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The text is a facsimile of the print edition.
The sixth issue of EBGR presents a large part of the publications of 1992 and several addenda to EBGR 1990-1991. The principles explained in Kernos, 4 (1991), p. 287-288 and Kernos, 7 (1994), p. 287 apply also to this issue. As usually, works devoted exclusively to aspects of Greek religion (marked here with an asterisk) are presented very briefly. I would like to express my gratitude to all the scholars who have encouraged me to continue this bulletin. The abbreviations used are those of L'Année Philologique and the Supplementum Epigraphicum Graecum. I am aware that many publications escape my notice and even more are not accessible on time. The sending of offprints, especially of less accessible publications, would certainly help my work. I am very much obliged to Eftychia Stavrianopoulou [ES] for supplying several lemmata and to Laura Hebert for improving the English text.

Additional abbreviations


Selected Topics

Geographical areas (in the sequence adopted by SEG)

Attica: 1, 6, 8, 22, 35, 37, 41, 56, 79, 87, 88, 90, 99, 122, 123, 130, 134, 140, 157, 168, 169, 170, 188, 201, 204, 213, 226, 234; Peloponnese: Korinthia 7, 28, 207, 212; Argolis 24, 32, 46, 119, 120, 149, 164, 175, 176, 188; Epidauros 79, 125, 127, 217, 239, 240, 241; Lakonía 37, 171, 188, 211, 243; Messenia 168, 188, 221, 222, 243; Arkadia 6, 35, 106, 121, 147, 182, 243; Olympia 97, 231; Megaris: 72; Boiotia: 58, 72, 77, 115.


Doris: 219; Thessaly: 48, 79, 116, 232; Epeiros: 30, 97, 228; Macedon: 5, 6, 47, 92, 117, 124, 128, 233, 236, 237; Thrace: 83, 200, 225, 234; North Shore of the Black Sea: 44, 245; Delos: 35, 97, 106, 129, 130, 214, 234; Rhodes: 165, 243; Lesbos: 4, 165; Kos: 17, 56, 79, 165, 166; Cyclades: 6, 18, 102, 104, 143, 146, 201, 194; Chios: 29, 198; Samos: 78, 79, 210; Thasos: 17, 64, 194; Samothrace: 91, 128, 150, 162, 191; Euboea: 79, 176; Crete: 6, 21, 43, 67, 79, 106, 112, 173; Sicily: 3, 17, 36, 61, 67, 81, 121, 122, 135, 137, 158, 159; Italy: 3, 17, 39, 52, 53, 57, 60, 121, 154, 180, 192, 193, 199; 223; Britannia: 75; Gaul: 54, 82, 121, 195; Spain: 139; Asia Minor: 34, 40, 84, 96, 118, 177, 185, 234, 242, 244; Karta 25, 26, 27, 38, 56, 71, 110, 148, 180, 209, 230; Ionia 54, 59, 67, 84, 86, 87, 101, 110, 145, 150, 187, 189, 197; Lydia 42, 84, 173, 185, Troas 203; Mysia 95, 151, 174; Bithynia 55, 183; Pontos 73, 74, 166, 184; Galatia 62, 185, 218; Phrygia 51, 132, 133, 179, 185, 244; Pisidia 34, 100, 103, 104, 160, 161, 243; Pamphylia 34, 67; Lykia 105; Lykaonía 64, 126; Kilikia 220; Cyprus: 74; Mesopotamia: 178; Syria: 89, 109; Palaestina: 70, 227; Arabia: 142; Egypt: 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 23, 88, 113, 202, 205, 208; Kyreene: 6, 35, 42, 66, 67, 166, 243.
abaton: 94
abortion: 50
acclamations: 181; see also Greek words
alphabetical oracles: 176
altar: 13. 14. 25. 41. 92. 94. 125. 126. 138. 146. 166. 186. 217. 218. 219
amphictyony: 31
amulets: 20. 68. 208. 238; see also gems, magic
angels: 156. 185. 186
animals in religion and magic: 80; birds 69; dolphin 163; eagle 163; fish 47; horse 231; pigeon 42; lion 65. 67. 126. 163. 217; snake 13. 16. 208. 214. 215. 216; prohibitions against a. in sanctuaries 12
apotropaic objects, symbols, words: 20. 67. 80. 112. 121. 156. 178; see also amulets, baetyls, gems
archegetes: 103. 169
aretalogy: 234
arkteia: 6
associations: 10. 12. 13. 46. 55. 77. 82. 124. 126. 137. 168. 169. 170. 185. 186.; 237. 245; of atbeletes 25. 39; of Dionysticotechnai 11. 12
astragal-oracles: 160
asylia: 12. 19. 26; see also supplication
baetyls: 67. 121
banquet: 17. 55. 154. 201
birth: 6. 50; birthdays: 12. 99
burial: see funerary cult
calendar: 74. 91. 143. 183. 194. 195. 230
caves: 41. 43
clothing regulations: 36. 50
colony and mother city, religious relationships: 137. 194. 195. 228. 243
columbaria: 42
collection inscriptions: 136. 172. 181. 185. 234
continuity of cult: 43
crowns: see wreaths
cysts: 77
cult: cibithonic 212; continuity 43; family c. 17. 50. 79. 82; introduction of c. 79. 234; of mortals 12. 18. 19. 25. 176; private c. 163; see also emperor cult, heroic cult, royal cult, rituals
cult objects / paraphernalia: 154. 212; see also Greek words
curses: see defixiones, imprecations
dance: 84. 229
days, named after gods: 12. 74
death: 12. 13. 50. 55; see also metempsychosis, underworld
dedications: 1. 19. 25. 35. 43. 62. 81. 90. 92. 97. 98. 113. 121. 130. 131. 147. 199. 211. 213. 226. 229. 235
defixiones: 20. 25. 44. 76. 134
Patroos 82. 166. 242; Polieus 165; Solymeus 103. 104; Soter 25. 137. 142. 169. 205; Sotarios 13; Thaulios 48; Thenatas 43; see also festivals

deities, 

chthonic 66. 212. 231; Anatolian 34. 104 (Kakasbos). 220 (Perasia); Egyptian 12. 13. 14. 15. 25. 45. 66. 79. 92. 94. 111. 113. 115. 118. 138. 208. 211. 234; female 47; mother d. 47. 54. 220; Oriental 13 (Agdistis). 47 (Atargatis, Dea Syria). 49 (Mithras). 100 (Kybele). 111 (Mithras). 177 (Anahita). 195 (Kybele); Tbaclan 16 (Heron). 79 (Bendis). 111 (Heron)

deities, arrival of 25; comparison of mortals with gods 12. 95; lists of 8; protectors of birth, fertility 43. 84; protectors of agriculture 66. 105. 185; protectors of household 83. 185. 186; protectors of magistrates 25; protectors of war 70; rulers of cities/villages 79. 234; see also agons, cults, festivals, healing
disease: see healing
divination: 33. 41. 185 (prophetes). 187 (chresmologos). 211; see also oracles
dreams: 25; dream interpreters: 75
Eleusis, Eleusinian goddesses: 19. 35. 79. 100. 231. 244
epigrams: 9. 13. 25. 30. 38. 55. 120. 128. 146. 173. 174. 193. 205
epiphany: 79. 111. 172. 234; see also Greek words, ἐπιφανής
exclusion from cult: 50
family: see cults: 17. 50. 79. 82
festivals: 19. 21. 59. 92. 97. 99. 115. 201. 213; Apatourla 201; Apellai 201; Artemidoreia 25; Boutphoria 201; Dionysia 6. 12. 25. 87. 187. 201. 213; Gymnopaidiae 171. 188; Hekatombatai 188; Heracleia 79; Iatryntotrophia 25; Hyakinthia 37. 171; Ibomata 188; Kallynteria 79; Karneia 25. 171; Klaria 187; Leukophryena 19. 25; Nekystra 12; Nikephoria 19; Olympeia 188; Oschophoria 188; Panathenaia 37. 56. 88. 123. 157. 188. 201. 204; Parparonia 188; Phlyneria 79; Ptolemaiata 88; Soteria 19; Synoikia 188; Theadelpheia 23; Theseia 201; Thesmophoria 122. 143. 201; new year f. 188; festivals related to agriculture 12 (Ὑμερά ἐκ τοῦ τρυγητοῦ); see also agons, cult
fire: 84; fire-walking: 220
foreigners, exclusion / participation: 50. 201
founders of cities: see archegetes, kistes, oikistes
funerary cult / practices: 9. 12. 14. 25. 26. 55. 65. 73. 95. 101. 103. 104. 126. 128. 132. 133. 146. 161. 177. 193. 218. 237; see also death, imprecations, underworld
gems: 20. 80. 89. 156. 208. 214. 215. 216. 238; see also amulets, magic
gestures: 13. 174
ghosts: 67
Gnostic gems: see gems
graves: see funerary cult / practices
groves: 12. 85. 146
healing, healing deities, health: 13. 20. 25. 59. 67. 79. 85. 112. 127. 139. 145. 156. 166. 172. 217. 242
herald: 154
heroes, heroic cults: 114. 164. 198; Alektryon 119; Aristeia 54; Epimachos 25; Heros Archegetes 169; Heros Aulonites 117; Homer 143; Hyakinthos 171; Iphigeneia 6; Kerchaphos 165; Meros 25; 'the Seven' 164; Sphetios 198; Theseus 79. 188; Thracian hero 83; Toxaris 79; heroic descent: 38. 120;
heroization: 25 (of an athlete). 203 (of a benefactor); heroization of the dead: 25. 92; see also mythology
hymns: 11. 12. 234. 239. 241
impiety: 25. 79. 234; impious jokes: 103
imprecations: 12. 35. 86. 197; funerary: 25. 51. 55. 65. 73. 109. 126. 174. 218; see also defixiones
incantation: 67. 69. 112
incubation: 85. 127. 229
initiation: 6. 21. 37. 66. 91. 201
introduction of new cults: 79. 100. 163
inventories: see sanctuaries
invocations: 13. 20. 177
Judaism: 12. 111. 148. 185. 209
justice, divine: 185; see punishment
keys: 110. 140
ktistēs: 25. 71. 161
λεγές sacræ: 12. 19. 22. 25. 35. 36. 50. 67. 79. 110. 165. 201. 229
Lethe: 13
loom weights: 43. 122
magic: 20. 33. 67. 68. 76. 77. 80. 84. 89. 110. 111. 156. 208. 214. 215. 216. 238
manumissions: 47. 153. 199
metempsychosis: 245
Minoan religion: 43
miracles: 60. 127. 181. 217; see also healing
months: see calendar
mystery cults, mysts: 43. 49. 55. 77. 91. 100. 126. 128. 160. 179. 192
myths: 54; m. figures: 8 (Sarpedon). 20 (Atalanta, Laodameia, Telephos). 25 (Inopos, Merops). 29 (Meleagros). 108 (Thyia, Kastalios). 146 (Amazons); see also heroes, Satyrs, Silens
names, theophoric: 34. 58. 100. 146. 220; related to cults / rituals: 34; Mysta 92; Telestas 24
Neoplatonists: 173
Near East, Near Eastern parallels: 67. 76
Nereids: 7
Nymphaion: 90. 94; see also deities
oath: 19. 25. 35. 221
οἰκίστες: 71
oil: 212
oracles: 35. 67. 79. 84. 110. 111. 160. 179. 187
orgastic cults: 77. 150
Orphics, Orphism: 76. 192. 223. 232. 245
personifications: see deities (Dikaiosyne Homonoia, Hosios Dikaios, Nemesis, Nike, Themis, Tyche)
piety: 25; see also Greek words, εὐσέβεια
politics and religion: 25. 43. 79. 97. 99. 115. 164. 188. 191. 211
pollution: 50. 64
prayer: 13. 25. 229
priests: 12. 13. 25. 26. 92. 100. 103. 104. 140. 160. 161. 185. 211. 221. 244; eponymous: 12. 92; families of p.: 160. 244; hereditary: 100. 126; for lifetime: 12. 25. 10. 146. 160. 161. 244; high priests: 12. 13. 105. 146. 160. 161. 185; high priests /
priestesses of Asia: 40. 96. 148. 244; synods of p. in Egypt: 12. 13. 19. 23. 202; priestesses: 25. 50. 61. 79. 96. 100. 109. 118. 126. 140. 146. 160. 161. 189. 206. 220; virgin p.: 126. Other cult officials: agonothetes 16. 221. 243. 244; archierothytes 160; diabetria 220; epimeletes 213; hierokeryx 154; hieromnemon 29. 61. 159. 211; hierophylax 61; hierophantria 160; hieropoios 13. 224; hieropsaltes 12; hierothypes 61. 119. 160. 211. 243; hostos 152; hypophetes 187; hypotaktikos 186; keryx 25; kisttokosmos 221; leontis 13; panegyriarches 25; pastophoros 13; phronttstes 13; prophets 185; prostates 10. 13. 221; cf. 187 (prostasia); pyrphoros 125; stephanephoros 26. 146. 230; synagogos 13

procession: 25. 64. 92. 106. 110. 213

punishment, divine: 13. 25. 84. 172. 174. 185. 218; see also confession inscriptions, funerary imprecations

purification, purity: 50. 67

rider gods: 111. 117. 185

rituals: arkteia 6

royal cult: 12. 13. 23. 27. 88. 93. 97. 202

sacrifice: 12. 17. 25. 27. 28. 43. 92. 106. 119. 154. 165. 169. 201. 213. 229

sacrilege: 46 (stealing of a statue)

sanctuaries: 1. 12. 19. 24. 52. 53. 85. 94. 106. 107. 114. 129. 224. 229; accommodation in 24. 25. 94; accounts 31. 35. 224. 235; administration 31; boundaries of 119. 164. 165. 169; extra-urban 85; finances of 3. 26. 35. 52. 78. 106. 107. 119. 129. 152. 210. 224; fines payable to 25. 64. 103; inventories of 1. 35. 90. 130; land of 3. 25. 26. 107. 119; livestock of 106; privileges 12; prohibitions with regard to s. 12. 25; shops in 12. 210; treasures / treasuries 32. 35. 138; see also leges sacrae

Satyrs: 63

Silens: 56. 80

skene: 122

slaves: 92. 210; sacred: 13. 25 (hieros). 100

soul: 185. 223. 245; psychostasia: 185

statues, of gods: 12. 13. 25. 35. 46. 67. 84. 90. 100. 110. 140. 151. 164. 178. 182. 200. 240; aniconic 121; apotropaeic 67. 84. 178; annual dedication of imperial s. 45; bringing of 84; carrying away of 178; crowning 12. 25; gilding 103

stephanephoria: 12

stones: 67. 121. 122. 238; see also baetyls, gems

superstition: 20

supplication: 210; see also asylia

syncretism: 13. 15. 66. 83. 227

theoria: 9. 87

theurgists: 110. 111

torch-races: 25. 169

trees: see groves

tribes, named after deities: 146

trumpet: 154

underworld: 13. 14. 110. 133. 173. 192. 223. 232. 245; see also death, funerary cult

virginity: 172; virgin goddesses: 84. 185; virgin priestess: 126

‘voodoo dolls’: 76. 84

vows: 25. 109. 145. 185. 186. 242

water: 74. 85. 114. 212
weather: 74
wedding: 6
wine: 232
wishes: 20, 155
women: 6, 25, 36, 50, 68, 118, 122, 189, 206, 229; see also priestesses
wreaths (crowns, garlands): 12, 25, 55, 84, 95

Greek words

acclamations: εἷς θεός: 20; μέγα ὄνομα: 20, 208

cult paraphernalia, statues: ἤγαλμα: 92; ἀνδριάς: 13 (of Zeus); ἄφισμα: 46 (statue);
εἰκόν: 25; θυμιατήριον: 25; κρεοδάθεα: 154; λυχνάπτιον: 13; σκαφίς: 154; σημειά: 154; τράπεζα, ἤρα: 25
divination: ὑποφήτης: 187; προφήτης: 185; χρησιμολόγος: 187
epithets (a selection): ἀγαθοποιός: 111 (Heron); ἀγαραίος: 92, 200 (Hermes); ἀναξ, ἀνακ(τ)ες: 24. 25. 196; ἀποτρόπαιος: 50; ἀσφαλειος: 142 (Poseidon); ἡκάκεστήρ: 137 (Zeus); ἐπίκοος: 13. 100. 101. 105. 111. 113. 117. 126. 138. 242 (Aegisthus, Aphrodite, Artemis, Heron, Heros Aulonelites, Isis?, Zeus); ἐπιτευξία: 237 (Aphrodite); ἐπιφανής, ἐπιφανέστατος: 25, 126 (Artemis, Antoninus Pius, Helios); εὐμενής: 16; ἱγμονή: 92. 228 (Artemis); θαυματουργός: 111 (Heron); καθηγέμον: 187 (Apollo); κτίστης: 25; κυουρτόφορος: 25; μέγας / μέγιστος: 13. 15. 25. 181 (Apollo, Heros Isis, Ptoenneses, Sarapis, Zeus Helios Sarapis); cf. 234; νικηφόρος: 25 (Athena); ὄλβιος: 13 (Herakles); ὄλβιος: 185 (Zeus); πάρέδρος: 25; παρθένος: 84. 185; πάτριος, πατρίας: 48. 65. 82. 242 (Hekate, Zeus); πολιεύς, πολιάς: 48. 165. 244 (Athena, Hekate, Zeus); πολυστέφανος: 137; προπύλαιος: 67. 111. 178 (Apollo, Hekate Heron); σύμβωμος: 105; σύννοιος: 13. 25. 105; σῶσον, σωτήρ / σωτήριος / σώτειρα: 13. 25. 26. 47. 72. 84. 93. 111. 132. 137. 142. 165. 169. 187. 205. 208 (Apollo, Artemis, Atragatis, Athena, Augustus, Boubastis, Hekate, Heron, Ptolemy I, Zeus); cf. 234; ἄγνοια: 92. 185 (Zeus); βασιφόρος: 84. 179 (Apollo, Artemis)
festivals, rituals, sacrifice: ἕπιθυσ: 25; ἐπόνομος ἡμέρα: 12; εὐωχία: 12; θέμις: 160; νομημα: 25; πελανός: 169
funerary cult: ἡρας, ἡρώισσα: 25; καυστρα: 161
priests and cult officials: ἴεριστα: 126; see also priests
magic: τελεῖν ἐπαιδήν: 69
sanctuaries: ἐπιστάλα: 24; ἱερὰ αὐλή: 169; καταγώγιον: 94; οἶκος: 25, 94; σκηνή: 122; τέμενος: 13, 25, 26
1) S. ALESHIRE, *The Economics of Dedication at the Athenian Asklepieion*, in *Economies of Cult*, p. 85-98 [BE 1993, 37]: A. extracts from the inventories of the Athenian Asklepieion evidence concerning the social status of the dedicants and the production of the dedications. She demonstrates that the dedicants were a very heterogenous group and did not belong only to the lower strata of the Athenian population, as is widely believed. The fact that the small votives usually have a standard weight (1, 2, 4, 5, or 8 drachmas) implies that they were prefabricated by the silversmiths and offered for sale ready-made. Only the dedications with a greater weight (above 10 drachmas) were ordered by the dedicants.

