-settlement mobility linked to diplomatic activity and warfare (see Yaeger 2013; Freiwald 2011, 2013; LeCount and Yaeger 2010), from dispersal into the hinterlands possibly caused by subsistence risks due to poor rains. In any case, Tikal, Caracol, and Calakmul maintained substantial resident populations (Braswell et al. 2004; A. F. Chase and Chase 2004; Valdés and Fahsen 2004). Considering the climatic, calendrical, and political circumstances of the AD 810/830-850/870 interval, more research is needed specifically on urban demographic stability and instability. Collective diplomatic action is somewhat better documented.

Signs of diplomatic activity

From AD 830 to 870 the number of sites still dedicating stelae and altars was relatively stable, although raw numbers of monuments appear to drop (Kennett et al. 2012, fig. 2). This is due in good part to a clear shift toward secondary centers. As mentioned earlier, secondary centers around Caracol show erection activity starting AD 810 (including Xunantunich, Nakum, Yaxha, Ukanal: A. F. Chase and Chase 2004; Chase 1985). Around Calakmul the same activity was more recent, from AD 830 (Oxpemul, El Palmar, and La Muñeca), whereas around Tikal (Ixlu, Jimbal, Zacpeten, Xultun, and Uaxactun) the Tikal glyph emblem was not used until 859 (Martin and Grube 2000; Rice and Rice 2004; Rice 2004; Valdés and Fahsen 2004). Confirming this decentralizing trend, 65% of Tenth Cycle monuments (post-830) were erected in second-to-third rank centers, and 40% were in centers that had only one monument in their whole sequence (Houston 1993; Marcus 1976, p. 192-193). Interestingly, in many cases these late monuments present poor quality inscriptions, indicating
that they were not made by the same royal artists as in the previous generation. We suggest that such activity had to do with the emergence of new regional and sub-regional hierarchies of intermediate social groups under the declining aegis of the great capitals.

In the East, there is good evidence after AD 830 for numerous cases of abrupt destruction of thrones, benches, and palaces (Aimers 2007, p. 344; Arnauld et al. 2013a, p. 160; Graham 2001; Iannone 2005; Laporte and Mejia 2003), a phenomenon that had already begun earlier elsewhere (e.g., Dos Pilas in 761, Piedras Negras in 810, Copan where Altar L was left unfinished in 822: Martin and Grube 2008). Whereas war narrations or mentions are rare, the iconography of captives is still common on altars and stelae, reflecting the persistence of traditional capture warfare. But simultaneously, iconography and inscriptions expressed a concern for local and regional alliances, a trend that has long been noticed by D. Stuart (1993, p. 337) and A. Chase (1985) who pointed out frequent conversation scenes involving several persons, similar to the Northern “panel” style (Grube 1994a; Rice and Rice 2004, p. 133-134; Zralka 2008, p. 213). “Common Terminal Classic iconographic motifs such as the presence of two or more individuals facing each other in alliance or consultation occur on pottery and monuments…” (Chase and Chase 2005, p. 88). The same scenes appear on ceramic Pabellon molded-carved vases, or their Petén and Belize imitations (Helmke and Reents-Budet 2008; Rice and Rice 2007, p. 155-156), and on a series of carved benches in a Río Bec residence (Group B, Arnauld and Lacadena 2004; see McVicker and Palka 2001, p. 195 for the same seated figures on jade plaques as “alliance gifts”). This indicates that the agents were not only rulers but also household heads engaged in diplomatic activity. The evidence is limited to the Eastern and North-Eastern Lowlands, but in the meantime Western leaders were not inactive.

Attempts at a New Order at Ceibal

At Ceibal, one of the few Western cities to remain populated, the event that occurred in AD 849 seems central to the 830-870 time span, although alternatively it may have been a rather anecdotal and conservative attempt to restore old times (see below). After a long crisis that initiated in AD 735, followed by political disruption (Houston 1993; Houston and Mathews 1985), Ceibal was revitalized in 829, just at the onset of Baktun 10 (Schele and Matthews 1998), by “the arrival” of a new leader named Aj Bolon Ha’bte Wat’ul K’atel, who claimed to have come from Ukanal. As an Eastern center linked to Caracol,

4. Although with named kings, the same diplomatic and ritual scenes are represented on polychrome vessels produced at Motul de San José (the “Ik” site) and studied by Reents-Budet et al. (2012), in a tradition that ended by AD 810.
Ukanal was one of those emerging cities already involved in diplomatic activities (Martin and Grube 2008, p. 227; Stuart and Houston 1994, p. 20, 21), and receptive to innovations and people from the North. The evidence indicates that this intrusive leader respected Ceibal’s past, its local titles and emblem glyphs (see Triadan 2012, p. 168).