2) P. AMANDRY, *Ou était l’omphalos?*, in *Delphes*, p. 177-205 [BE 1993, 64]: The epigraphic evidence (p. 193-195) implies that the omphalos was located in the temple of Apollon Pythios. A.’s detailed study of the sources suggests a location in the opisthodome, and not in the adyton.

3) C. AMPOLLO, *The Economics of the Sanctuaries in Southern Italy and Sicily*, in *Economies of Cult*, p. 25-28: A. studies the ownership of land through sanctuaries in Southern Italy and Sicily, esp. in Herakleia and Lokroi, where the size of the sacred property must have been considerable. These sanctuaries applied two different kinds of leases of land, i.e., both perpetual leases and 5-year contracts; the rent was not paid in money, but in barley. For a similar practice in the Heraion of Samos see *infra* n° 78. According to A. the fragmentary text SEG 27, 657 (Kamarina, 5th cent.) concerns this procedure; see, however, L. DUBOIS, *Inscriptions grecques dialectales de Sicile*, Rome, 1989, p. 202-204 n° 177). A. demonstrates that “public sanctuaries were ruled by thinking about food-supply problems” and that “temple estates seem to have been included in responses to risk and crisis in the food supplies of the Greek cities” (p. 27).


5) M. ANDRONIKOS et alii, ‘Ἀνασκαφή Βεργίνας’, in *PAAH*, 146 (1991) [1994], p. 182-192: A fragmentary inscription found in the sanctuary of Eukleia seems to be a dedication to the goddess (p. 187) [l. 3: τῇ ἑλεία[)].

6) A.I. ANTONIOU, Βραυρών. Συμβολή στὴν ιστορία τοῦ ἱεροῦ τῆς Βραυρωνίας Ἀρτέμιδος, Athens, 1990 [SEG 40, 288]: Detailed study of the topography and history of Brauron, with a collection of the literary sources and reference to the still unpublished epigraphic testimonia. The largest part of the book treats the sanctuaries of Artemis at Brauron, which was probably abandoned during the Chremonidean War (p. 145-171), the local cults (Artemis, Iphigeneia, Apollon, p. 172-191, 206-214), the nature of Artemis as a goddess of birth and wedding, the festival Mikra Dionysia (p. 215-218), and the ritual of the artēkia (p. 192-205, interpreted as an initiation ritual of girls before the marriage) [on the artēkia see now EBGR 1990, 241]. In an appendix (p. 227-253) A. studies the analogous cults of Artemis in Athens (Akropolis), Piraeus (Mounychia), Styphonopolis, Amphipolis, Grete (cave of Arkoudia), Kyrene, and Syros.

7) R. ARENA, Divagazione su Πιτομέδουσα corinzi, in *Quaderni Ticinesi*, 21 (1992), p. 21-31: Linguistic analysis of the name of the Nereid Πιτομέδουσα, found on the sherd of a Corinthian vase. A. endorses R. WACHTER’s view (SEG 40, 1616) that the scribe had a poetic source.

8) P. BALATOS, *Inscriptions from the Academy*, in *ZPE*, 86 (1991), p. 145-154 [SEG 41, 37]: Ed. pr. of 16 inscribed tablets of schist, with holes for suspension, found in the area of the Academy in Athens. Some of the tablets are inscribed with the names of gods (1: Athena, Ares, Artemis, i.e., an alphabetically arranged list of gods; 4: Artemis; 7: Hermes; 11a: Sarpedon?). These texts were probably exercises of schoolchildren, written in the late 5th cent. BC.
9) M. Barbadera, *Ancora sulla stele funeraria di Antipatros di Ascalona: Una messa a punto*, in *Quaderni Ticinesi*, 21 (1992), p. 87-103 [BE 1993, 92]: A close study of the relief on the grave stele of Antipatros of Askalon [cf. *EBGR* 1990, 33] shows that the representation corresponds to the text of the epigram. Antipatros was killed by a lion, but his friends were able to bury his body. Antipatros died while serving as member of a sacred embassy of Askalon to a Greek sanctuary (Delos?).

10) G. Bastianini - C. Gallazzi, *Un’iscrizione inedita di Tebtynis e la synodos di Doryphoros*, in *ZPE*, 89 (1991), p. 44-46 [BE 1992, 569; SEG 41, 1638]: Ed. pr. of an inscription naming the *prostates* of an association (σῶνος Δαραφόρου, i.e., Tebtynis). The association was probably named after its founder, whom B.-G. identify with Doryphoros, *a libelles* of emperor Nero. The association may have comprised leaseholders of land belonging to Doryphoros.

11) A. Béris, *Corpus des inscriptions de Delphes. III. Les Hymnes à Apollon*, Paris, 1992 [BE 1993, 271]: Reedition with exhaustive commentary of the two hymns to Apollon, with signs of musical notation, written in the Treasure of the Athenians in Delphi. The hymns were written on the occasion of the Athenian Pythais of the year 128 BC. The first hymn was composed by Athenaios, son of Athenaios, member of the association of the Dionysiaca artists. [Cf. the remarks of J. Bousquet, in *BE* 1993, 271 on several points of B’s translation.]

12) A. Bernard, *La prose sur pierre dans l’Égypte hellénistique et romaine. Tome I: Textes et traductions*. Tome II: *Commentaires*, Paris, 1992 [BE 1993, 653]: Collection of 67 long prosaic inscriptions from Egypt, with texts, translations (vol. 1), critical apparatus, and short commentaries (vol. 2; no new texts). A large group of documents are the decrees of the *synods of priests* in honour of kings Ptolemy III, IV, and V (8-14, 16-18) [see now *EBGR* 1990, 104; *EBGR* 1989, 8 and *infra n° 23*]. Sanctionaries: Many documents record the granting of privileges to various sanctuaries, esp. the granting of asylum to the sanctuaries of Horus (30, Athribis, 96 BC), Heron (32, Magdola, 95 BC), Isis Sachypos (31-32, Theadelphia, 93 BC), Isis C36, Ptolemais, 75 BC), Isis Iskempe (37, Theadelphia, 70 BC), the crocodile gods Psosnaus, Pnepheros, and Soxis (38, Euemeria, 67 BC), Ammon (39, Euemeria, 69-68), Pnepheros (42-44, Theadelphia, 57 BC), and to a synagogue (23, Delta, under Ptolemy VIII). Also notice the correspondence between Ptolemy VIII, the strategos of Thebais, and the priests of Isis regarding the protection of the sanctuary at Philai from abuses of officials (22); a dossier concerning the privileges of the priests of Chnoum at Elephantine (24, ca. 117/115); a letter of the priests of Mandulis to Ptolemy IV with the request of delivery of grain, wine, and wood for the celebration of the sacrifices (19, Philai, 149/8, cf. 20); a decree of the prefect releasing the priests of Soknopaios from impressed labour (54, AD 54). Also notice an honorific decree for Dorion, benefactor of the sanctuary of Apollon (l. 9-11: παράγοντα... τὴν τε καταλωφήν καὶ κοινᾶς τοῦ δηλομένου ητον); his name was to be mentioned by the priests and hieropsaltai in their hymns (25, Memphis, 112/11) [for a similar honour cf. *infra n° 25*]; a foundation for the sanctuary of Soknopaios and Nephreses (29, 97/96). A freedman, who had built an Aphrodision and workshops nearby, requested ateleia for the brewery he established for the benefit of the sanctuary (51, Menelais, AD 10/12). Festivals: The festival Dionysia and a temple of Dionysos are mentioned in an honorific decree of the association of Dionysiaca artists (3, Ptolemais, 269/246 BC); an honorific decree for a gymnasiarch mentions the celebration of the birthday of a king (Ptolemy IV or V) on the 28th Hyperberetatos (15, unknown provenance). An association of farmers honours the benefactor Paris (40 = SEG 8, 529, Delta, 67 and 64 BC) with the establishment of three festival days (ἀγγειοθία αὐτοῦ ἡμέραν: l. 12f., 28, 34-37), to be celebrated annually in Alexandria, in the gymnasiun, and in the dîning hall founded by him, in the months Thot, Pharmouthi (on the day following the day of Apollon), and Mesore, on the vintage day (ἐπὶ τοῦ τρυγητοῦ). Paris' statues were to be crowned on the ἑπαναμήνη ἡμέρα, in which sacrifices to the kings were offered (l. 10-12, 26f.). Paris was also appointed priest for lifetime; he was to receive a double portion of meat of the sacrificial animals, which was to be sent to him; after his death the association would perform the customary rites on the Neikysia (l. 43f.). An analogous honorific decree of the priests of Ammon-τα at Thebes (46, 39 BC) for their
benefactor, the strategos Kallimachos (l. 19f.: ἄσπερ λαμπρὸς ἁστήρ καὶ διάμοι ἁγάθος [τοῖς ἐπασιν] ἐπέλαμψε), establishes a festival (ἔπονυμος ἱμέρα, including a sacrifice to the gods, stephanephoria, and εὐωχία) to be celebrated on his birthday (l. 27-30). *Leges sacrae.* A lex sacra from Ptolemais contains regulations about purification before entering a sanctuary (47 = *LS* 119, 1st cent. BC). A fragmentary inscription prohibits the cutting of trees (28, 2nd or 1st cent.). [The imprecation against violators (l. 7: ἑκατὸν αἰτίασεται; cf., e.g., *LSAM* 85) indicates that this text may be a lex sacra protecting a sacred grove. The strategos of the Ombites nomos ordered the farmers to keep their pigs outside the sanctuary of Mandulis (63, Talmis, AD 247/8). Also notice the dating formula of a decree with a long list of eponymous priestly offices (26, Alexandria, 112/11); an honorific decree of an association of farmers for their president and high priest for lifetime Apollonios (49, Delta, AD 5); the death of his father, the high priest Theon, is described with the words εἰς θεός ἀποχωρίσατο (l. 9f.); a Lindian honorific decree for a citizen of Naukratis was to be inscribed in the sanctuary of Athena Lindia (1, 5th cent. BC) [on this text see J. BINGEN, in *BE* 1993, 656f.]

13) E. BERNAND, *Inscriptions grecques d’Égypte et de Nubie au Musée du Louvre,* Paris, 1992 [BE 1993, 19, 650, 676]: Corpus of the Hellenistic (n° 1-26) and Roman (n° 27-36) inscriptions in the various collections of the Louvre Museum, including a few inedita (marked with an asterisk). B. publishes further copies of the honorific decrees of synods of priests for Ptolemy III and V (1*: a new copy of the ‘Kanopos decree’; 2*: a decree of the year 243 BC; 3: a copy of the decree of the Rosetta stone) [on n° 2* see *supra* n° 23, 202]. *Festivals.* An honourific decree for Antiphilos (5, Ptolemais Hermiou, ca. 246-221) provides for his crowning on ‘the day of the king’, i.e., the 25th day of each month, a festival commemorating the accession of Ptolemy III to the throne (25 Dios). Also notice a prayer to Herakles (Ἡρακλῆς Ἀδηπτός δίδων ζωήν τοῖς ἐν Τούρσην ἱερεῖοι[1], Medamoud, 105 BC); a poem of (the historian?) Arrian in honour of the Sphinx (36, Gizeh). *Dedications.* To Agdistis Epêkoos (8, unknown provenance, reign of Ptolemy II, a temple and a temenos), Aphrodite Thea Neoteca (Tentyra, AD 98, a well and a peribolos), Apollon and Kore (26, Hell., an altar; the association of the two gods is unique), Arsinoe Thea Philadephos (9, an altar), Artemis Soteira (7, Kanopos, for Ptolemy I), Augustus identified with Zeus Eleutherios (27), Boubastis Soteira (16, Boubastis, Hell.), Helios Megas Sarapis (34), Hermes and Herakles (12, by a wrestler, winner at the Basiliea of Alexandria, Hell.; 17, by a group of young men, μέλλακες, Hell.; 13, of a Ptolemaion and a throne, probably in a gymnasion, Horbeit, ca. 157 BC), Isis Esenychbis (i.e., Isis of Chemmis) Thea Megiste, Pnepheros, and their synnaoi (20, Hell.), Leto (31, Medamoud), Pentesenes Theos Megistos (15, Edfou, Hell.), Ptolemy VI, Kleopatra II, and the gods of the cataract, i.e., Ammon-Chnubis, Hera-Satis, Hestia-Anoukis, Dionysos-Pettempamentis (14, by an association = *EBGR* 1989, 8 no 302; 1990, 127), the snake gods (35*), Zeus, Athena, and the polieumata of the Kilikians (22, of a pylon, Hell.). A λυχνιάτης (‘le local des allumeurs de lampes’) was dedicated by a sick person in the Serapeum of Saqqara (11, Hell.); a person who had been saved erected a statue (ἀνθρώπου) of Zeus Soterios in the eastern prodromos of a temple (30; cf. another dedication of a person saved by a god, 117: ζωῆς[2] on no 30 see *infra* no 205). Notice the dedications of Aimos, “a slave of Sarapis and Apis”, and Korragos, “a slave of Sarapis and Isis” (25, Hell.); these expressions are unique (cf. ‘the servant of the gods’ in demotic papyri). The expression αὐτοῦ καὶ τὰ (ἐξ)κατόν in these dedications has been interpreted in different ways: ‘le dévot place sa personne et ses biens sous la protection du dieu qu’il est venu adorer. Ou bien faut-il comprendre τὰ κατόν (s.e. τέκνα) ? L’auteur de l’inscription peut aussi vouloir dire qu’il est venu en personne au sanctuaire et l’expression τὰ κατόν pourrait désigner les offrandes qu’il a faites)” for that he has dedicated himself and his property to the god. *Grave inscriptions.* The epitaph of three sailors, murdered in the harbour of Pouchis, begins with an appeal to Sarapis to avenge their death (Ἀντίφιλος, κύριε Ἑρά, Abydos, 90 = *OGIS* 697); the three persons are represented raising their hands [for this gesture in prayers of revenge see *EBGR* 1990, 92f.]. Another epitaph expresses the hope that the sky will punish those who rejoice over the death of the deceased (98; δὲ περιέχει μετελέσθη τοῦ ἐκείμενουτε σοι). In the epitaph of a person who died prematurely (Ἀδηπτός), Sarapis is invoked to provide the deceased with powers against his enemies (92, Abydos; κύριε Ἑράτη, δῶς αὐτῷ τὴν κατέξουσαν ὑπὸ τῶν ἐχθρῶν αὐτοῦ; cf. B.’s commentary: “elle émane du ou des survivants qui invoquent la divinité pour que le défunt... puise agir par-delà la mort contre ses ennemis dont il a peut-être été la victime et qui sont
tojours ceux de sa famille). Another metric epitaph (93, Abydos) expresses ideas about life after death (vûv ò 'Aboðynon tov 'Oseývados amfipoleous / thovn kai phythmêvov ouk épaptasa dýmos / 'AthanaXwv kai têkna meðromenwv oujón épasekven, / álλa oíkei mákàrav 'Hlópovn pébion / òv' ëmâ pasi / òthoiv me ùg ùv Xolllhni' 'Ermh / òVrûse kai à丽江s ouj épîn liabâdà); notice also the expression Sêropi déxe + name of the deceased in an epitaph (103); cf. another fragmentary invocation of Sarapis (130).

Sacred officials: Priests (1, 2, 3, 8, 14, 19, 32), an archiereus (91), an hieropoios (30, 91), a pastophoros (Baapfôálov, 27), a frountotikà lêpô (28). Officials of associations: The priest and the archiprostates of an association of soldiers (19, Hell.); the president (synagogos) of an association of worshippers of Isis Sononai (Snonaitike synodos), who also held the office of lesoý̱, i.e., administrator of the temple of Onnophris (21, Sokno1pái Neson, 51 BC); an association of custodians of a sacred boat (0a1tò /al1 ti0 ouû cpâç veoç XpucKlpullou, 24, Memphis, Hell.); a high priest of an hiera synodos (73).

Expressions: av' eùepyecrîç (30), Ev' ayaeéjJ (23, 29, 33), eùcrepeiaç XUplV (28, 29), limpocrûVTllla (28), limÈp crOYnlPlaç Kâ /xtç /31).


16) E. BERNAND, Dédicace au dieu Héron, in ZPE, 91 (1992), p. 226-228 [BE 1992, 561]: B. offers a detailed commentary of a dedication to Heron Eumenes (Alexandria?, Hell., SB 1 340). The stele bears a representation of the god (with radiant head) and three snakes, instead of one. The epithet Eumenes is very rare. The cult of Heron came to Egypt from Thrace in the late Hellenistic period. The dedication may have been set up either in a sanctuary of Heron in Alexandria or in the house of a (Thracian?) devotee (cf. CALLIM., epigr, 24 ed. Pfeiffer).

17) B. BERQUIST, A Particulal, Western Greek, Cult Practice? The Significance of Stele-Crowned Sacrificial Deposits, in OPAth, 19 (1992), p. 41-47: B. studies the erection of inscribed and uninscribed stelae on sacrificial deposits, a cult practice which has been observed in the sanctuary of Malophoros in Selinous (from the 7th cent. on) [for a recent publication see EGR 1989, 29], in the sanctuary of Hera or Aphrodite in Naxos, and in the 'sacred area' near the temple of Apollo in Metapontion. In Selinous some of the inscribed stelae were dedicated by phratries. Gentilician cults are attested in other Greek areas, too (e.g., in Thasos and Kos), but the practice of erecting stelae on sacrificial deposits seems to be a peculiarity of the Greeks in South Italy and Sicily. These stelae may have been erected in commemoration of sacrificial meals celebrated by the members of gentilician groups.

18) D. BERRANGER, Archilochque et la rencontre des Muses à Paros, in REA, 94 (1992), p. 175-185 [BE 1993, 391]: Mnesiepes' vita of Archilochos, preserved in a Parian inscription, begins with a narration of the poet's encounter with the Muses, who gave him a lyre. B. translates the text and studies the analogies between this episode and Hesiod's poetic 'initiation' (Theog., 24-34), esp. the setting of both episodes in an extra-urban location and the presentation of the poets as shepherds, the iconographic tradition of the episode, and the history of Archilochos' cult on Paros. [See already C.W. MÜLLER, Die Archilochoslegende, in RBM, 128 (1985), p. 99-151, whose article B. does not seem to know; cf. EGR 1988, 30 with further bibliography; cf. also B.'s book Recherches sur l'histoire et la prosopographie de Paros à l'époque archaïque, Clermont-Ferrand, 1992 (non vidô)].

19) J.-M. BERTRAND, Inscriptions historiques grecques traduites et commentees, Paris, 1992 [BE 1993, 6]: Translations of 150 selected inscriptions (archaic-Hell.), with very short commentaries and almost no bibliography. The material is organized chronologically. The
selection includes passages of the 'Lindian chronicle' (2), the dedication of Pedon (4) [EBGR 1988, 114], the oaths of the colonists of Kyrene (5) [cf. EBGR 1990, 192] and the Athenian ephesives (18), the letter of Dareios to Gadata about the asyla of the sanctuary of Apollon in Magnesia (12), many thanksgiving dedications after wars (13, 15, 16, 21, 35, 50, 104, 107), the lex sacra regarding the offering of first-fruits to Eleusis (30), a letter of Antiochos III to Zeuxis concerning the administration of sanctuaries in Asia Minor (112) [EBGR 1987, 67], documents concerning a festival in honour of Philopoimen in Megalopolis (125) and the festivals Soteria in Delphi (92, 101), Leukophryena in Magnesia (113), Nikephoria in Pergamon (126), and several decrees of the Egyptian synods of priests (105, 110, 117). Several texts concern the royal cult in the Hell. period, i.e., the cult of Demetrios established by the Koinon of the Islanders (82), the Athenian honours to Antigonos and Demetrios (84), and the cult of Antiochos I (87), Ptolemy I (88), and Laodike (121).

20) G. BEVILACQUA, Antiche iscrizioni augurali e magiche dati codici di Girolamo Amati (Opuscula Epigraphica, 2), Roma, 1991: Edition of 49 Greek and Latin inscriptions on gems and metal sheets copied by G. Amato between 1818-1834 and preserved in a manuscript in the Bibliotheca Vaticana. Most of these objects could be identified with objects preserved in various collections and museums (new texts are marked with an asterisk). Several inscriptions on gems express wishes (2: υγείανουσα φύει ἰδί; 5: φύλασσαι; 6: ἧρας φύλασσον κωδύς (for ἐπίδιος?); 11, 12: εὐνυχία) or contain, apotropaic formulas (16: νυκτὸς τὸν Σέραπην τὸν φθόνον); three gems mention mythological names (12: Telephos; 13: Atalante; 15: Laodameia?). The gnostic gems (17-33) are usually inscribed with common magic names and formulas, e.g., Νυχαιοπληκτη (20*), γεναιοπληκτη (24*), φύλασσον(*) and magical words (22), διαφάλαξις (25*). Some interesting pieces: 18*: the imperative πάντα, written three times, may be a wish for good digestion; a love-charm (26 I. 5-7: [ἀκούστῃ] ἥματι... ἄμων ψυχῆς...) ἀνέδω [ἐν τῶν δὲν ἐν δύο ἔπει τελ.; the invocation εἰς Βάρμο, εἰς Ἀθῆρ, μία τῶν βίων εἰς δὲ τὸ Ἀκρωτίριον πάτερ κόσμου πάτριος θεός (31*); a corrupt text could be read as ἐπικαλοῦμαι σε, μεγαλοδοξεῖο ὑμάς ἐκμεταλλάξω, μια τῶν βίων ἐκ τῶν ἁγιῶν τῶν θεῶν τῷ θεῷ τὸν ὑμῶν τῷ θεῷ τῷ θεῷ (32). The collection also includes four phylacteries (34-37; 34: τὴν ἱνακομολήσαται, 35: τὴν ἱστομολήσαται) and one defixio (38 = A. AUDOLLENT, Defixionum tabellae, Paris, 1904, n° 189).

21) M. BILE, Les termes relatifs à l'initiation dans les inscriptions crétoises (VIIe-VIer siècles av. J.-C.), in Initiation, 1, p. 11-18: B. presents the terms related to age classes, the organization of the youth, and rites of passage attested in Cretan inscriptions from the archaic to the Hell. period. Whereas the epigraphic evidence for the ἁγέλαι agrees with Ephoros' report of this Cretan institution, there is only indirect epigraphic evidence for the rites of passage described by Ephoros (ap. STRAB., Χ, 4, 21) [for which there is, however, unequivocal archaeological evidence from the sanctuary of Hermes Kedrites: see A. LEBESSI, Τὸ ἱερὸ τοῦ Ἕρμη καὶ τῆς Ἀρεόπολις στῇ Σύμη Βιάννου. I. Χάλκινα χρυστά τομείματα, Athen, 1985, p. 188-198; cf. A. LEBESSI, Flagellation ou autoflagellation. Données iconographiques pour une tentative d'interprétation, in BCH, 115 (1991), p. 99-123; on the Cretan festivals of initiation see now D.D. LEITAO, The Perils of Leukippos. Initiatoly Transvestism and Male Gender Ideology in the Ekdusia of Phaistos, in CLAnt, 14 (1995), p. 130-163].


23) J. BINGEN, Le décret du synode sacerdotal de 243 avant notre ère, in CE, 134 (1992), p. 319-328 [BE 1993, 678]: B. reeds with substantial restorations the decree of the synod of priests preserved in the Louvre Museum [supra n° 13, 2*; cf. infra n° 202]. This decree was passed by the synod in honour of Ptolemy III, on the day of a festival for the Theoi Adelphoi (Theadelphia). It can be dated in the fifth year of his reign (243 BC); this was the first year in
which the Theoi Euergetai appear in the title of the eponymous priest in Alexandria. This decree may be the one cited some years later in *OGIS* 56 (238 BC).


25) W. Blümel, *Die Inschriften von Knidos. I (IGSK, 41)*, Bonn, 1992 [BE 1992, 456]: The corpus of the inscriptions of Knidos and its territory contains many new texts (marked with an asterisk). According to the principles of this series, B.'s commentaries are usually very short. *Knidos (Tekir): Dedications*: In a metrical dedication written on the base of a statue of Hermes, dedicated by the the prostatai of Neapolis (21, 4th cent.), the god greets the magistrates, explaining that he has arrived as parhedros of Aphrodite (έν Νεαπόλιν προσταταί γινόμενοι ἐν 'Ερμος Ἀφροδίτα πάρθενος ἄλλα χαίτει). The statues of Aphrodite and Hermes may have been set up in the seat of the prostatai; these two gods are often regarded as protectors of magistrates: see, e.g., *EBGR* 1991, 194]. Statues of Caesar (41*, ca. 48 BC), Nero Claudius Drusus (43*, late 1st. cent. BC), Roma (88*), the proconsul P. Vinius (87*), and many prominent citizens (51*, 52*, 81*, 82*, 83*, 84*, 85*, 86*, 90*, 91, 94*) were dedicated to the gods by the demos, sometimes also by private persons (statues of various Knidians and foreigners: 111, 112, 113*, 114*, 115, 116*, 118*, 125*). Other dedications are addressed to Agathe Tyche (187, Hell.), Aphrodite (162, Hell.), Apollon Latoúdès (163*, a tripod, Hell.), Apollon Karneios (164*, 165, Hell.), Apollo Pythios (169), Apollo Phthisios Latóides (170*), Artemis Hikanthrophos Epiphanes (171, 2nd cent. BC), Asklepios (172, 3rd cent. BC), Athena (173*, 174*, 175, 176*, 178, Hell.), Athena Nikephoros and Hestia Boulaia (177, Hell.), Eileithyia (179, [ὑπέρ] τοῦ σαμιοῦ; 181), Eileithyiai (180), Hera (191), Hermes (183, by a damiourgos), Kouroutrophos (192, 193*, Hell.; 495 from Barkaz), Merops (?), the Muses (185), Nymphai Pedleis [or Pedleion] (194*, Hell.), Sarapis, Isis, and all the gods (186, Hell., after a cure by a man from Alexandria), Zeus Mellichios (188, altar), Zeus Soter (189*, imp.), the gods (190, a θυσίας βοημός). A statue of a man who had participated in the agon at Karnea (καρνηδρομήσατο) was erected near the great altar of the sanctuary of Apollon Karneioi (165, 2nd cent. BC) [= *EBGR* 1990, 226]. *Leges sacrae*: A prohibition of lodging in the sanctuary of Dionysos Bakchos (160 = *LSAM* 55, late 4th cent. BC); a fragmentary decree concerning sacrifices to Aphrodite (161*, 3rd/2nd cent.). [N° 173*, according to B. a dedicatory inscription to Athena, may be the fragment of a lex sacra, as the verb θυε[ν] [l. 4] implies; on l. 2 read Ζνιδα[ξ]]. A large dossier of texts concerns the local benefactor, scholar, and friend of Caesar C. Iulius Theopompos (51-61). Statues of Theopompous were dedicated to Apollon Karneios by his friend Apollonios (57) and by the Roman residents of Knidos (701). Several members of his family (his wife Telespeta, his daughter Nosis, and his sons Hippokritos and Artemidoros) were honoured for their piety (52*, 53*, εὐσέβης; 54*: εὐσέβεις χάριν; 55*: εὐσεβείας χάριν τάς πολύ τό θέναι) [another fragmentary honorific decree for an anonymous εὐσεβείας χάριν (11*, Hell.) could belong to the same dossier]. His son Artemidoros (59 = SGGI 3502), priest of Artemis Hikanthrophos Epiphanes for lifetime, was honoured with a public funeral in the gymnasion (l. 8-11), the erection of a golden statue (εἰκών) in the temple of Artemis Hikanthrophos Epiphanes, and divine honours as syaonos of Artemis (l. 11-15); the city founded an altar for Artemidoros and the festival Artemidoreia, which included a sacrifice, a procession, and a pantaetec athletic agon (15-17, τιμάω ισόθεν). [The fragmentary text 60*, which also
concerns Artemidoros, mentions golden and marble statues. The words κατ' ἐνεργοῦ imply that these statues were decorated with garlands annually, during a festival (l. 5). Two consolation decrees (71-72, 1st/2nd cent.) mention honours for the deceased persons (including public funerals). The honorific decrees for Lykaithion (73-75) mention that her name and the name of her husband were to be mentioned by the damiurgos in the sacrifices offered at the beginning of the official year (73 l. 11f.: ἐπίθυμοις ἀναγορευεῖς κτλ.); B. (following a suggestion by R. MERKELBACH) interprets ἐπίθυμοι as 'Einführungsopfer'. [For a similar honour cf. supra n° 12]. Another honorific decree was to be announced in the Dionysia (74). Sacred officials: Aurelia Eirene, wife of the priest for lifetime of the μέγιστος καὶ ἐπιφανέστατος θεὸς "Πλούς. M. Aureius Euchoxos, served as panegyrist of his city (ὁμονοιο... τίθησαν πατρί κόσμος ἑλεοθείας / ἀθανάτων ξαθείας προμηθηθήμενος Σῆμακτωτόν / Ἐκάθισε σωσαμένον μητέρα Δαρίαν; 103*, early imp.). [For his successful political mission he may have exploited the connection of the Julii with Venus/Aphrodite, which was exploited in the same period by Aphrodiasl. A priest of Dionysos is mentioned in n° 113* (Hell.). Also notice the expression ἄρχην ἐπεμον (l. 3, 5) in the treaty between Rome and Knidos (33, 45 BC). Hestia is mentioned in a κατ' ἐνεργοῦ Β. (following a suggestion by R. MERKELBACH) in R. HAGG (ed.), Ἰταπα προσερχσσε, which was probably written after the c. 100 BC. Notice the epigram for Antigonos... ἠθήναι (l. 25). Another honorific decree (c. 103*, early imp.) for the crime of impiety see, e.g., H.S. VERSNEL, Infra n° 234, p. 123-131. Hestia, mentioned in the next line, is possibly the recipient of a fine; for fines payable to sanctuaries cf. infra n° 64. Augustus is regarded saviour and kiteses (42*); a person is honoured for providing food for the hierai τραπεζαί for 6 months (115, στειεύσαντα [ἱεράς] τραπεζάς). The Nymphs and Inopos are named on an altar frieze (166-167, 2nd cent. BC); a thymiaterion bears the inscription ὑγείας Αὐτές (195); the Parian sculptor Bulis made the statues from Eros to Herakles on a large frieze (220, 2nd cent. BC). Expressions: εὐχαριστήριον (181*, 182*), χαριστεία (186). Grave inscriptions: A funerary imprecation (461*) mentions a fine and the formula ἔξολος καὶ πανάλος ἔστα. Notice the epigram for Antigonus (φιλίου ὕρως), which was probably written in a gymnasium (301, 3rd cent. BC), and the grave inscriptions of a winner of the Aspis of Argos (twice, 436*) and of an άίερος (491). The deceased persons were very often called ἤρως or ἡρώνας (311, 313, 316, 317, 319, 320, 321, 324, 326, 329, 335, 372, 397, 408, 411, 425, 426, 427, 429, 430, 511, 512, 632, 642, 804).

The inscriptions from the temenos of Demeter and Kore (131-159, no new texts, 4th-2nd cent. BC) include a metrical dedication of Chrysina, who, in a dream, was ordered by Hermes to dedicate an οἶκος and a statue to Kore and Demeter at the site Tathne (131 = CEG 860, 4th cent. BC). Dedications: To Kore (132, 143, by priestesses), Demeter (134, 142, 145, 146), Demeter and Kore (133, 136, 137, 140), Demeter, Kore, and the other gods in Demeter’s temenos (καὶ θεοῖς τοῖς παρά Δάμαμπτι, 135, 138) [for παρά + the name of a god in dative in the meaning of 'in the sanctuary of'] cf. P. CHARNEUX, Du côté de chez Héra, BCH, 111 (1987), p. 207-223), Demeter, Kore, Plouton, the hero Epimachos, and Hermes (141), the Anakes (139). One dedication is called χαριστεία καὶ ἐκτίματα (138), the latter word being mentioned only here and of unknown meaning ('sin-offerings, penalties', 'thanksgiving for manumission', 'dedication in expression of great reverence'). The most important texts are the well-known defixiones of women (147-159, 2nd/1st cent. BC, no new texts or readings); a few texts are translated (147, 148, 150) [see now the study of H.S. VERSNEL, Περγάμου, The Cnidian Curse Tables and Ordeal by Fire, in R. HAGG (ed.), Ancient Greek Cult Practice from the Epigraphical Evidence. Proceedings of the Second International Seminar on Ancient Greek Cult, Organized by the Swedish Institute at Athens, 22-24 November 1991, Stockholm, 1994, p. 145-154].

Knidian inscriptions found in other areas (211-221) include dedications in Delphi (211-213), graffiti on vases found in the sanctuary of Apollon Mìlasios in Naukratis (214-216, 6th cent. BC), a dedication of Mikos from Magnesia to Athena in Lindos written with Knidian characters (217, 6th cent. BC), decrees of Knidos concerning the Leukophryena in Magnesia (219) and the festival of Artemis Híakynthotrophos in Knidos (220, ca. 200) [EBGR 1987, 90], and a decree about the lawsuit between Kalymna and the sons of Diogoras of Kos, containing the oath of the judges; the judges...
invoked Zeus, Apollon Lykios, and Ge and took their oath on burning sacrificial animals (221 l. 26-32, ca. 300 BC).

_Inscriptions of foreign cities found in Knidos_ include a decree of Samothrace mentioning an agon (233*, Hell.) and a condolence decree of the international association of athletes for the death of Euboulos, who received heroic honours (234, 1st/2nd cent. AD).

_Inscriptions from the territory of Knidos: A text from Betşe concerns the temenos of Asklepios; the revenues from the leasing of the sacred land would be spent for the sacrifice (502, 4th/3rd cent). The inscriptions from Dağça/Burgaz (old Knidos?) include dedications to the Dioskouroi (601, ea. 6th cent. BC), Aphrodite and Peitho (612, 4th/3rd cent.) [on their cult see _EBGR_ 1991, 194]. A benefactor (606, 2nd/1st cent. BC) was honoured with prohedria at the Dionysia and Hikynthiotrophia (l. 3-4), a public funeral in the city (l. 7-9), and an annual sacrifice on the first day of a certain month (l. 8-12). Since this annual sacrifice is mentioned immediately after the funeral, perhaps it was to be offered on the first day of the month in which the benefactor would die, i.e., [καθ' ἑκαστόν ἐνιαυτόν τὸ[τ]ὰ μη[ν] ν[ῶς ἐν ἡ κα] μεταλλάξει; τόι] νομήνια. For sacrifices on the anniversary of a person’s death _cf. infra_ n° 143). The herald was to pray for him and for his descendants on the Dionysia and the Hikynthiotrophia (l. 12-14); a torch-race was organised to honour him (l. 16-22).