The event is well known and has been commented upon since it was discovered in the 1970s (Coggins 1990; Graham 1990; Houston and Inomata 2009, p. 306-309; Marcus 1976; Martin and Grube 2000, p. 53; Rice 2004, p. 206-214; Schele and Mathews 1998, p. 185-187; Smith 1982; Tourtellot and Gonzalez 2004; Triadan 2012; Vega Villalobos 2011; Inomata and Triadan 2013, p. 63). It is sufficient to say that a ceremony was held celebrating the katun ending on 10.1.0.0.0 (the first katun in Baktun 10) at a specially built plaza centered on Structure A-3, a small radial platform supporting a temple with five beautifully-carved stelae, one inside and four at the base of the four platform stairways. The A-3 upper stucco frieze includes the date 7 Ajaw 18 Sip, probably 10.0.0.0.0 (Rice 2004, p. 208). All five stelae display the Classic traditional image of the ruler (Wat’ul) standing by himself. The associated Stela 10 inscription relates that this ruler carried out the rites assisted by the rulers of Tikal (Mutul), Motul de San José (named Kan-Ek, or Chan Ek Noj Pet), and Calakmul (Kaanul). Stelae 9 and 8 also mention Lakamtuun, and the enigmatic city called Puh (Inomata and Triadan 2013, p. 63)\(^5\).

The extraordinary royal iconography of the five stelae (until recently interpreted as non-Maya and intrusive, see Stuart 1993, and Tourtellot and Gonzalez 2004), the remarkable mastery of design and carving, with the intricate mix of old tradition and new standards, all suggest that the specific ritual was a salient moment in political and diplomatic activity. Structure A-3 as a radial platform, prefigures the Castillo at Chichen Itza and later similar temple-pyramids at Mayapan and Maya Highland Postclassic centers (Arnauld 1996). Also, the four places mentioned (discounting Puh, see Endnote 4) convey a quadripartite symbolism possibly more calendrical than territorial (Becquelin 1991; Coggins 1980; Mathews and Garber 2004). The fact that this is not the only example of monuments listing four entities and their geopolitical meaning should not be overstated (Tokovinine and Zender 2012, p. 61-63). However, the configuration conceived and built in Ceibal Group A is more elaborate and its dating to the ending of the Baktun 10 first katun is more relevant, while the Ceibal late inscriptions and architecture offer a

---

5. The place name Puh has been shown by Stuart (2000) to designate Teotihuacan, a city that collapsed long before 849. Puh might either refer to the new Tollan, Tula (Hidalgo, Mexico) although the date is early, or to Teotihuacan in an intentionally conservative rehearsal of the Tikal 445 commemoration ceremony (Stela 31, Martin and Grube 2000, p. 34); the previous Baktun 8 completion took place in AD 435.
number of foreign connections suggesting that local elites were involved in wide-ranging diplomatic activities.

One example is the evidence recently brought forward by Lacadena (2010a, p. 387) that on this period-ending occasion Ceibal would have imported the Venus cult and the 52-year calendar cycle from Central Mexico, both being war-related cults (see also Pascual Soto and Velasquez 2012). Such ideological imports would have prepared Ceibal to play a politico-religious role on a wider Mesoamerican scale, perhaps with the ambition of securing a specific connection with the new Tollan. Beyond Ceibal, Mexican calendar glyphs also appear on Baktun 10 stelae at Ukanal, Jimbal, and Calzada Mopan (Lacadena 2010a, p. 385). But Ceibal’s foreign relationships seem to point primarily to the Northern Lowlands. Ceibal Ballcourt A-19 and sculptures dated to AD 849-899 display political links to Chichen Itza, with mentions of a personage named Knife Wing also known in the Northern city (Kowalsky 1989; Smith 1982, p. 231-232; Tourtellot and González 2004, p. 69, 82).