26) W. BLÜMEL, _Neue Inschriften aus Mylasa (1989-1991)_ , in _EA_ , 19 (1992), p. 5-18 _[BE_ 1993, 513]: Ed. pr. of 17 inscriptions from Mylasa. A fragmentary record of sale (217 B, la. 2nd cent. BC) concerns land bought by the elected _ktelmatonai_ of the tribe of the Otorkondeis on behalf of Zeus Otorkondeon (l. 8); this land bordered the sacred land of Zeus Osogo (l. 11). The eponymous stephanephoros was adopted son of a priest of Sinyri, who is also mentioned as owner of land bordering the sacred land (l. 15); a priest of Zeus Ktesios is mentioned among the proprietors whose land bordered the sacred land of Zeus Otorkondeon (l. 12). [N° 352, a fragmentary decree of the Otorkondeis (2nd/1st cent. BC) may also concern the purchase of sacred land. The Otorkondeis declare their _εὐεργεία_ towards Zeus, Savior and Benefactor of their tribe, and probably decree to purchase land for his sanctuary; cf. l. 11-15: [κυμφέρων δέ εὖταν / [...] ἐν τῷ κατά μεσον[βραν] perhaps τόπον, γειτον[ε]ν] ἐνυποχαει[τατώ τόπων ---] τέμενος καὶ εὐθ[υ --- τι] διὸς δὲ δῆμοι[ε ἔποιη τὸν πρόοδον καλ.] Also notice two dedications (353, by a priest of Homonoia, Hell.; 354), a gravestone dedicated to Daimones Agathoi (499, imp.), a priest of the emperor cult (607), and several new fragments of decrees of Cretan cities, which recognized the city of Mylasa as immune and sacred (660-663, 2nd cent. BC).

27) W. BLÜMEL, _Brief des ptolemäischen Ministers Tlepolemos an die Stadt Kildara in Karien_ , in _EA_ , 20 (1992), p. 127-132 _[BE_ 1994, 528]: Ed. pr. of a letter sent by Tlepolemos in response to a visit of envoys from Kildara. This letter shows that Kildara supported Ptolemy III and Antiochos, the son of Antiochos II and Berenike, at the beginning of the Laodikeian War (246 BC). A sacrifice was offered annually to Ptolemy and Berenike (C 2-4) [C 4: καὶ τῶν ἄλλων θεῶν, or perhaps καὶ τῶν ἄλλων θεῶν]. This sacrifice (C 5-6) was to be funded from the syntaxeis which Kildara had to pay previously [on this point see Ph. GAUTHIER, _in BE_ 1994, 528]. Seven days [presumably during the festival in honour of Ptolemy] should be ateleis (D 1). [The phrase [ὁ]σιον συντελέστε καθήκον καὶ πρότερον (D 2) may refer to an already existing cult, that of the kings or that of a local deity.

28) A. BOEGEHOLD, _Two Graffiti from Ancient Corinth_ , in _Hesperia_ , 61 (1992), p. 409-412 _[BE_ 1993, 132]: Ed. pr. of an Attic cup foot with the graffito _θεῶν γὰρ ἄγε ἐπίθετο γάρ, i.e., ‘in fact it smells of sacrifices; yes, add to it’ (Korinth, ca. 550-500 BC). This graffito seems to reproduce a dialogue, not necessarily a literary quotation.

Stuttgart, 1988, p. 284f. n° 55, 56, 58, 61]. B. also publishes a few new and restores already published agonistic inscriptions (p. 188-190).

30) J. BOUSQUET, *Deux épigrammes grecques* (*Delphes, Ambrakie*), in *BCH*, 116 (1992), p. 585-606 [BE 1993, 273; SEG 41, 540]: A new fragment of *FoD* III 1,510 permits the restoration of an epigram on the base of the statue of the Athenian Kallias III, who won the Pythia, the Nemea (twice), and the Isthmia (Delphi, ea. 4th cent.). B. also reedits, with commentary, the *epigram* *EBGR* 1991, 5 (Ambrakia).


33) W.M. BRASHHAR (with contributions of A. BÜLOW-JACOBSEN), *Magica Varia* (*Papyrologica Bruxellensia*, 25), Bruxelles, 1991: Edition of 6 magical papyri and an octagonal crystal prism (p. 80-84). Each side shows an engraved standing human male or alektrycephalic figure, holding an object in both hands. The spaces above and below the figures are covered with pseudo-letters and cryptographic symbols. This object may have been used for divinatory purposes.

34) C. BRIXHE, *Étymologie populaire et onomastique en pays bilingue*, in *RPh*, 65 (1991), p. 67-81 [BE 1994, 585, 601; SEG 41, 1788]: B. studies the adaptation of non-Greek personal names in southern Asia Minor. Some of the indigenous names were hellenized in such a way that they could be associated etymologically with Greek words.  "Ωδημος, e.g., a common name in Kolybrassos, secondarily associated with the adjective οδημος, is related to the epithesis *uppara* (cf. οδημως, οδημος, p. 73-76); the names Αρτεμας and Αρτεμες (probably also "Αρτέμας), common in Termessos, were probably of Anatolian origin, only later associated with the cult of Artemis (p. 78f.); similarly, the name 'Ερμιτος, very common in this and in related forms in Termessos, derives from the name of the Hittite and Luwian moon-god Arma (p. 78f.).

35) K. BRODERSEN - W. GÜNTHER - H.H. SCHMITT, *Historische griechische Inschriften in Übersetzung. Bd. I. Die archaische und klassische Zeit*, Darmstadt, 1992 [BE 1993, 5]: Selection of 154 translations of inscriptions, with short bibliography and no commentaries. *Leges sacrae*: A lex sacra of Delphi (46 = *LSG* 76), the Athenian leges sacrae about the cult of the Nymphs (86 = *LSG* 178), the temenos of Herakles (87 = *LS 4*) (cf. supra n° 22), the priestess of Athena Nike (75, 120 = *LSG* 12), and the offering of first-fruits at Eleusis (123 = *LS 39*). *Accounts - Inventories*: An account from the Nemesis sanctuary at Rhamnous (67 = *IG* I 248, 5th cent.), the accounts related to the construction of Athena's statue (91), the accounts of the Delian temples (93), the building accounts of the Parthenon and the Propylaia (94, 95), the accounts of the treasurers of Athena (142), the inventories of the Parthenon (126), the Hekatompedos (127), and the Pronaos (133). *Finances of sanctuaries*: A document concerning a private loan from the sanctuary at Tegea (73), Athenian documents about loans and payments from sacred treasuries (92, 112, 128, 141), an Athenian document related to the financial administration of Delos (143). *Oaths*: The oath of the colonists of Kyrene (6), the 'oath of Plataia' (40). The selection also includes many dedications (5, 13, 14, 15, 20, 21, 24, 25, 28, 31, 32, 33, 37, 39, 42, 49, 56, 59, 70, 83, 105, 114, 151), the letter of Dareios to Gadatas (22), the Spensitheos decree (26), the imprecations of Teos (47) (cf. infra n° 86, 197), a decree about the construction of a bridge on the Hieron Hodos (124), and the records of the confiscation of the property of the Hermokopids (132).
36) A. BRUGNONE, *Le leggi suntuarie di Siracusa*, in *PP*, 47 (1992), p. 5-24: B. studies the 'sumptuary laws' of Syracuse (ATHEN., XII, 521 b-c = PHYLARCHOS, *FgrHist* 81 P 45) against the background of socio-political developments (la. 6th/ea. 5th cent.). The prohibitions of expenses and luxurious clothing in Syracuse applied generally, not in a religious context, but they find some parallels in clothing regulations for women in leges sacrae (e.g., prohibitions against ἀνθρῶπα).

*37) P. BRULÉ, *Fêtes grecques: Périodicité et initiations. Hyakinthies et Panathénées*, in *Initiation*, I, p. 19-38: A comparative study of the festivals Hyakinthia in Sparta and Panathenaia in Athens in the light of literary and epigraphic sources demonstrates their similarity (mythical background, position in the religious calendar, participation of the entire community, procession, offering of a peplos, integration of the youth in the adult population). Based on this similarity B. argues that the original core of the Panathenaic festival was a 'rite de sortie' for both sexes, celebrated annually.


39) M.L. CALDELLI, *Curia atletarum, iera xystike synodos e organizzazione delle terme à Roma*, in *ZPE*, 93 (1992), p. 75-87: Study of the organization of the iera xystikē perιουλαστικὴ σύνοδος τῶν περὶ τῶν Ἡῃρακλέων, the location of its seat in Rome, its history (1st-4th cent. AD), its administration, and esp. the responsibilities of the officials xystarches and epi balaneion; the latter was probably responsible for that part of the thermae which was frequented by the athletes.

40) M.D. CAMPANILLE, *Un sommo sacerdote del II secolo d.C.*, in *SCO*, 42 (1992), p. 277-279: The high priest of the emperor cult in Asia mentioned in I.Ephesos 664b (2nd cent. AD) is Herennius Rufus, who may be identified with a person mentioned in an Athenian inscription (BE 1952, 51).

41) O. CAVALIER, “Rencontre dans une grotte”. À propos d’un autel votif grec, in *Revue du Louvre*, 6 (1990), p. 443-454 [SEG 40, 201]: Ed. pr. of a small altar with a relief representation of Apollon, Pan, and two dancing women in a grotto (Attika?, late Hell.). An inscription names the two gods and the dedicant. C. discusses in detail the iconographic parallels and argues that this dedication alluded not only to the musical competence of the two gods, but also to their divinatory powers.

42) F. CHAMOUX, *Un pigeonnier antique près d’Apollonia en Cyrénaique*, in *CRAI*, 1992, p. 623-642: C. reports the discovery of a columbarium at Apollonia, the harbour of Kyrene (imp.?). After a detail study of parallels C. argues that this columbarium was a public monument, probably erected in a sanctuary, and presents the literary and epigraphic evidence for the relation of pigeons to certain gods (Aphrodite, Apollon, Demeter, Zeus) and for the dedication of columbaria to gods (esp. a text from Philadelpheia, *EBGR* 1989, 36).

43) A. CHANIOTIS, *Die Inschriften von Amnisos*, in J. SCHÄFER (ed.), *Amnisos nach den archäologischen, historischen und epigraphischen Zeugnissen des Altertums und der Neuzeit*, Berlin, 1992, p. 287-323: Reedition of the inscriptions found in the sanctuary of Zeus Thenatas at Amnisos (territory of Knosos) with historical and prosopographical commentary (cf. SEG 33, 717-729). 12 inscriptions name eponymous magistrates of Knosos (1-12, ca. 110-75 BC). The nature of their activity in the sanctuary, in honour of Zeus Thenatas (cf. I. Tyvι Θενάτας) is not stated, but since there is no indication of building activities which could have lasted more than twelve years, these texts cannot be building inscriptions; they probably commemorated the offering of an annual sacrifice. A dedication of a marble krater to Zeus Thenatas (13, late Hell.) and a lamp with the signature of Klaros (14, 2nd/3rd cent. AD) were also found in this sanctuary.
The inscriptions found in the 'cave of Eileithyia' at Amnisos include a fragmentary graffito on a vase (τεπόν) probably dedicated to Artemis (15, late Hell./ea. imp.), two lamps of the workshop of Eutyches (16a-b, imp.), and a loom weight inscribed with the letter E (17); loom weights are common dedications in Cretan sanctuaries. The cults of Amnisos (Eileithyia, Artemis, Zeus Thenatas) are discussed on the basis of the literary and epigraphic sources (p. 84-104). There is no evidence for a cult continuity in the sanctuaries of Zeus Thenatas, from the Minoan period to the Geometric period, as suggested by the excavator of the site S. MARINATOS. Zeus’ cult was probably established in Amnisos after the coming of the Doriens; his epitheton derives from a place name (Thene/ai), probably the name of a mountain. The cult, which flourished in the geometric and archaic period, reveals elements of syncretism of a Minoan fertility god and the Greek Zeus and may have been related to the mystery cult of Zeus Idaios. Its revival in the late Hellenistic period seems to be related to Knosos’ efforts to defend its eastern boundaries from the expansion of Lyttos.

44) A. CHANIOTIS, Watching a lawsuit: A New Curse Tablet from Southern Russia, in GRBS, 33 (1992), p. 69-74 [BE 1993, 375]: The curse tablet EBGR 1987, 50 should be attributed to Olbia. In the context of a lawsuit, an unknown person cursed his opponents, their supporting speakers, and ‘those who observe’. Several literary and epigraphic sources reveal the influence ‘onlookers’ (supporters on one of the parties) could have on the verdict of the jurors/judges.

45) A. CHANIOTIS - G. RETHEMIOTAKIS, Neue Inschriften aus dem kaiserzeitlichen Lyttos, in Tyche, 7 (1992), p. 27-38 [BE 1993, 400]: Ed. pr. of 5 honorific inscriptions on statue bases dedicated to emperor Hadrian (1-3) and his wife Sabina (4-5) from Lyttos (Crete). Three of these bases were found in situ in a room which may have been devoted to the emperor cult. A close study of the numerous statue bases for emperors (L.Cret. lxvii 17-44), which were probably set up in the aforementioned room, shows, that the statues were usually dedicated on the emperor's birthday. Sometimes the city dedicated pairs of statues, consisting of a statue of the emperor and a statue of a female member of his family (i.e., his wife or sister) [for annual dedications of imperial statues see also SEG 41, 1106]. In the inscription which records the construction of the Serapeum in Gortyn (SIRIS 170) the name of the dedicant’s son should be read as C. Petronius (not Metronius) Maximus (p. 38); the family of the Petronii Maximi may have been of Lyttian origin, since the name Petronius is attested only in Lyttos (L.Cret. lxvii 140 and 141).

46) P. CHARNEUX, Sur un décret des forgerons d’Argos, in BCH, 116 (1992), p. 335-343 [BE 1993, 261]: Ed. pr. of a document related to the association of the Argive smiths. After a statue (ἀρχαίον) of Hephaistos had been stolen from the temple, a foreigner offered to fund the dedication of a new one (Argos, lst cent. AD).

47) P. CHRYSOSTOMOU, Ἡ λατρεία τῆς Συρίας θεᾶς (Ἀταργάτιδος) στῇ Δυτικῇ Μακεδονίᾳ, in AEMT, 3, p. 103-117 [BE 1992, 301]: Collection of the archaeological and epigraphic testimonies (partly unpublished) on the cult of dea Syria / Atargatis (Ἀταργάτις Σάτηλε, θεᾶ Συρίας Παρθένος) in Beroia (since the 3rd cent. BC, cf. SEG 16, 392), Edessa (unpubl. manumission of the 2nd cent AD addressed to Thea Parthenos), Euxoche Eordaias, Pella, Agios Nikolaos Giannitson (unpubl. manumission to Syria Thea Parthenos Ἑρμιάτουσα, AD 205) [cf. the remarks of M.B. HATZOPOULOS, in BE 1992, 301 about the derivation of this epithet from an ethnic name; the popularity of the cult in the region of the Bryges and the manumission of slaves through dedication to this goddess indicate that her cult may have continued the local cult of a Mother Goddess]. C. also discusses the diffusion of Atargatis’ cult in mainland Greece and the Aegean Islands since the Hell. age, and the importance of fish in her cult.

48) P. CHRYSOSTOMOU, Ἐνοδία Φεραία. Ἡ θεσσαλικὴ θεᾶ τῆς μαγείας, in Theocharis, p. 532-533: C. presents a short summary of his research on the cult of Enodia, well attested archaeologically and epigraphically in Pherai (Thessaly) [cf. EBGR 1991, 43]. Unlike Athens, where Enodia was associated with Hekate and Persephone, in Thessaly her cult was always associated with those of Artemis, Zeus Meilichios, Zeus Thaulios, and Poseidon. The inscriptions attest a great variety of epithets (Aistike, Polias, Hosa, Patroia, Korillos, Statthmia, Mykatia, Alexeatis). [ES]
*49) M. CLAUSS, Cultores Mithrae. Die Anhängerschaft des Mithras-Kultes, Stuttgart, 1992: C. collects 1003 inscriptions mentioning worshippers of Mithras, basically in the western provinces of the Roman Empire; the Greek East (including Moesia Inferior and Thrace) is rather weakly represented (p. 218-244). The study of this material shows that the cult originated in Italy; from Rome it spread out to the provinces. The rôle of soldiers and públícani for the cult's diffusion was substantial, but despite the strong presence of soldiers among the devotees of Mithras, this cult was widespread among the lower strata of society. Women were excluded from it. The majority of the worshippers was simply initiated into the cult, without proceeding to the initiation into the 'seven grades' [cf. SEG 40, 1720]. Mithras' cult flourished from the middle of the 2nd cent. AD; since the reign of Marcus Aurelius it was increasingly associated with the emperor and the emperor's cult. A revival can be noticed in the 4th cent. AD, esp. in aristocratic circles.

*50) S.G. COLE, Gunaiki ou themis: Gender Difference in the Greek Leges Sacrae, in Helios, 19 (1992), p. 104-122: C. gives an excellent overview of the regulations related to women in leges sacrae (restrictions and exclusions, purity requirements, priestesses). The regulations about the exclusion of women from cults are closely related to the exclusion of foreigners; e.g., the expressions о̱ θείως, о̱ θεονομία were used for women and strangers, but not for men, which implies that "the privileged group defined itself by exclusion of others". Women were usually excluded from cults of male gods (Heraclès, Zeus Hypatos, Zeus Amalios, Zeus Apotropaios, Poseidon Phyktos), especially of gods related to typical male activities [but for a priestess of Ares for lifetime see infra n° 160]. C. collects the evidence for purity requirements for worshippers and the various sources of pollution (birth, abortion, sexual intercourse, death). It seems that the popular tradition usually described the pollution as having a female source, gender neutral terms (e.g., ἀνδρὸς ὀσφυονικός) being less common than terms which explicitly mention intercourse with a woman (ἀνδρὸς γυναικός); from the Hell. period on the leges sacrae often make a distinction between intercourse within and outside marriage. The treatment of male and female priesthoods by the Greeks reveals a 'gender asymmetry'; male priests of female deities are more often attested than priestesses of male gods, priesthoods reserved for a certain clan were usually transmitted through male lineage, the prices for the purchase of a priesthood were higher for the offices of priests than those of priestesses, and the sexual requirements for priestesses were more stringent [on priestesses cf. infra n° 118, 206]. C. also discusses the rôle of women in mixed cults (esp. family cults), the various restrictions valid in women cults (restrictions of clothing, etc.), and the evidence for oaths of purity and marital fidelity. The regulations about women in the leges sacrae reflect the Greek system of social hierarchy based on distinction of gender.


52) F. COSTABILE (ed.), Polis ed Olympieion a Locri Epizephyri. Costituzione, economia e finanze di una città della Magna Grecia, Catanzaro, 1992: The volume contains various contributions concerning the sanctuary of Zeus Olympios in Lokroi; notice the reedition of the Lokrian tablets (with translation) which concern loans made by the sanctuary to the city [cf. EBGR 1988, 118 and supra n° 3]. [ES]

53) L. COSTAMAGNA - C. SABBIONE, Una città in Magna Grecia: Locri Epizefiri, Reggio, 1992: Archaeological guide of Lokroi with extensive reference to the local sanctuaries and cults (Zeus Olympios, Persephone, Ares, Pan, the Nymphs, Aphrodite) in the light of the archaeological and epigraphic evidence. [ES]

54) J. COUPRY, Catalogue chronologique par génération, dans leur existence mythique, des dieux et héros helléniques à Marseille et dans les horizons massaliètes, in Marseille grecque, p. 155-160: Presentation of the cults attested in Massalia and the adjacent areas (esp. in Ligurian Olbia) and the respective myths (Mother deities,
Aphrodite, Apollon Delphinios, Artemis Ephesia, Hera, Herakles, Leto, Poseidon Hippios, and Aristeia). These cults find close parallels in cults of Ionia.

55) M.-L. CREMER, Hellenistisch-romische Grabstelen im nordwestlichen Kleinasien. 2. Bithynien (Asia Minor Studien, 4.2), Bonn, 1992 [BE 1993, 557; 1994, 27]: The second part of this study of the grave stelae in Northwest Asia Minor (Hellen., imp. times) [cf. EBGR 1991, 53] presents the material from Bithynia (Nikomedea, Nikaaia, Preietos, Pylai, Ortyia, Prusa ad Olympum, Harkleia Pontike, Bithynion, and Klaudioupolis). C. focuses on the iconography of the grave stelae. Their predominant motif is the funerary banquet; the focal point in the Bithynian stelae is the man, usually holding a crown in his hand. Prothesis scenes are also popular in this region. The catalogue (p. 119-180) provides a representative collection of grave inscriptions with banal formulas, including several unpublished texts. Fines for the violation of graves, payable to the city or the fiscus, are mentioned in a few texts (NK 10, 11, Y 9, 10). One monument has a funerary imprecation (H 12: ὄς δὲν τοῦτο τὸ ἔργον συλήσῃ σεπυλημένος ἔξαπολτο). One metrical text expresses thoughts about the unavoidability of death (Tarpak 1) [cf. SEG 41, 1873]. The catalogue includes also a dedication to Sarapis and Isis by an association of μύσται and δεκατηστεῖ. [PV 1 = SIRIS 326].

56) B. CROWTHER, Second-Place Finishes and Lower in Greek Athletics (Including the Pentathlon), in ZPE, 90 (1992), p. 97-102 [BE 1993, 249]: A review of the literary and epigraphic evidence shows that in some athletic contests, e.g., in Eleusis (IG I2 846), in the Panathenaia (IG II2 2311), in the Koan Asklepieia and Koresia (Syll.3 958), and in an agon in Aphrodisias (IG 2758), places other than the first were recorded; sometimes second-place victors received an award.

57) G. DAREGGI, Dedica ad Hera su di un frammento ceramico a figure nere nel museo di Baranello, in Eumusia, p. 173-178: Ed. pr. of a sherd of a blackfigure vase (late 6th cent. BC) with the representation of an ithyphallic Silen and a mule; a graffito shows that the vase was dedicated to Hera (τις ἡπέλ[η]). The provenance could be Kyme (Italy), where a similar inscription was found in 1860.

58) F. DE ANGELIS, A forgotten inscription from Kblembotsâri (Asopía), Bototita, in Boeotia Antiqua, 2, p. 53-55: D. restores the name of the deceased in a gravestone mentioned by the traveler H.N. ULRICHS (Reisen und Forschungen in Griechenland. II. Topographische und archäologische Abhandlungen, Berlin, 1863, p. 81) as Χάρστος, a theophoric name related to the cult of Herakles Charops in Thebasia. [ES]

59) M. DEBRUNNER HALL, The Reluctant Rhetor: A Recently Published Inscription from Late Imperial Ephesos, in ZPE, 91 (1992), p. 121-128 [SEG 39, 1193]: D. argues that the epideictic oration, whose end is preserved on a recently published inscription of Ephesos (SEG 39, 1193), was a panegyric speech in praise of the city delivered in a festival (cf. 1. 11f.: διὰ τὴν ἱεροτὴν τοῦ βήματος). [There is no indication in the text that the orator won a prize in a competition; on the contrary, cf. the expression ὅτι λόγους αὐτογενεῖς παραβένας (l. 8), the phrase διὰ τὴν ἱεροτὴν τοῦ βήματος is probably used metaphorically; cf. H.W. PLEKET, in SEG 39, 1193].

60) C. DE FILIPPIS CAPPAL, Il culto di Asclepio da Epidauro a Roma. Medicina del tempio e medicina scientifica, in Civiltà Classica e Cristiana, 12 (1991), p. 271-284: Overview of the history of Asklepios' cult in the insula Tiberina in Rome with reference to the healing miracles [but with no knowledge of the recent bibliography and citation of the antiquated edition of the healing miracles in GIG 5980; see now IGUR I, 148; for the Roman Asklepieion see now infra n° 89].

61) S. DE VIDO, Segesta: Fonti epigrafiche, in ASNP, 21 (1991), p. 971-980 [BE 1993, 718; SEG 41, 824]: Collection of the inscriptions of Segesta (no new texts, no commentaries). They include a dedication to Aphrodite Ourania (IG XIV 287, of the statue of her priestess). The text mentions the sacred officials of the city (hierophytes, hieromnemnon, hierophylyakes).

63) L. DUBOIS, Deux noms mythiques, in RPh, 65 (1991), p. 99-104 [SEG 41, 1709, 1789]: Linguistic study of the names of two satyrs, which appear on Chalcidic vases of the 6th cent. BC ὰφαστής is the satyr ‘with the long ears’; Γερμόνες or Γαρμόνες derives from γῆρος/γάρας (‘voice’), i.e., he is the satyr ‘with the loud voice’.

64) H. DUCHÊNE, La stèle du port. Fouilles du port 1. Recherches sur une nouvelle inscription thasienne (Études Thasienes, XV), Paris, 1992 [BE 1993, 395]: Ed. pr. of a Thasian law with regulations aiming at keeping the streets clean and orderly (ca. 470/60). [Cf. the review by A. MARTIN, in AC, 63, 1994, p. 495f.] D. offers a very detailed commentary of the regulations, the topography, and the cults. The basic religious interest of the text consists in the mention of the sanctuaries of Herakles and the Charites as points of orientation. One of the streets is called ‘the street of the sanctuary of the Charites’ (l. 3), i.e., it was used for processions. The fines for violations were paid partly to the city and partly to Apollon Pythios (l. 7-10). The law prohibited the throwing of excrements on the street leading from the sanctuary of the Charites to the Prytaneion; fines for violations of this regulation were to be paid to the city, but if the epistatai neglected to collect the fine, they should pay themselves the doliplln to Artemis Hekate (l. 48f.). In order to establish the chronology of the text D. republishes all the archaic and early classical inscriptions of Thasos (p. 110-128, ca. 550-430 BC).

65) H. ENGELMANN - A.B. ÜNER, Inschriften aus dem Museum von Karaman (Lycaonia), in Anzeiger Wien, 129 (1992), p. 1-24: Ed. pr. of inscriptions from various places in Lykaonia, including two dedicatory inscriptions to Theoi patrioi (1, Derbe, on a pillar) and Zeus (2, on an altar), and two funerary imprecatory addressed to Mes Ouranios and Menes Katakathontoi (29: ἐνορκίζω δὲ Μήνας τὸν τὸν ὀμφάλιον καὶ τῶν κατακαθονίων; cf. 30). In another grave inscription, written on the statue of a lion, the deceased is presented ordering the burial of his body (5, Suduragi: ἵππος κελέω τὰ λείψανα βληθῆναι).

66) S. ENSOLI VITOZZI, Indagini sul culto di Iside a Cirene, in Africa romana, 9, p. 167-250 [BE 1993, 699]: Thorough study of the cult of Isis at Kyrene on the basis of archaeological, literary, and epigraphic sources (5th cent. BC - 5th cent. AD). A local (Libyan-Egyptian) deity was associated by the Greek colonists with Demeter and Kore, Tyche, and Ge; the agricultural and chthonic elements were predominant in her cult. Initiation rituals became part of this cult already in the classical period, i.e., earlier than in other parts of the Graeco-Roman world, probably under the influence of Demeter’s cult. [ES]

*67) C.A. FARAONE, Talismans and Trojan Horses: Guardian Statues in Ancient Greek Myth and Ritual, New York-Oxford, 1992: F. collects and discusses an impressive amount of literary, epigraphic, and papyrological testimonia for the manufacture, use, and special treatment of statues and other forms of effigies as a means for averting evil (erection of statues of dogs and lions at the entrances of cities and monumental buildings, erection of effigies of bow-bearers plague gods at the gates of cities, burying and binding the images of evil forces). These practices are close parallels to rituals described in the early Near Eastern sources. F. argues convincingly that the scanty evidence for the use of talismanic images in the classical period is a result of the unevenness of our sources, and does not indicate a discontinuity in the performance of these apotropaic rituals. I focus here on a few epigraphic aspects of F.’s study. F. suggests that the γύλλαι mentioned in the lex sacra of the Milesian molpoi (LSAM 50), i.e., stones set up near the statue of Hekate Propylaia and the gates of Didyma, may have performed some protective function (p. 6) [for the apotropaic use of stones and baetyls cf. infra n° 121 and EBGR 1991, 116]. He collects and discusses the literary and epigraphic evidence (esp. oracles of Apollon Klarios) for the
erection of statues of Apollon during the great plague of AD 165/66 (p. 61-64) [cf. now the new oracle (for Sardis), infra n° 84]. The binding of a statue of Ares, which an oracle of Apollon Klarios recommended to the city of Syedra, finds close parallels in apotropaic practices mentioned in the mythological and literary tradition (p. 74-78) [cf. EBGR 1991, 68]. F. interprets the term ἵκεσις ἐπακτή in the Kyrenean lex sacra concerning purifications (SEG 9, 72; LSS 115, 4th cent.) as 'visitors' or 'evil spirits', and sees in the ritual described in this text a 'ghost-banning ritual' (p. 81f.) [for the same view, with reference to a new text from Selinous, cf. M.H. JAMESON - D.R. JORDAN - R.D. KOTANSKY, A Lex Sacra from Selinus (GRBS Monographs, 11), Durham, 1993, p. 54-57, 116-120]; but see the objections of V. KONTORINI, Ἀνέκδοτες ἐπιγραφὲς Ρώδου, II, Athens, 1989, p. 17-29 (EBGR 1989, 60)). Also notice a collection of testimonia for gods regarded as προοίμισι (p. 7-9) [for Herakles cf. EBGR 1991, 157; for Apollon see infra n° 178] and brief discussion of the incantation of Phalasarna (with translation of l. 2-9) [for this text see infra n° 112].

68) C.A. FARAOE, Sex and Power: Male-Targetting Aphrodisiacs in the Greek Magical Tradition, in Hellos, 19 (1992), p. 92-103: F. presents some evidence (basically literary and papyrological, but also one amulet) that support the view that the erotic magical rituals aimed at attracting men were different than those aimed at attracting women. The male-targeting spells were primarily concerned with enervating and controlling the 'normally' active men, whereas the female-targeting spells aimed at energizing the 'naturally' passive female. This association of effeminacy and sexual excitement reflects the Greek attitude that linked male sexual identity with the ability to control one's sexual desire. It would be noticed that the male-targeting magic appears often in contexts which involve the usurpation of male power. Consequently, this magic, which weakened self-control, could be associated with political magic.

69) C.A. FARAOE, Aristophanes, Amphitaura, fr. 29 (Kassel-Austin): Oracular Response or Erotic Incantation?, CQ, 42 (1992), p. 320-327: The hexametrical verses ὅρθος ὁ ἄνδρος ἐρωτευόμενος ἦτο κέρκυλος ἀνάβλητον· τελέσθε ὁ ἀγαθόν Εὐκαθοδήν (ARISTOPH., fr. 29 K-A) are not an oracular response which prescribes how the petitioner might increase the sexual ardour of an old man, but an erotic incantation. Both the formulaic expression τελέσθε ἐκαθοδήν and the content of the verses (Aphrodite's 'attack' on a specific body part, allusion to the bird κέρκυλος) find close parallels in defixiones and magical papyri in the context of erotic magic.

70) Th. FISCHER, Tryphons verfehlter Sieg von Dor?, in ZPE, 93 (1992), p. 29-30 [BE 1993, 117]: F. restores the inscription on a lead sling-bullet from Dor (Palestine, SEG 32, 1500; 35, 1535) as follows: Τρήφων[α] νική, Διὸς Δαρίτου γένους ('victory of Tryphon; taste Zeus Dorites'). The text was written by followers of the usurper Tryphon (138 BC) in Dor during the siege of the city by the troops of Antiochos VIII.

71) S. FOLLET, Hadrien kistès και οἰκιστῆς: lexicographie et realia, in F. LÉTOUBLON (ed.), La langue et les textes en grec ancien. Actes du colloque Pierre Chantraine (Grenoble 5-8 septembre 1989), Amsterdam, 1992, p. 241-254: In an honorific inscription for emperor Hadrian (SEG 27, 809, Stratoniikeia) the emperor is called both κιστῆς and οἰκιστῆς, thus showing that the two words are not entirely synonymous. A detailed survey of the semantic field of these words, esp. when used to designate benefactors, heroes (founders of cities), and emperors, implies that the word κιστῆς underlines the material procedure of the foundation of the city ("bâisseur"), whereas οἰκιστῆς underlines the settlement of population ("colonisateur").

72) J.M. FOSSEY, A Dedication from Thisbe, Wrongly Assigned to Khorsiai, in Boeotia Antiqua, 2, p. 47-51 [BE 1993, 63]: Rededication of a dedication to Artemis Soteira (SEG 36, 420, ea. 2nd cent. BC), which should be assigned to Thisbe, not to the Chorsiai. The cult of Artemis Soteira was already attested for Thisbe (Hell., imp.); the goddess was probably worshipped only in the two larger cities of southwest Boiotia (Thebais and Thisbe), but was also diffused in the neighbouring areas to the South-East and in Megaris. [ES]

74) D. FRENCH, *Amasian Notes 2*, in *EA*, 20 (1992), p. 63-68 [BE 1994, 577, 579-581]: Ed. pr. of the gravestone of Helonios (Amasea, AD 252/3) which attests the month-name Neronios, previously unrecorded in the Amaseian calendar. The other four month-names attested in Amaseia are also related to Augustus’ family: Sebastos, Agripppeos, Oktavios, Aphrodiseos (-eos for -aioi). The next parallels of these month-names can be found in the Cypriote calendar introduced in 15 BC (Sebastos, Agripppeios, Livaioi, Oktavios, Louaios?, Neronios, Drusaios, Aphrodisisaios, Anchisaios, Romaios, Almeaios, Kapetellos). These observations permit the restoration of the month-name Aphrodisos in another text. F. restores the text *Studia Pontica* III 1, 189 l. 6-8 as μ(ηνος) Σεβαστος ἡ(μερη) / θ' πρὸ Μητρος / Θεόν. Obviously one day was devoted to Meter Theon. Another text records an ημερα “Ῥοδης in the month Agripppeos, perhaps a reference to a flooding; this concern for the weather is also attested in the cult of Αἴθρη Ἀλεξιχάλαζος (*Studia Pontica* III.1, 114a). [On days named after a deity, a festival, or a cult see, e.g., supra n° 12: ‘the day of Apollon’ (40)].

75) S.S. FRERE - R.S.O. TOMLIN, *The Roman Inscriptions of Britain. Volume II. Instrumentum Domesticum. Fascicule 4*, Stroud, 1992: The corpus of the inscriptions on instrumentum domesticum found in Britain contains a few Greek texts of religious importance. The words θε[σ]ι and θε[ος] and [τε]ρπιβιί may be restored on fragments of a wallplaster (2447.18 b, c, Tripontium). Also notice the inscription ΜΑΤΡΙ (Marti) on a small circular object of mudstone with the representation of a figure wearing a wreath and armed with shield and sword, obviously Mars (2453.3, Newtown, Powys). The dedicant of the mosaic inscription in the temple of Nodens (2448.3 = CIL VII 137 add. p. 314, Lydney Park) T. Flavius Senilis may have had the title præapositis religiuntis (not (re(llicationis) as suggested by TH. MOMMSEN); he was assisted by the dream interpreter Victorinus. The mosaic of the Nine Muses in a private house at Isurium preserves the Greek inscription Ἑληνά (2448.5 = IG XIV 2549).

*76) J.G. GAGER, *Curse Tablets and Binding Spells from the Ancient World*, New York-Oxford, 1992 [BE 1993, 104]: Collection of 168 translations of curse tablets and spells, preserved on Greek and Latin inscriptions from the entire Mediterranean and in Graeco-Roman papyri, with brief commentaries, rich illustration, a glossary, and a very informative introduction on Greek and Roman defixiones (materials used, types of messages, gods invoked in spells and curses, use of ‘voodoo dolls’, depositing of curse tablets, Near Eastern and Egyptian parallels). The collection includes curses concerning competition in theater and circus, love, political and legal disputes, economic conflicts, appeals to justice [cf. BBGR 1991, 261], antidotes, and counterspells.

77) M. GARCIA TEJIEIRO, *Langage orgiastique et glossolalie*, in *Kernos*, 5 (1992), p. 59-69; Study of the use of ‘pseudo-words’ and crys in the context of orgiastic and mystery cults, divination, and magic, with reference to literary sources, magical papyri, and inscriptions (e.g., an inscribed mirror from Olbia, ca. 500: Διαμάνασον Ἀγάθιο εἶναι καὶ Δήμαρχο Δομικλό εἶναι) [for this text see H.S. VERSNEL, *infra* n° 234 p. 140]. With regard to the use of a jargon by secret associations G. refers to the inscribed kantharos from the Theban Kabeireion (5th cent.) with representations of Mitos, Kratelya, and Protolaos, pointing out the association between weaving and procreation [for Orphic elements in this representation see most recently BBGR 1991, 168].