The 849 event, which may have had repercussions among many lords, was intended to inaugurate the new Baktun with an idealized configuration for topping regional hierarchies. It occurred at Ceibal, an ancient place distinct from Tikal, Calakmul, and Caracol, but under the auspices of their dynasties, although in the latter case, indirectly through Ukanal. The local situation seems to have been relatively peaceful, as Ceibal Group A is not defensive compared to the previous Group D (Triadan 2012, p. 168). After Wat’ul’s death (probably by AD 870), Ceibal remained occupied, and the moment of its complete abandonment has not yet been clearly dated (Tourtellot and Gonzalez 2004, p. 75; A. F. Chase and Chase 2004, p. 361; Inomata and Triadan 2013; Inomata et al. 2017). Wat’ul’s residence (Structure A-14 or A-16) would have been ritually closed by AD 890-900 (Vega Villalobos 2011; Stela 17 is dated AD 899 so far). This attempt to reinstate the old political system, or to settle a new order, lasted two generations locally.

AD 850/870-900/920: populations on the move

The last fifty years of the long ninth century would have seen an acceleration of population movements from the East and West to the North-East and North, as well as to other regions, including the Western and Southern Highlands (Chiapas and Guatemala: see Ichon 1987, 1988). Whether or not most cities were, by then, totally deserted, is a quite different issue. Often, unknown proportions of non-elite resident populations seem to have lingered locally well into Early Postclassic times (Lamoureux-St-Hilaire et al. 2015). Last occupation components are notoriously difficult to date, and consequently the posited end of Terminal Classic ceramic phases varies from AD 920 to 1050 (Rice and Forsyth 2004, fig. 3.3).
There is good evidence for a relative temporal concomitance of the Ceibal, Calakmul, Tikal, and Caracol epicenter abandonment during the 890-910 katun (Braswell et al. 2004, p. 192; A. F. Chase et Chase 2004, p. 349-350; Harrison 2000; Smith 1982; Valdés and Fahsen 2004, p. 151), with a burst of both private and public construction activity from 860 until 890 at Chichen Itza, then at Uxmal from 890 to 920, and again at Chichen Itza where the “International style” was initiated by 900-920 (Carmean et al. 2004; Cobos et al. 2014; Hoggarth et al. 2015; Volta and Braswell 2014). Nearby Puuc cities had construction activities until 950 (Michelet et al. 2000, p. 513). The labor force for those programs could have been provided by groups migrating from the Southern Lowlands (Carmean et al. 2004, p. 442). Thus, unless this relatively tight sequence is revised through new dating, the determinant process may have been the south-to-north migration of the most prominent dynasties and affiliated houses out of paramount city palaces. Independently of their political fragmentation and weakening, those groups were still the apex of all lowland pyramidal hierarchies, and the political status quo would only have been changed by their physical displacement. The migration itself is far from being a new idea (see Chase and Chase 1982, p. 610, citing Thompson; and Cowgill 1964; also Demarest et al. 2004a, 2004b; Grube 1994b). However, recent datasets can be assembled to give it more substance, as we will see below.

During the fifth katun of Cycle 10, from AD 889 to 910, all the latest dates of stone monument dates definitely cluster (see Ebert et al. 2014, fig. 1 and 3, although with some location errors), except at Calakmul where “a few stones are even later” (Martin and Grube 2000, p. 115). Late dedications of stone monuments without inscriptions (thus undated) probably still occurred here and there in the lowlands, whereas at Chichen Itza the last inscription is dated 897 (except the isolated 998-1007 inscription: Boot 2005; Krochock 1988, 1998), and Uxmal also exhibited some dated monuments into the tenth century (Sharer 1994, p. 372-373). Pending new discoveries (e.g. Caracol recently discovered Altar 26 with a conversation scene and a date corresponding to AD 884: Chase and Chase 2015), the kind of political activity, generally expressed by dated inscriptions on stelae, altars, lintels or other publicly visible stone support, was being terminated—which does not preclude that similar activities were recorded on different media, turning “public statements” into “in-group statements” (see Graham 2012, p. 428). “After complete cessation of public alliteracy production […] alliteracy of the Postclassic period reflects the revolutionary changes occurring in the Terminal Classic political institutions, rather than ignorance of writing.” (Grube 1994b, p. 340; see also Krochock 1998).

Again, further evidence might invalidate the idea but, so far, the concomitance of all three processes—abandonment of epicenters in capitals, cessation
of erection of public monuments with dated glyphic inscriptions, and a surge of monumental construction at Chichen Itza and Uxmal—, is to be placed in the AD 870-920 interval. Those Maya agents who witnessed them were born after 820-830 in Baktun 10, and most regions of the lowlands were involved, with Uxmal apparently pre-eminently after AD 890.