78) D.J. GARGOLA, *Grain Distribution and the Revenue of the Temple of Hera on Samos*, in *Phoenix*, 46 (1992), p. 12-28 [BE 1993, 392]: G. argues that the Samian law concerning free grain distribution to the citizens (Syll.3 967, 3rd/2nd cent.) did not aim at preserving the standard of living of citizens, but at simplifying the financial administration of the Heraion. This view is based on a series of observations: The Samian grain fund concerned only grain from the Samian territory, and thus it could not ameliorate a grain shortage in Samos itself. The law neither maximized the amount of grain available nor did it establish a fixed price. The interest from the estimated amount of the fund (ca. 50,000 drachmas) couldn’t have provided more
than 5,000 drachmas annually for the purchase of grain (less than 1,000 medimnoi); consequently, the monthly ration of two metra (chotithes rather than medimnoi) distributed to the citizens would have provided subsistence for no more than 2 days. Consequently, the law could have never provided a significant amount of grain for the population. G. finds a clue for the interpretation of the text in the provision that grain was to be bought primarily from the grain delivered to Hera as title for the cultivation of the region Anaia (5% of the produce) (for this practice cf. supra n* 3). Only after the grain of the goddess had been bought could the remaining money be used for secondary purchase of grain. With this system the revenue paid to Hera in kind (in grain) could be converted into money. Precaution was taken to establish a price not lower than 5 dr and 2 obols. [This ingenious interpretation remains too hypothetical, given the fragmentary preservation of the text and the fact that we know neither the size of the population of Samos nor if the grain was distributed to all the citizens. The recipients could have been defined in a lost portion of the text. Furthermore, the estimation of the amount of the fund is hypothetical, as is the identification of the metron with the chotithes, and not with the medimnoi. See also the remarks of Ph. GAUTHIER, in BE 1993, 392].

*79) R. GARLAND, *Introducing New Gods: The Politics of Athenian Religion*, Ithaca, 1992: G. studies the introduction of new cults in Athens in the 5th cent. BC, i.e., between the Persian Wars and Sokrates' trial. In this period, the cult of Pan was introduced from Arkadia after the battle at Marathon, Thermistokles established the cult of Artemis Aristoboule after the victory at Salamis, Theseus' cult was supported by Kimon, the cults of Bendis and Asklepios were introduced in the late 5th cent., and finally Sokrates was condemned for the introduction of xarivn δαμάων. [For the significance of Theseus for the Athenian collective memory and identity, see C. CALAME, *Thésée et l'imaginaire athénien*, Lausanne, 1990, not used by G. For a critical appraisal of recent works on Theseus and his worship in Athens see D. VIVIERS, *Thésée l'Athénien. À propos de quelques ouvrages récents*, in AC, 62 (1993), p. 239-245; for the cult of Bendis in Athens see C. MONTEPAONE, *Bendis tracta ad Ateni: L'integrazione del "nuovo" attraverso forme dell'ideologia*, in Mélanges Lévêque, 6, p. 201-219; infra n* 234]. G. focuses on the political background of the introduction of these cults (thanksgiving for victories, contribution to national feelings, imperialistic propaganda), demonstrating the increasing interference of the demos in the regulation of religious matters. G. argues that because of the people's authority to approve the entry of new cults, persons introducing new cults without official approval were liable to prosecution; he also claims that the arrival of new gods in Athens often had a profound impact upon their status throughout the Greek world (Pan, Asklepios). [For the shaky evidence for the last two claims see B. JORDAN'S review, in *APhB*, 115 (1994), p. 132]. I focus here only on a few aspects of the book, for which G. exploits the epigraphic evidence. a) G. suggests that the reorganization of the Herakleia of Marathon (*IG* I3 3 = SEG XXXIV 1, ea. 5th cent.) may have been decreed shortly after the battle of Marathon in acknowledgement of Herakles' contribution to the Athenian victory (p. 57). In the same period the cult of Nemesis in Rhamnous, to the north of Marathon, reminded the Athenians of the Persian hybris. b) G. interprets the Athenian decree concerning the Praxiergidai and their duties in the festivals Plynteria and Kallynteria (*IG* I3 7) as an early example of the people's rising power in religious matters; he suggests that the decree was passed in order to resolve a dispute between the demos and the Praxiergidai concerning the latter's prerogatives (p. 100-102). A further example of public intervention in the running of a possibly gentilician cult is the decree which opened the priesthood of Athena Nike to all Athenian women (*IG* I3 35, c. 448, p. 102f.). c) The examples which demonstrate how Athenian cults served the foreign policy of imperialist Athens (p. 106-109) include the decree concerning the contribution of Erythrai to the Panathenaia (*IG* I3 14), the evidence for the introduction of the cult of Αθηνα Αθηναίων μονήσωρα in Samos, Kos, and Chalkis, and the decree regulating the offering of first-fruits to Eleusis (*IG* I3 78). d) G. discusses the introduction of the cult of Asklepios in Athens (420 BC) against the background of the plague which afflicted Athens in the first years of the Peloponnesian War; he underlines its connection to the cult of the Eleusinian goddesses and its gradual transformation to a public cult. [The discussion of Asklepios' cult is characterized by several mistakes and a very limited use of the most recent bibliography. G. ignores the books of G. SOLIMANO, *Asclepio, le aree del mito*, Genova, 1976 and
A. KRUG, *Heilkunst und Heilkult*, Munich, 1985. As regards the probable origin of Asklepios' cult in Thessaly (p. 116-118) see, e.g., A. SEMERIA, *Per un consimeto degli Asklepietia della Grecia continentale et delle isole*, in ASNP, 16 (1986), p. 931-958; C. BENEDUM, Asklepios - Der homereische Arzt und der Gott von Epidaurus, in RBM, 133 (1990), p. 210-226; on the introduction of the cult in Athens, ibid. p. 222-224. On the question of Apollo Maleatas in Epidaurus (p. 117). G. does not take into consideration the recent research on the Asklepieion and the sanctuary of Apollo Maleatas (see, the annual reports of V. LAMPRINOUĐAKIS, in PAAH). The assertion that "only at Epidaurus did grateful patients set up lengthy inscriptions recording their cure in minute detail" is wrong; similar practices are attested from the Asklepieia at Lebena (*L.Cret. I*, xvii 8, 17-19), Kos, and Trikka (*Strab.*, VIII, 6, 15, XIV, 2, 19). G. speculates on "the possible existence of an extensive network of sanctuaries dedicated to the god and his entourage throughout Attica" (p. 133), with no reference to the evidence for Asklepios' sanctuaries in Acharnai, Prospaltai (A. MILCHHÖFER, in *MDAI* (A), 13, 1888, p. 284, 339; F. KUTSCH, *Attische Götter und Heilberen*, Giessen 1913, p. 118), Sounion (*IG II* 2, 1181, late 4th cent.), and Pentele (*SEG* 28, 229). Asklepios' cult was not the only cult of a healing deity introduced to Athens after the great plague; see LOUKIAN., *Skythes* 1-2, for the cult of Toxaris. On the relation of Asklepios to Demeter see C. BENEDUM, *Asklepios und Demeter. Zur Bedeutung weiblicher Gottheiten für den frühen Asklepiosbund*, in *JdI*, 101 (1986), p. 137f. For Telemachos' monument see A. CHANIOTIS, *Historie und Historiker in den griechischen Inschriften*, Stuttgart, 1988, p. 220-222. For recent work on the Athenian Asklepieion see supra n° 1 and *EBGR* 1989, 3; 1991, 2, 3. The sanctuaries of Asklepios are discussed in the (unpublished) dissertation of J. RIETHMÜLLER, *Asklepieia. Heiligtümer und Kulte einer griechischen Heilgottheit*, Heidelberg, 1994, which I was able to consult for this review. In the case of Sokrates' trial, G. convincingly argues that Sokrates' philosophical and religious views were equally responsible for his conviction as his political attitudes (for charges of asebeia in Athens see H.S. VERSNEL, *InfrA* n° 234, p. 123-131). In a few instances G. considers epigraphic parallels outside Attika, e.g., on epiphany of gods which lead to the introduction of their cult (Sarapis in Delos and Opous; *IG* XI 4, 1299; *IG* X.2.1, 255) and on the consultation of oracles (p. 14-22).

80) T. GESZTELYI, *Zur Deutung der sogenannten Grylloi*, in *Acta Classica Universitatis Scientiarum Debreceniensis*, 28 (1992), p. 83-90: G. discusses the 'mask-animal gems', i.e., gems with representations of various body parts combined with silen masks ('grylloi'). A close study of the iconography and the inscriptions (e.g., εὔηη, εὔκλητεςτος, εὔξηςτος) shows that these gems fulfilled a variety of functions (apotropaic, benedictory, love-magic). G. finds close parallels between the representations and the contemporary love poetry (esp. with regard to the symbolic significance of animals). The representations did not aim at depicting a specific daemon, but rather combined a variety of components according to the specific symbolism intended by their purchaser.

81) F. GHINATTI, *Dedica votiva sicelota*, in *Sileno*, 18 (1992), p. 67-74: Ed. pr. of a lead tablet from Palma di Montechiaro (territory of Akragas, ca. 3rd cent. BC) with a dedicatory inscription addressed to Herakles: [---]ρης ταρκθεθα μ/ατος αυτοπεν ν/αρ τ/η Ήρακλει. G. assumes that the dedicant dedicated his image in a sanctuary of Herakles, whose cult is attested in this area. The verb παρακρηθεθα is used in the similar texts from Herakleia (*SEG* 30, 1163-1164) (for their interpretation see *InfrA* n° 199).

82) P. GHIRON-BISTAGNE, *Un autel massaliote de Zeus Patroôs*, in *Marseille grecque*, p. 151-154: Ed. pr. of an altar dedicated to Zeus Patroôs by a cult association (Massalia, 1st/2nd cent.). The name of the association (Κασίλινα = Κασίλινα οι περί Άκην των Πυθοκρίτων, 'the brothers together with Lykes, the son of Pythokritos') indicates that its members claimed common descent.

83) Z. GOČEVA, *Le culte d’Apollo*, in *DHA*, 18 (1992), p. 163-171: G. argues that Apollo's cult was introduced in Thrace approximately in the same period as it was introduced in mainland Greece. However, in Thrace his worship was influenced by local factors, and the god was associated with local deities, e.g., Derainos in Abdera, Kendreisos in Philippopolis, and the local
Thracian hero. Apollon's epithet Aularkenos probably characterizes the god as protector of the household. [ES]

84) F. Graf, *An Oracle Against Pestilence from a Western Anatolian Town*, in ZPE, 92 (1992), p. 267-279. G. republishes the metrical oracle of Apollon found in Ephesos (SEG 41, 981; EBGR 1991, 160). I give G.'s translation: "... For Help, you have to look to Artemis with the golden quiver, born from my family; for she is the ancestral leader of the entire town from its origin, midwife and augmenter of mortals, giver of harvest. Her form bring in from Ephesus, brilliant with gold. Put her up in a temple, full of joy; she will provide deliverance from your affliction and will dissolve the poison (or: magic) of pestilence, which destroys men, and will melt down with her flame-bearing torches in nightly fire the kneaded works of wax, the signs of the evil art of a sorcerer. But when you have performed for the goddess my decrees, worship with hymns the shooter of arrows, the irresistible, straight shooting one, and with sacrifices, her, the renowned and vigilant virgin; and during dancing and feasting, you girls together with the boys, above the salty lands of Maenian Hermus, praising her in every respect wear crowns of large myrtle, having called from the Ephesian land the pure Artemis, in order that she might always be to you an unfailing helper. If you should not fulfill the rites, then you will pay the penalty of fire*. The god, after describing the properties of his sister as protector of birth and fertility, prescribes the actual course of action. A statue of the goddess (probably bearing two torches, as depicted on coins of Ephesos) was to be brought to the town from Ephesos in order to avert the plague. Then the city should perform a thanksgiving ritual (probably in the sanctuary of Artemis Koloene). G. identifies the plague with the one of AD 165/6, the petitioner with Sardis, and the oracle with that of Klaros, which is known to have advised the averter of plague through the erection of statues (*cf. infra n° 67*). The two torches show that the goddess was worshipped as Artemis Soteira or Phosphoros, who was often regarded as averter of evil. The golden statue recalls the use of fire for averting evil. This is an isolated example for the use of fire to undo black magic by the Greeks: Artemis' torches were supposed to burn the waxen figurines ('voodoo-dolls'), with which an evil sorcerer (μάγος) was believed to have caused the plague. The text offers an interesting example for the averting of a crisis caused by magic through recourse to divine help.


86) A.J. Graham, *Abdera and Teos*, in *JHS*, 112 (1992), p. 44-73. G. discusses the political implications of those passages of the Tean Imprecations (SEG 31, 985) (*cf. infra n° 197*) which refer to Abdera. The fact that Teos legislated for Abdera implies some merging of the political identities of the two cities.

87) W. Günther, *Athmetisches Bürgerrecht für Theoren aus Milet*, in EA, 19 (1992), p. 135-143. G. pr. of an Athenian honorific decree for Milesian theoroi (Miletos, ca. 180/160). The sacred embassy of Miletos to Athens consisted of five theoroi and the architheoros Hermophantos, the son of the prominent politician Lichas. Hermophantos was already known as member of another Milesian theoria to Athens (*EBGR 1991, 93*). The Milesian theoroi were honoured with crowns, citizenship, and announcement of these honours in the festivals of the Dionysia in Athens and in Miletos. G. collects the epigraphic evidence for the Milesian Dionysia and comments on the religious relations between Athens and Miletos (cult of Athena, kinship, sending of theoroi).

88) Ch. Habicht, *Athens and the Ptolemies*, in *ClAnt*, 11 (1992), p. 68-90. G. discusses briefly the nature and date of the Ptolemaia in Alexandria (p. 70 n. 10), esp. in view of the information included in the honorific decree for Kallias (SEG 28, 60). It suggests that the Ptolemaia were originally a one-time celebration, part of the funeral ceremonies for Ptolemy I (282 BC); Ptolemy II
transformed them into a pentaeteric festival in 280 or 279 BC. The revival of the Panathenaia should be dated in 282 BC. H. also presents the evidence for the participation of members of the house of the Ptolemies in the Panathenaia (in horse and chariot races) in the 2nd cent. (p. 78).

89) H. Harrauer, Soubrom, Abrasax, Jabwe u.a. aus Syrien, in Tyche, 7 (1992), p. 39-44 [BE 1993, 107]: 1) Ed. pr. of a magical gem from Syria. The inscription ΣΟΥΒΡΟΜΟ-ΩΧΩAΧΑΝΩΝ[...][A] is probably a Latin text written with Greek characters: σουβρομ (retrograde for μυρβος, i.e., μυρβους, (h)αν o (h)ας a non τινος(α) or non τινα); an alternative reading of the last words is ουχ ου (magical words) άνων[ν]ητα (ineffectual). The text is arranged in such a way that the letters Α and Ω occupy the central position. 2) Ed. pr. of a gem with the texts Ἀθήνη, Ουράνια, Σεβαστήθος (side B). 3) Ed. pr. of a clay seal with the text ΙΕΗΟ ἄρχιγνης, written in the periphery, and ΧΩΠΝ for χρόνων ("Jahwe, creator of the times").

90) D. Harris, Bronze Statues on the Athenian Acropolis. The Evidence of a Lycourgan Inventory, in AJA, 96 (1992), p. 637-652 [BE 1993, 89]: H. argues that the inventory IG II2 1498-1501A, a list of bronze statues, was a catalogue of dedications removed from the Akropolis in order to be melted into new cult equipment under the responsibility of the treasurers of Athena. [Not all the objects listed in this inventory were necessarily made of bronze. H. assumes that the stelai mentioned in IG II2 1498 A col. 11.6, 8-10 were made of bronze (p. 639); but since their material is not specified, we should rather expect common stone stelai. Since the material of certain objects is specified IG II 1498 B col. II 66: χαλκός εξειμένηθης], 1501 A col. II 13: το χαλκοῦν, shouldn't we assume that not all the object were made of the same material? Otherwise the differentiation makes no sense. As can be inferred from the detailed description of the statues, many of them were damaged. The list may be part of the measures taken on the initiative of Lykurgos for the reorganization of the Akropolis in the 330s BC. The inventory mentions a large number of statues of children holding animals and objects; this kind of dedication is unknown from the archaic Akropolis, but seems to have been common in the 4th cent.; these statues may have stood in the shrine of Artemis Brauronia. [If this was the case, why doesn't the inventory mention any statues of girls?]. The statues were probably full-size. Except for statues of children, the inventory mentions three Palladia and statues of nude or dressed, bearded men. The prosopography of the dedicants shows that they belonged to various social strata. H. gives a very conservative edition and translation of the inventory. [Since several standard formulas are used in this inventory, substantially more restorations are possible; e.g., the standard expression ἀποστεῖται ὃτι εἴρην ἐν τῇ χερή τῆς ἁρπαστῆλα or τῇ δεξίῃ, or τῶν χερῶν may be restored in IG II2 1499 col. II 7, 9, 10; 1500 A col. I 9f., col. II 19; 1498 B col. II 47, 62, 68, 70,72f., 76, 79; 1500 B col. I 33f., 35f., 37f.; 1501 A col. II 6, 11]. In IG II2 1500 A col. II 16 we can restore διανεμομε[λη].

91) W.V. Harris, An Inscription Recording a Proconsul's Visit to Samothrace in 165 AD, in AJPh, 113 (1992), p. 71-79: H. reedits an inscription found in Samothrace (BE 1966, 342) which records the visit of the proconsul of Macedonia P. Anteus Orestes, six of his friends, and several slaves, who were probably initiated in the local mysteries. The text dates from May 1st, AD 165 (May = Mounychion in the local calendar).