Group migrations: evidence still to be sifted

Some of the group migrations could have been gradual and prepared, if not organized, by previous diplomatic action. As an example they may have been mediated in time and space by the northeastern elites of Becan, Kohunlich, and the dispersed Rio Bec domains that had reached their apogee at the time. Their stelae continued to be erected in AD 869 (Rio Bec Group V), in 889 and 899 (Rio Bec, Pasion del Cristo: Lacadena 2007). The “Rio Bec Terminal style”, developed after AD 870 mainly in Groups I and V, El Porvenir, and Omelita, where huge houses were built at the core of large clusters of contemporaneous, similar, almost modular lesser houses, suggests some late immigration (Nondedeo et al. 2013, p. 382, 392, fig. 3). Becan had resumed prosperous activities mainly focused on the North (Braswell et al. 2004, p. 181; Ball 2014).

A wealth of ethnohistoric information is available on group migrations (e.g. Bullock Kreger 2010, p. 227-237), but in the Maya case there is nothing that could be applied retrospectively to the AD 870-920 interval in a south-to-north lowland direction (however, see Boot 1995, 2005; Folan et al. 2010, p. 63-64; García Campillo 2000; Rice and Rice 2007, p. 158-159). Trends towards mobility and migration during the Epiclassic in Mesoamerica have been recently discussed and conceptualized (Nelson et al. 2014, p. 174-175). Maya Classic epigraphic evidence does point to the mobility of a few dynasties or dynastic splinters that shifted residence from city to city taking their glyph emblems with them, the best-known being the cases of the Kaanul from Dzibanche to Calakmul (Martin 2005), and the Mutul from Tikal to Dos Pilas and adjacent regions (Biró 2011a, 2011b; Gronemeyer 2012, p. 14; see also Grube 1994b, p. 326, 335, and Price et al. 2014, p. 45; note that sajal and other Western titles exist as early as the eight century at Xcalumkin in the Puuc: Jackson 2005, 2013). Current discussions of titles (Tokovinine and Zender 2012, p. 63-65) and territorial conceptions tend to question the spatial stability of social houses rooted in one ancestral place, even though this would have been the idealized template (Houston and Martin 2016, p. 449-450; Manahan 2004; McAnany 1995). In any case, the action of house abandonment should not be overstated as not all migrating groups went as far as the Puuc region (e.g. from Motul to Tayasal: Tokovinine and Zender 2012, p. 56); some may have left household members as guardians on the spot, and people may have periodically visited their former houses (Navarro-Farr et al. 2008).
Archaeologically, “closure” or “abandonment” rituals retrieved as on-floor deposits are the primary evidence for residential mobility (Adams et al. 2004, p. 337-338; A. F. Chase and Chase 2004, p. 352; Navarro-Farr et al. 2008; Kunen et al. 2002; Lamoureux-Saint-Hilaire et al. 2015; Mock 1998; Stanton et al. 2008; Zralka 2008, p. 174, among others). Although firmly assigned to the Terminal Classic phase, those features have not been quantified subphase by subphase. They are therefore difficult to date precisely within the time interval. Those deposits are now better distinguished from on-floor de facto deposits (A. F. Chase and Chase 2004), or so-called “squatter reoccupations”, by a number of traits such as the presence of human bones and even reopened burials, suggestive of the last activities of emigrants paying respect to ancestors buried beneath the dwellings, and possibly taking relics with them (Barrientos et al. 2014).

Other archaeological evidence includes intrusive dwelling morphology and ceramics (Rice and Rice 2007), and massive shifts in residential zones (see Demarest et al. 2014 for Cancuen; Garrison and Dunning 2009 for San Bartolo-Xultun; Rice and Rice 1990, p. 134-135 for the Petén Lakes region; see also above, section 4). Strontium isotope determinations of origins have been obtained on buried individuals (e.g. Freiwald 2011, 2013); however the chronological resolution is insufficient (but see Hoggarth et al. 2014). Abandonment processes and massive population movements differed from one region to another, and followed different rhythms, which are still one of the major issues in understanding the Terminal Classic period. While the Petexbatun hinterlands appear to have been rapidly deserted and never really reoccupied (Eberl 2014; O’Mansky 2014; O’Mansky and Dunning 2004), the process seems both more gradual and later at Naachtun (Sion 2016) or at El Peru-Waka’ (Marken 2011). We are far from being able to assess any acceleration of mobility from AD 850 to 920 but, at least, the issues at stake and the methodologies to be implemented are now clearer.

Group migrations to the North: circumstances and incentives

The long ninth century’s period of prosperity and the intensity of exchanges must have reinforced the many links woven by houses over the lowlands, enhancing their propensity to migrate to new places where they already had binding ties. Group resettlement would have been facilitated in Uxmal by its many reservoirs, and also in Chichen Itza, a pilgrimage center famed for its cenotes with abundant water resources. Uxmal and Chichen Itza appear to have been allied, at least in K’ak’upakal’s time (Cobos 2010; Cobos et al. 2014; Ringle and Bey 2004; Stanton and Gallareta 2001), providing attractive conditions for migrants from regions strained by decades of conflicts and threats.
Indeed, on the basis of the sequence of events and processes developed in the present study, several powerful incentives may be listed to explain the migrations of the most important Southern houses to the North. Prestigious overlords K’ak’upakal and Cha’ak, who reigned during the AD 870-920 interval at Chichen Itza and Uxmal respectively, oversaw many rituals and commissioned numerous buildings and stone monuments, representing the emergence of a revival of *k’uhul ajaw* institutions in new locations (Baudez and Latsanopoulos 2010, p. 5-6; Cobos 2011; Grube 1994b; Kowalsky 1987), after many diplomatic attempts to redefine hegemonies in the Southern Lowlands. Before and after Uxmal, Chichen Itza, as a prosperous pilgrimage center, a long-distance exchange hub with Veracruz and Central Mexico, and a capital doted with innovative political and military institutions (Baudez and Latsanopoulos 2010; Cobos 2010; Krochock 1988, 1998; Ringle 2004; Ringle and Bey 2009), heralded a new period of order and authority that must have been welcomed. At last, there was a royal court for the Southern lords to stay in, and a cenote that probably had a long tradition of worship for obtaining good rainfall (Cobos et al. 2014; Moyes et al. 2009; Tozzer 1957).

Aftermath

From AD 920 to 1050, available archaeological datasets bearing on the occupation rate of residential zones in most Lowlands Maya cities—apart from the North (see Andrews et al. 2003, p. 151)—are weak and not very reliable. Lamanai, Nohmul, Caracol, almost the entire coast of Belize, many cities of southeastern Petén, as well as Nakum, the well urbanized Petén Lakes region, El Zotz, Becan, and Kohunlich, were still occupied (Aimers 2007; Graham 2004; Laporte 2004; Nalda 2004; Pendergast 1985; Rice and Rice 1990; Rice et al. 1998; Zralka 2008). A remnant population is attested at Tikal until AD 1100 thanks to its water reservoirs (Grazioso and Scarborough 2013, p. 262-263; Valdés and Fahsen 2004, p. 153). But in other places it may be difficult to distinguish the last occupation from the occasional visits made by commoners living dispersed in the hinterlands. Inscribed monuments were no longer erected, and masonry houses probably no longer built.

6. Zaro and Houk (2012, p. 143-144) discuss “a tenth century component”—i.e. the persistence of a reduced presence of elite and/or commoners in a number of cities—in terms of long-standing disagreements about ceramic chronologies. They also rightly raise the question of “how ceramic chronology affects models of political history” (p. 145). Given the current costs of research, one might hesitate before investing in dating this tenth-century component—especially considering the radiocarbon difficulties, i.e. the 790-880 and 900-950 plateau in curves (Yaeger and Hodell 2008, p. 203-204). However, the stake is no less than documenting the Maya demographic trends just before the worst drought period (AD 1020-1100: Kennett et al. 2012).
Discussion and conclusion

We have gathered as much dated information as possible for the Maya Lowland Terminal Classic period to unfold events and processes along fifty-year time units, each corresponding to two sequential human generations. Documented variables include stone monument erection, monumental architecture construction, the presence/absence of a resident population, and warfare, which together produce a picture of the conditions in which Maya agents were immersed from AD 760 to 920, and the circumstances of which they were probably aware. In such a context, we have attempted to trace their actions along two lines: diplomacy and mobility. The former is in good part illustrated by the long-known content of monument carvings, whether iconographic or epigraphic. The latter has only received attention in the last decade and is still partly hypothetical, although incipient evidence is rapidly accumulating. Taken together, diplomacy and mobility provide a panorama of what Terminal Classic agents were coping with, relying on their parents’ experience, making decisions and in turn, providing directions to their own children. This proposal provides a new mode of organizing datasets transversally from many sites throughout the long ninth century following the guidelines of specific (emic) agency forms. The forms we consider to be prevalent, alliance making and mobility, are closely tied to the dynamics of the large social groups emerging in those times of declining kingship. Other agencies might also be considered, e.g. mainly in the politico-economic realm of tributes (see Graham 2006) and market networks.