92) M.B. Hatzoopoulos - L.D. Loukopoulos, Recherches sur les marches orientales des Téménides (Anthémonte - Kalindoia). Tère Partie, Athens, 1992 [SEG 40, 542; BE 1993, 365]: Corpus of the inscriptions of Anthemous and Kalindoia (Chalkidike). M.-L. discuss in detail the Macedonian expansion in this area, the literary sources, the political institutions, the cults (p. 64f.), and the origin of the population. New texts are marked with an asterisk. Anthemous: A honnorific decree was to be set up in the sanctuary of Zeus (Al * , 2nd cent. BC). Dedications to Demeter (A3, ca. 2nd cent. BC), Sarapis, Isis, and Anubis (A6 = SIRIS 112, 1st cent. BC, by a Roman as χαριστήριον), Sarapis, Isis, and Harpokrates (A7*, 1st cent. BC, by a priestess), the Theot Agoraios (A4*, 106/5 BC, by two agoranomoi after their term of office), Zeus Hypsistos (A5, 2nd cent. BC). Kalindoia: The priest of Zeus, Dea Ioma, and Augustus Apollonios (K2 = BE 1987, 688; SEG 35,744) is honoured for his benefactions; he funded the monthly sacrifices to Zeus and Augustus, the annual festival (πανήγυρις) of Zeus and Augustus (with sacrifices, procession, and agon), and an ἔγκλημα of Augustus [on the use of this word, see EBGR 1988, 85]. H.-L. republish with
an historical and prosopographical commentary the list of priests of Asklepios, eponymous priests of the city (K31 = SEG 36, 626; cf. EBGR 1988, 64). Dedications to Apollo Pythios and Artemis Hegemone (K3*, late 2nd cent., with representations of Apollo Kitharodos and Artemis Kynegos), Dionysos to Ἄρτεμις κατέχον (K5*, 70 AD, by a slave κατ' ἐντοίχον), Hermes (K4, 2nd/1st cent.); dedication of an altar for a temple (K6, 1st/2nd cent., notice the name Mysta). Notice the use of the term Ἰππαρχος for deceased persons in honorific and grave inscriptions (AB8*, A12, K15*, K16, K17*, K18, K19*). In an appendix H.-L. republish with topographical commentary the boundary description EBGR 1990, 316 (3rd/2nd cent. BC; BE 1990, 481) which mentions sanctuaries of Hermes, the Dioskouroi, and Artemis.

93) R.A. HAZZARD, Did Ptolemy I get his Surname from the Rhodians in 304?, in ZPE, 93 (1992), p. 52-56 [BE 1993, 379]: According to PAUS., 1, 8, 6 Ptolemy was given the name Soter by the Rhodians in 304 BC, but a close study of other statements of Pausanias on the Ptolemies reveals his unreliability as a source. Neither does Diodorus mention this surname among the honours bestowed on Ptolemy by the Rhodians, nor do the Rhodian inscriptions apply this epiklesis, nor does there exist other evidence that an epiklesis was attached to Ptolemy's name in 304 (or during his lifetime).

94) M.-C. HELLMANN, Recherches sur le vocabulaire de l'architecture grecque d'après les inscriptions de Délos, Athens-Paris, 1992 [BE 1993, 54]: H.'s important contribution to the study of Greek architecture assembles all the architectural terms attested in the inscriptions of Delos. Besides the discussion of terms related to the architecture and decoration of temples (p. 268-271) the book contains an analysis of many terms of religious significance, i.e., ἱερατευμόν (p. 22-25), the terms related to altars (βαιοῦς, ἵερα, θυμίες, et sim., p. 72-79), κατακτόριον (p. 188-192, place for the lodging of worshippers), νυμφαῖον (p. 272f.), ὁδός (in the meaning 'temple', 'shrine', p. 303f.); παντοφόρον (in the context of the cult of Egyptian deities, p. 327-329), and τάμενος (p. 169-172).

95) P. HERRMANN, Epigraphische Notizen 4-9, in EA, 20 (1992), p. 69-73 [BE 1993, 167, 481; 1994, 473, 486, 571]: H. argues that the expression ἵερος στέφανος found commonly in grave inscriptions of Kyzikos does not mean that the deceased was awarded a crown annually (E SCHWERTHEIM's view, see EBGR 1990, 275), but that this honour was proclaimed annually (p. 71). H. restores the expression ἰερὸς θεός in a grave inscription from Hadrianeia (I.Hadrianea 179); the deceased is compared to a god (further examples: BE 1964, 596) [and supra n° 12].

96) P. HERZ, Asiarchen und Archierai, in Tyche, 7 (1992), p. 93-115 [SEG 40, 1197]: H.'s thorough study of high priestesses of Asia leaves no doubt that asiarchai and archierai were not separate officials; while the title archierai underlined the magistrate's function as high priest of the provincial emperor cult, the title asiarchai designated him as president of the provincial council. H. argues convincingly that the function of an archiereia became necessary after Drusilla's death (AD 38), when Drusilla's cult was integrated to the imperial cult; Iuliane was the first woman to become archiereia of Asia (I.Magnesia 158). H. also reconstructs the stemma of a family in Kibyra, whose members served as asiarchai and archierai and points out that the title of archiereia was not necessarily given to the wife of the archiereus, but sometimes to his sister or daughter (p. 102) for mother; cf. infra n° 244; also see the remarks of H.W. PLEKET, in SEG 40, 1198).

97) B. HINTZEN-BOHLEN, Herrscherrepräsentation im Hellenismus. Untersuchungen zu Weihgaben, Stiftungen und Ehrenmonumenten in den mutterländischen Heiligtümern Delphi, Olympia, Delos und Dodona, Köln-Weimar-Wien, 1992: The self-representation of Greek cities through dedications in sanctuaries is well attested from the late 6th cent. BC on; this phenomenon became very common after the Persian Wars and formed an important element of self-representation of kings and koina also in the late classical and in the Hell. period. H. collects the respective material (monuments, inscriptions, literary testimonia) for the sanctuaries of Delos, Delphi, Olympia, and Dodona, i.e., honours awarded to kings, members of their families, and officers (esp. statues), royal dedications and foundations, victory monuments, dedications of war booty, and festivals established for or by Hellenistic kings (Delos: Antigoneia
and Demetrieia founded by the Koinon of the Islanders, Ptolemaia, Philadelephia, Philateiareia, Antigoneia, Strattonikeia, Paneia, Soteira, Demetrieia, Theurgesia, Philippia, Attaleia; Delphi: Attaleia, recognition of the Nikephoria in Pergamon and the Eumeneia in Sardis).


99) M.C. HOFF, *Augustus, Apollo, and Athens*, in *MH*, 49 (1992), p. 223-232: Ed. pr. of a lead token with a representation of the laurel-crowed head of Apollon, the six-rayed star representing the comet or star which heralded the apotheosis of Caesar, and the inscription ΚAIΣAP, i.e., Octavian (Athens, ca. 31 BC). The token may have alluded to Octavian’s victory at Actium, representing Apollon Aktios. The inscription probably identified Octavian with Apollon; H. presents ample evidence for Octavian’s association with this god, which may have been a response to Antonius’ association with Dionysos. In Athens Octavian was honoured as Neos Apollon and his birthday (12 Boedromion) was celebrated in connection with Apollon’s birthday (7 Boedromion). The use of the lead token is not known; it may have been exchanged for free gifts (e.g., grain).

100) G.H.R. HORSLEY, *The Mysteries of Artemis Ephesia in Pisidia. A New Inscribed Relief*, in *AS*, 42 (1992), p. 119-150 [BE 1993, 95]: Ed. pr. of an honorific inscription for Trokondas, priest κατὰ διαδοχὴν διὰ γένους of Artemis Ephesia, and his daughter Artemis who succeeded him, while he was still alive, as priestess of Artemis (Kremona, 1st c. AD). Trokondas’ family had provided the temple and the statue of Artemis; Trokondas watched over the mysteries of Artemis (1. 5-8: τετρακοτάτως ἄγνως καὶ θεοπρεπῶς τῷ εὐρεθέντα / καὶ παρασκευάζων ιεροτελὴ μνηστήρια τῆς θεᾶς) and developed them further. The verb εὑρεθέντα implies that the mysteries were introduced after the discovery of an item, e.g., a written document (‘HimmelsbrieO, probably in the Ist c. BC. The priest is represented in relief, wreathed, offering a libation in front of the temple and the statue of the seated goddess. He was succeeded by his daughter Artemis, probably because he had no surviving son. H. offers a thorough commentary focusing on the hereditary priesthoods, the theophoric name Artemis, which the priest of Artemis gave his daughter, the spread of Artemis Ephesia in Asia Minor (esp. in Termessos and Kremona), the links between the officials of the Eleusinian mysteries and the sacred officials at Ephesos, the association of Artemis with Kybele, and the mysteries of Artemis Ephesia (with a list of all the epigraphic attestations). H. discusses the wording of the text (παρακλαμάναι ιεροτελείᾳ, κατὰ διαδοχὴν ἱερείσις, ἄγνως καὶ θεοπρεπῶς, ιεροτελὴ μνηστήρια, the latter attested only here) [for ἄγνως in the context of mystery cults cf. *EBGR* 1990, 54].

Following a suggestion made by G. PETZL, H. corrects the reading of a dedication to Artemis Epekoos (SEG 19, 802); the dedieant was Ἁρτεμις/αἰειδός ἱερόδολος Chrysippus, who dedicated a temple for Artemis at Kremona (*SEG* 37, 1175; *EBGR* 1987, 47), was probably holding a priesthood involving the mysteries of Artemis, as H. infers from the epithet πανωγῆς, well attested in Athens in the context of the Eleusinian mysteries.

101) C. IČTEN - H. ENGELMANN, *Inschriften aus Ephesos und Umgebung*, in *ZPE*, 91 (1992), p. 283-295: Ed. pr. of a dedication of an altar to Aphrodite Epekoos (3, Ephesos, no date). Ed. pr. of several grave inscriptions mentioning funerary constructions, i.e., βαμβάκι (20), ἱμάτιον (15, 22, 27, 32), οἶκος (27), ὀστοθήκη (26, 29, 30), περίβολος (15, 22, 29), πύλαιος (23), στυρά (15, 23, 27, 29), ἡμισάριον (22), and σολάριον (15, 27, i.e., terrace).

102) L. INNOCENTE, *La tribù dei Dordopes*, in *PP*, 47 (1992), p. 446-454: I. discusses the dedication of the phyle of the Dordopes to an Archegetes (Paros, 4th cent. BC, *SEG* 33, 687). The ethnic name Dordopes belongs to a group of ethnic names well attested in Northern Greece. The dedication of the Dordopes commemorated their coming to Paros, Archegetes being the founder of their colony. [ES]
103) B. IPLIKÇIOGLU in Zusammenarbeit mit G. ÇELGIN und A. VEDAT ÇELGIN, *Epigraphische Forschungen in Termessos und seinem Territorium I (SB Akad. Wien, 575)*, Wien, 1991 [BE 1992, 511; SEG 41, 1256, 1263-1265, 1268-1272, 1274, 1277, 1278, 1280-1282]: Ed. pr. of 24 inscriptions from Termessos in Pisidia, including a dedication to Hadrian called Olympios (1), an honorific inscription for a winner in wrestling in the agon Sebastea Solymeia (3, 2nd/3rd cent.), the epitaph of a priest of Zeus (18, 2nd cent. AD), and a dedication to Artemis (6, ca. 2nd cent. AD); notice here the verb ἐγκροτεῖν denoting the gilding of the statue. Grave inscriptions mention fines payable to Zeus Solymeus in case of violation of the grave (7, 9, 17, 19, 20, 21, 2nd-3rd cent. AD; there are ca. 250 attestations of fines payable to this god. Other grave inscriptions (2nd-5th cent. AD) mention the crime of τυμβοβοροχία (9, 13, 16) and fines payable to the city (8, 13, 19) or the fiscus (10, 16). According to a grave inscription the deceased had ordered the burning of his body (11, 3rd cent AD; cf. TAM III 356, 562, 689). [C. Brixhe - A. Panayotou, in BE 1992, 511 read καύσαν δὲ ως ἐπέφρασα (not δέχασα). A joker erased the verb εὔλαξε on a grave inscription (23 l. 2, 3rd cent. AD?) and replaced it with the verb εὔλαμησε ("get mad").

104) B. IPLIKÇIOGLU - G. ÇELGIN - A. VEDAT ÇELGIN, *Epigraphische Forschungen in Termessos und seinem Territorium II (SB Akad. Wien, 583)*, Wien, 1992 [SEG 41, 1259, 1266, 1267, 1285-1290, 1294]: Ed. pr. of 16 inscriptions (imp.). An inscription (1, ca. 200) commemorates the victory of a boy in wrestling in the commemorative agon for Ti. Claudius Varro and Asklepiodotos; the agon took place under the priesthood of their parents who also functioned as gymnasiarchoi. Their father Timodoros was already attested as priest of Zeus Solymeus for lifetime. Also notice dedications to the local god Kakasbos (3) and an anonymous deity (4) and the epitaph of a priest [of Zeus Solymeus?] (10). Grave inscriptions mention the crime of τυμβοβοροχία (8, 11) and fines for violation of graves payable to Zeus Solymeus (6, 7, 10) and the demos (9, εἴς ἀσφάλειαν τῶν τετράχων, 15).

105) B. IPLIKÇIOGLU - G. ÇELGIN - A. VEDAT CELGIN, *Neue Inschriften aus Nord-Lykiien I (SB Akad. Wien, 584)*, Wien, 1992 [cf. SEG 41, 1365, 1370, 1371, 1375, 1376]: Ed. pr. of 15 inscriptions: A honorific inscription for the high priest of the emperor cult M. Aurelius Kiliortes (4, Komba?, under Constantine I) is one of the latest attestations of the emperor cult in the 4th cent. AD. An amphilophylax and priest of Zeus Olympios made a thanksgiving dedication to Zeus Epekoos ἐπὶ τῇ γένουσθν υἱοῦ εὐφορία (7, ca. 3rd cent. AD, near Elmali). Also notice an honorific inscription for a priest of Zeus Olympios (5, Terponella?, 2nd cent. AD), and a dedication to the Dioskouroi (8, near Elmali, imp.), Herakles (9, near Elmali), and the θεοὶ σύννοιτω καὶ σύμβοι (10, near Elmali).

106) S. ISAGER, *Sacred Animals in Classical and Hellenistic Greece*, in *Economics of Cult*, p. 15-19: I. collects the epigraphic evidence for livestock owned by sanctuaries (Amorgos, Tegea, Delphi, Delos?) and illuminates the various uses of sacred animals; besides their use in sacrifices and processions, the animals contributed to the income of sanctuaries (through sale of animals, of wool, etc.). [On the inscription from Tegea (LSCG 67) see now I. Dubois, *Recherches sur le dialecte arcadien*, Louvain, 1986, vol. II, p. 20-34. On Delos sew the objections of J. Tréheux, in EBGR 1991, 243. To the epigraphical evidence for sacred animals add I.Cret. II,xi 3 l. 9f. (Diktynnion, Crete, 6 BC), which attests the leasing of unfree shepherds and oxen through the sanctuary and the selling of wool.

107) S. ISAGER, *Sacred and Profane Ownership of Land*, in B. Wells (ed.), *Agriculture in Ancient Greece*. Proceedings of the Seventh International Symposium at the Swedish Institute at Athens, 16-17 May 1990, Stockholm, 1992, p. 119-122: I. studies some economic and legal aspects related to the ownership of land by sanctuaries. The revenues from the leasing of the sacred land were used for religious purposes (e.g., celebration of festivals). I. argues that the sacred land was liable to taxation when sold or leased. (The scanty evidence neither supports this conclusion nor permits generalizations).
108) A. JACQUEMIN, *Thyia et Castalte*, in Delphes, p. 167-175: The exact location of Thyia, a place name mentioned in Delphic inscriptions, is not known. J. rejects the identification of Thyia/Thyiai with Thystion (AESCH. III, 122). The mythological traditions concerning Thyia, daughter of Kastalios, associate her with Kastalia, and this implies that the temenos of Thyia was located in the eastern part of the sanctuary.


*110) S.I. JOHNSTON, *Hekate Soteira: A Study of Hekate's Roles in the Chaldean Oracles and Related Literature*, Atlanta, 1990: J. portrays the prominent rôle of Hekate in the 'Chaldean Oracles' of the late 2nd cent. AD, esp. her association with the cosmic soul and her rôle in theurgy and magic (esp. in the magical papyri). Hekate was chosen to play the rôle of an intermediary between the worlds of men and of gods or between the spheres of the Sensible and the Intelligible, because of her earlier association with liminal points (e.g., crossroads, doorways, etc.) (cf. EBGR 1991, 115). Hekate's conception in late antiquity roots in her earlier nature as a guide across boundaries, esp. across the boundary between the underworld and the world of the living (p. 21-28). Some epigraphic evidence is considered in the chapter about Hekate's earlier nature, e.g. the rites performed for Hekate in the procession of the molpoi in Miletos (LSAM 50, p. 21 note 3) (cf. supra no 67) and the key-procession in Stratontikea (p. 41f.). The latter ritual is associated with Hekate's perception as a key-holder, her rôle as intermediary, and her association with passages and liminal points. On Hekate Kleidouchos see also A. KEHL, *s.v.*, in RAC 14 (1988), p. 319f. On Hekate Enodia (p. 23f.) see now EBGR 1991, 43; on Hekate Pheraia (p. 27) cf. EBGR 1990, 216. For Hekate's popularity in late antiquity cf. her invocation in alphabetical oracles: cf. EBGR 1988, 23. Her association with liminal points and her rôle as guide and intermediary is also reflected in her association with Hermes; see, e.g. I.Traites 11-12 (dedications of Hermes statues by priests of Hekate); EBGR 1990, 321 (invocation of Hermes Eriontos and Hekate in a curse).*

111) S.I. JOHNSTON, *Riders in the Sky: Cavalier Gods and Theurgic Salvation in the Second Century A.D.*, in CPh, 87 (1992), p. 303-321: To shed new light on the religious movement of the theurgists of the late 2nd cent. AD, J. studies one particular magical epiphany, that of a divinity which will manifest himself to the theurgist as a child, either covered with gold or naked, riding on a horse and shooting a bow and arrow (cf. Chald. Oracle fr. 146, an oracle probably spoken by Hekate). The epigraphic and iconographic material provides ample evidence for divine horsemen, usually conceived as saviours (e.g., the Dioskouroi, Horus/Harpocrates, Mithras, the Jewish Logos figure, the Danubian Rider, the Gallic Jupiter, and the Thracian Rider God Heron, worshipped with the epithets Theos Sozon, Epekoos, Soter, Thatamatoegous, Panthosepios, Agathopios, Katoikadios, and Propylaios). The popularity of these divine horsemen in the first three centuries AD indicates that the interest of the theurgists on this soteriological figure was part of a general religious development. The weaponry and armour of the riding divinity as described in the Chaldean oracle can be associated with the contemporary iconography, which was influenced by military movements. The attributes of this divine horseman represent useful knowledge and protection and are associated with the battle of the magician against a demonic onslaught. This example shows that the movement of the theurgists was not disconnected from other Mediterranean religious trends of the 2nd cent. AD.