The first objective of what may be seen as an experiment is to show its feasibility. In spite of limited time resolution, the evidence is enough to indicate marked changes in agency conditions from AD 760 to 920. People migrating North by 890-910 belonged to the fifth and sixth generations after those who would have experienced Cancuen’s violent ending (AD 800, Figure 2). By AD 830, first and second-generation leaders had a different perspective to those in 780, seeing new opportunities for alliances after the demise of the Western kingdoms. In turn, by 870, the third and fourth generations witnessed the reconfiguration of many hegemonies and hierarchies throughout the lowlands, with subsequent changes in ranking and tribute systems. Around 900-920, the ending of Tikal, Calakmul, and Caracol supremacy, marked by the physical displacement of their latest elite groups, the emergence of Uxmal and Chichen Itza hegemony, and the persistent vitality of the Petén Lake region, altered the perceptions of the aging fifth-generation, and offered what seemed to be a new world to the sixth generation.

The generational sequence does not outline direct correlations between drought, political collapse and city abandonment, at least before AD 920, even though they certainly existed. This is due, first, to our broad spatial scale that obscures local impact, and, second, to the variables and agency types we selected that do
not make the correlations salient (see Iannone et al. 2014, p. 52). The archaeological, epigraphic, and iconographic proxies used in the study do not imply a direct connection to droughts. Maya agents, who left large masonry residences and carved monuments, belonged to powerful houses able to compensate, at least in part, the lowering returns from basic staples due to bad years—even though such decreases must have slowed down all activities. Non-affiliated commoners certainly suffered more, but their dispersed settlements close to aguadas and lakes have yet to be surveyed and dated on the same time scale as their urban counterparts (Rice and Rice 1990). The impact of worsening climatic conditions on the diverse Maya societies is still poorly understood.

A third result confirms that changes in hegemonic hierarchies occurred at the expense of the Eastern cities—mainly Calakmul, Tikal, Naranjo, and Caracol—whose supremacy covered a large part of what Dunning calls the “elevated interior regions” (Dunning et al. 2012). Therefore, the political transformation affected areas that overlapped with regions vulnerable to shortages in water resources and locations far from the new trading routes (Turner and Sabloff 2012). This model does not suggest the primacy of one cause over another, but, instead, underlines the interconnection of different spatial and social processes within the same polities, to be measured along one time scale.

After AD 920, and entering into the Early Postclassic period until 1100, paleoclimatic sequences indicate that climatic conditions severely worsened (Hodell et al. 2005; Hoggarth et al. 2015; Kennett et al. 2012; Medina-Elizade et al. 2010), and numerous paleoenvironmental sequences point to the abandonment of agricultural practices across the lowlands (Galop et al. 2004; Islebe et al. 1996; Leyden 2002; but see Brenner et al. 2002, p. 148). It has long been suggested that a plausible demographic collapse would have occurred during the Terminal Classic period from AD 830 to 950 (Culbert 1988). However, with the exception of the Petexbatun region, rather than rapid and complete urban desertion in the long ninth century (AD 760-920) this shows multi-scale patterns of urban occupation, mobility, and activity that still need to be explored in greater detail. The sequence of this long century suggests that, whereas “compression” and “contraction” were already threatening parts of Maya societies, a real demographic collapse did not occur until the Early Postclassic period, well after AD 920-950. This later moment corresponds to the “dark age” of Andrews et al. 2003; or the hypothetical “gap” of Nakum (Zralka and Hermes 2012, p. 176). Still to be established, this “second wave” (Hoggarth et al. 2015) would account for the non-recovery of Maya societies after the Terminal Classic collapse (the enigma posited by Webster 2014, p. 338-339; see also Manahan 2004, p. 108). Apart from a few sectors such as the Petén Lakes region, urbanization did not resume in the Petén Lowlands before AD 1200. There is certainly much to be observed and understood through the building of precise generational
chronologies, which improve dating precision and time scale resolution and allow us to evaluate the conditions of past collective action.*

* Manuscrit reçu en mai 2015, accepté pour publication en octobre 2015.

This article is part of the Special issue Measures and textures of time among the Maya: the said, the written, and the lived.
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