112) D.R. JORDAN, *The Inscribed Lead Tablet from Phalasarna*, in ZPE, 94 (1992), p. 191-194 [BE 1993, 106]: After autopsy of the lead tablet of Phalasarna (ca. 300 BC, I.Cret. II,xvii 7), now in the National Museum at Athens, J. offers a tentative new text. According to this reconstruction the metrical text was intended to avert evil (cf. the first lines: "I bid you to flee from these houses of ours... I call on Zeus the averter of ills, Herakles the sacker of cities, Iatros, Nike, Apollon").
113) F. KAYSER, *Oreilles et couronnes. À propos des cultes de Canope*, in *BIFAO*, 91 (1992), p. 207-217 [BE 1992, 565; SEG 41, 1628-29]: The dedicatory reliefs with representations of pairs of ears, an allusion to an appeal to an ἐκτήσιος θεός, became popular under Egyptian influence. K. edits two inscribed reliefs (SB I 1603 and an unpublished piece), with representations of pairs of ears and the ater-crown, an attribute of Osiris (2nd cent. AD); the first relief was dedicated by a freedman to Isis, the other by Arrian, probably to Osiris. K. argues that these reliefs were dedicated in the sanctuary of Sarapis and Osiris at Kanopos, where Isis was also worshipped.

114) E. KEARNS, *Between God and Man: Status and Function of Heroes and their Sanctuaries*, in *Le sanctuaire grec*, p. 65-99: General presentation of the common features shared by sanctuaries of heroes, with particular focus on their similarities to sanctuaries of gods, their location (esp. near water sources or on mountains and hills), the rôle of heroes as protectors of cities, and the links between sanctuaries.


K. modifies the view of P. ROESCH (n° 47) that the diffusion of Egyptian cults in Boiotia was the result of Boiotian diplomatic contacts with the Ptolemies. The list of proxenoi and benefactors who represented their states in a festival in Boiotia (SEG 37, 388) is not necessarily related to Thebes, as suggested by V.N. BARDANI. It records the first celebration of a new agon or the re-institution of an agon, after an interruption, e.g., during the Mithridatic War [for a similar interpretation see *EBGR* 1989, 10; 1991, 13]. K. also discusses the cult of Herakles Leuktriades in Thespiai (n° 106) and the documents concerning the agon Basileia in Lebadeia (n° 157) and Charitesia in Ochomenos (n° 161).

116) A. KONTOGIANNIS, Ἰμψιος, Ποσειδών ὁ Ζύγος, in *Theocbaris*, p. 381-391 [BE 1993, 322]: K. restores the epithet Impsios in four dedicatory inscriptions addressed to Poseidon from Nikaia (ancient Chalke?, on the territory of Larisa) in Thessaly (3rd cent. BC). The epithet Impsios is epigraphically attested only in Thessaly; it means Ζύγος (HELL., s.v., cf. the epithet Ζευξάνθος, also attested for Poseidon in Thessaly) and is the Thessalian equivalent to Ἰμψιος. [ES]

117) Ch. KOUKOULI-CHRYSANTHAKI - D. MALAMIDOU, Τὸ ἱερὸ τοῦ Ἡρως Αὐλονείτη στὸ Παγγαίο, in *AEMT*, 3, p. 553-567 [BE 1992, 323; SEG 40, 539]: The sanctuary of the rider god Heros Aulonites, whose cult is known from dedicatory inscriptions, was found between Kepia and Akrobouni on the foot of Mt. Pangaion; it was in use from the Hell. period to the 4th cent. AD. As the name of the hero indicates (from αὐλάν, 'strait, passage'), he was regarded as protector of passages. Numerous graffiti on pottery (with the word ἱερ and the names of dedicators) were found in the sanctuary; in the inscription on a Hell. relief the hero is called ἐκτήσιος. Several jugs (imp.) bear sealings with the inscription ἱερος and the abbreviations ΘΕ and ΗΡ. Notice also a dedication of a Roman officer.

*118) R.S. KRAEMER, *Her Share of the Blessing: Women's Religions Among Pagans, Jews, and Christians in the Greco-Roman World*, New York-Oxford, 1992: Comprehensive study of the religious activities of women [the term 'women's religions' is inappropriate] against the background of the social constraints for women and the definition of their rôles in Greek and Roman society. K. makes extensive use of epigraphic sources in her discussion of women's devotion to Dionysos, esp. in Asia Minor (p. 36-49) [cf. infra n° 150; *EBGR* 1991, 46-47] and Isis (p. 71-79), and the religious offices held by women (p. 80-92) [cf. supra n° 50, *infra* n° 206].

119) C. KRITZAS, *Aspects de la vie politique et économique d'Argos au Ve siècle avant J.-C.*, in *Polydipsion Argos*, p. 231-240 [BE 1993, 259; SEG 41, 282, 284, 291]: K. sketches the process of democratization and the expansion of Argos in the first half of the 5th cent. BC. He mentions an unpublished bronze tablet of the late 4th cent. which concerns payments made by a collegium of magistrates ('the Twelve') to the twelve phratries, the hodelonomoi, and the two hierotheitai [on this office see *infra* n° 243; this text is mentioned in *EBGR* 1991, 134]; the
text also attests that the money from the sale of the skin of sacrificial animals was spent for the celebration of the pentaeteris, i.e., the Heraia (p. 235f.). Another unpublished inscription of the late Hell. period (p. 237-240) refers to the services of an official who managed to give back to the sanctuaries of Hera (i.e., the Argive Heraion), Herakles (near Tiryns?), Apollon Pythaeus (at Asine), and Alektryon (at Midea) their sacred land, which had been usurped by some citizens. This sacred land was probably allotted to the sanctuaries after the Argive expansion of the 5th cent. The same official had also cared for the profitable leasing of the sacred land (δαιμονία τῶν ιερῶν καὶ δημοσίας χώρας), thus securing large revenues for the city; it is obvious that the money collected from the leasing of sacred land was regarded as revenue of the city (εἰσήγησε τάν πόλει). It is not certain if Alektryon is a male name (the father of Alkmene, king of Midea) or the second name of Alkmene, Herakles’ mother. K. also mentions an unpublished boundary stone of the sanctuary of Apollon Pythaeus found near Iria (southeast of Asine, 4th cent. BC, p. 239).

120) C. Kritzas, Δύο ἐπιγράφαι ἀπὸ τοῦ Πετρὶ Νεμέας, in Theocharis, Athens, 1992, p. 398-413 [BE 1993, 256; SEG 41, 273]: Ed. pr. of two epigrams from Petri in Nemea (Kleaπί), written by Aristomenes on a monument dedicated to his ancestors Flavianus from Korinth and Salvia from Thessaly (2nd cent. AD). Salvia is claimed to have descended from Achilleus’ father, Peleas (A 1: Ἑθσαλληνὸν βάςαστα τοῦ Πηλέου Αἰαξίδωα). K. comments on the tendency to claim heroic ancestry in imp. times (p. 402). The dedicant most probably belonged to the prominent Korinthian family of the Gellil, who had friendly contacts with several scholars, like Herodes Atticus and Arrian. K. argues convincingly that APULEIUS in the Metamorphosis is alluding to the Salvia of this inscription, when he mentions a Salvia from Thessaly, the wife of a Korinthian nobleman.

121) U. Kron, Heilige Steine, in Kotinos, p. 56-70: K. sketches the significance of ‘sacred stones’ in Greek religion, focusing esp. on the aniconic representations of gods, on baetyls, meteorites, argol lithai, and pebbles dedicated to gods [cf. also C. Faraone, supra n° 67, p. 5f.]. Several ‘sacred stones’ were inscribed, e.g., the herms in Tegea, monoliths in Elea inscribed with the letters EP, probably dedications to Eros, a pebble dedicated to Aphrodite in Kamarina, the argol lithai of Antipolis dedicated to Aphrodite, the stones found near the agora of Metapontion, dedicated to various deities, esp. Apollon Lykeios. The interpretation of the latter stones is a matter of controversy (aniconic representations of gods, dedications, boundary stones?) [cf. EBGR 1991, 88]. The study of this material demonstrates that ‘sacred stones’ fulfilled a great variety of functions (aniconic representations, apotropaic symbols, dedications) and that generalisations should be avoided.

*122) U. Kron, Frauenfeste in Demeterheilig tümern: Das Thesmophorion von Bitalemi, in AA, 1992, p. 611-650: K. presents the material found in the sanctuary at Bitalemi (near Gela), which has been identified as a Thesmophorion thanks to an inscription (EBGR 1989, 29 n° 155; τιάρα Θέσμοφορο ἐκ τῶν Δικαιώς σκανδή, i.e., “sacred to Demeter Thesmophoros from the ‘tent’ of Dikaios”). The objects found here (terracottas, pottery, lamps, jewelry, pebbles, various cult and sacrificial implements, loom weights) are related to the rituals of the Thesmophoria. K. discusses in detail the literary evidence concerning the Thesmophoria, esp. in Athens, the use of ‘skena’, and the cultic prescriptions for the skena.

*123) D.G. Kyle, The Panathenaic Games: Sacred and Civic Athletics, in Goddess and City, p. 76-101: Study of the program of the Panathenaic contests and the significance of the festival for the civic identity in Athens.

124) A. Lajtar, Ein zweiter Beleg für doumos in Thessalonike, in ZPE, 94 (1992), p. 211-212: L. recognizes in IG X 2, 860 Thessalonike (2nd/3rd cent.) another attestation of the word δοῦμα (‘association’) and presents further evidence for professional associations and for grave monuments erected by associations for their deceased members [cf. infra n° 237].
125) B.K. Lambrinoudakis, 'Ιερό 'Απόλλωνος Μαλακτα Επιδιώφου, in ΡΑΑΗ, 146 (1991) [1994], p. 70-78 [SEG 41, 304]; L. mentions two dedications of pyrphoroi (Hell. and imp. times) and an altar dedicated to Hera (4th cent.) found in the sanctuary of Apollon Maleatas in Epidauros (p. 70).

126) G. Laminger-Pascher, Die katzerzeitlichen Inschriften Lykaoniens. 1. Der Süden (Ergänzungsbände zu TAM, 15), Wien, 1992 [BE 1993, 771]; Corpus of the inscriptions of Lykaonia (imp., all the texts of religious interest were already published). Ilstra-Kassaba: The grave stone of a priestess (53, ιέρεια). Derbe: Antoninus Pius is called θεων ἐπιφανεστάτος (59). Barata: A woman, who dedicated the grave inscription of her husband, uses the expression ἄνθισεν ο λέοντα, τὸν ἄνδρα αὐτής (67); L. rejects the view that the man had reached the grade of a leo in the Mithraic mysteries and assumes that the woman dedicated the statue of a lion, as guardian of the grave. Notice, however, that the son of the deceased has the name Leonit, 'the small lion'; Leon was perhaps the man's nickname. Hyde: A dedication εὐχαριστίας ἕνεκεν (131). Kaledo: A funerary imprecation (150: ἐνόρχεμεν ὁ Μήνας τὸν ἐκ ὑφάνον καὶ τοὺς καταχθώνιους). Tedebesa: A dedication to Artemis with the epithet Astai[---], probably deriving from a place name (153). Lystra: A dedication to Ploutos (4th cent. AD); an altar dedicated by a hieros thiasos to Apollon, i.e., probably to Augustus, identified with Apollon [cf. supra n° 99]; two funerary imprecations (189: Μήνας ἐνόρχεμεν καὶ κάτοικον κεραυλομένου ἐχοιτο]; cf. 213). Kakw: Dedications to Hermes and another god with the epithet Epekoos (227) and to Ge (228). Akabran: A dedication to Athena cyanopaseák (257). Karakidvkkik: The virgin Μά, κατά γένος ιέρεια τῆς θεᾶς καὶ τῶν εἴγον, carried out some contructions in a temple, probably of a mystery cult (293); the priest Theophilos dedicated an altar to Dionysos and Pantheos (294). Also notice the usual accusations against θάνατος διάμον (Dinom-, 242) and φθόνος (406, Dorka) in grave inscriptions.

127) L.R. LiDonnici, Compositional Background of the Epidaurian Iamata, in AJPh, 113 (1992), p. 25-41; L. gives an overview of the collection of healing miracles from the Asklepieion of Epidauros (4th cent.) and illuminates the way it was formed. The various afflictions mentioned in the iamata are related to those cured by profane medicine, but the healing described in the iamata has a miraculous character [for the assimilation of these healing miracles to the profane medicine see A. CHANIOITIS, Illness and Cures in the Greek Propitiatory Inscriptions and Dedications of Lydia and Phrygia, in Ph. VAN DER EIJK - H.F.J. HORSTMANSHOFF - P.H. SCHRIJVERS (eds), Ancient Medicine in its Socio-Cultural Context, Amsterdam-Atlanta, 1995, II, p. 335]. L. suggests that the reading and discussion of the iamata must have been an important preparatory activity before the incubation. The collection in its present form is an amalgamation of earlier inscriptions, votives, and oral traditions. It incorporates texts of inscriptions removed from the sanctuary during the process of its monumentalization in the 4th cent.; in this way the iamata reflect the text of earlier inscriptions and pictorial representations.

128) M. Lilibaki-Akamati, 'Ἀπὸ τὰ νεκροταφεῖα τῆς Πέλλας, in AEMT, 3, p. 91-101 [BE 1992, 307]; Mention of two golden laurel leaves found in two cist graves in Pella (fa. 4th cent. BC). One of them bears the name of the dead (Philoxena), the second has the inscription Φιλοσέλιππος μύστης/εὐσεβής. This is the first attestation of Persephone in Pella. The sequence μύστης/εὐσεβής appears in lists of mysts of the Great Gods at Samothrace. It is not certain if Poscidippos had been initiated in one of the panhellenic mystery cults or in a particular cult of Persephone in Pella. [M.B. Hatzopoulos, in BE 1992, 307, reminds that the expression is used in a funerary epigram from Lete (SEG 31, 633), where an important sanctuary of Demeter existed. For similar golden leaves with the names of the deceased designated as mysts cf. EGRG 1991, 177 (Aigion)].

129) T. Linders, Sacred Finances: Some Observations, in Economis of Cult, p. 9-12; Focusing on the sanctuaries of Apollon in Aktion and Delos L. sketches the various aspects of cult finances (revenues, expenses for cult) and defines the economic rôle(s) of sanctuaries in the world of the Greek city (banking activities, employment of labour, consumption of goods): "The sanctuary resembled Weber's city in that - on the whole - it lived on contributions and did not produce any material goods. I suggest, however, that what we may call its spiritual wares, the cult, created a
demand for certain material commodities, which stimulated production and provided the producers with a market they might otherwise have had" (p. 11).

130) T. Linders, *Inscriptions and Orality*, in *SymBosL*, 67 (1992), p. 27-40: In this stimulating article L. studies the temple inventories of Athens and Delos as examples of oral communication (here, between officials). Several discrepancies appear from one year to another: Groups of votives may be omitted or mentioned in a different sequence from one year to another. L. supports her view that the aim of the inventories was not administrative, as records, but symbolic, as an expression of the piety of the officials [*cf. EBGR 1988, 100 and infra n° 131*].

131) T. Linders, *The Delian Temple Accounts: Some Observations*, in *OpAth*, 19 (1992), p. 69-73: L. argues that the temple inventories of Delos were not primarily 'book-keeping records' of the dedications, but an expression that the sacred officials had fulfilled their administrative duties with piety [for the same view *cf. EBGR 1988, 100 and supra n° 130*].

132) T. Lochman, *Une stèle phrygienne*, in *Revue du Louvre*, 6 (1990), p. 455-461 [*SEG 40, 1241*]: L. ed. a relief acquired by the Musée Calvet (ca. 3rd cent. AD) with a representation of the two deceased persons, a triple Hekate, Mes, Demeter, Zeus, Helios, and Selene. A group of relatives dedicated to Hekate Soteira Tateis and her son. The inscription reads: ΑΑάΗθη Τάχη. Σωτήρας. 'Εκάτης Λ. does not punctuate and translates: "A la bonne Fortune d'Hécate, la Salutatrice". Μένοντος δόθη κα Κυρόλα Ίδαντη / Παπας υός, Απός νύφη, Γενέεν / κα Πάθοκ ονομάν [read Γενέεν] υόν αύτής έκτιμηθέντος ύόν Σωτήρας. 'Εκάτης. The words κοτείδροσον. / Κα Όνυσίος ταθραμένος ἀπειραφωθεν were added above the text. Grave stones dedicated to Hekate are common in Phrygia; sometimes a god (Zeus or Hekate) is mentioned as 'honouring' the deceased. L. discusses the iconography and the typology of Phrygian grave stones.

133) T. Lochman, *Eine Gruppe spätromischer Grabsteine aus Phrygien*, in E. Berger (ed.), *Antike Kunstwerke der Sammlung Ludwig III*, Mainz, 1990, p. 453-507 [*SEG 40, 1291; BE 1994, 562 bis*]: L. studies, with ample archaeological and epigraphic commentary, seven inscribed funerary reliefs from Phrygia (2nd/3rd cent. AD). According to one of them (258) the deceased was honoured by Soteira Hekate [*cf. supra n° 132*]. L. argues that the epithet reflects the belief that Hekate could free the souls of the deceased and lead them from the underworld. [ES]

134) M. Del Amor Jimeno, *Zu einer Fluchtafel vom Athener Kerameikos*, in *ZPE*, 91 (1992), p. 201-202 [*BE 1993, 105*]: L. restores the last lines of a defixio against legal opponents (Kenneikos, 4th cent. BC) [*EBGR 1990, 321, 1 515*]: the text should be read τός συνδήκτος τος Εὐδράτος (not συνδήκτους) Εὐδράτος; i.e., someone cursed all the syndikoi of his legal opponent Eukrates. Syndikoi are often mentioned in Attic defixiones.

135) F.G. Lo Porto, *Timmari: L'abitato, le necropoli, la stipe votiva*, Rome, 1991: Two new epigraphic finds provide further evidence for the cults of Persephone and Aphrodite, already attested through archaeological finds (dedications) in Timmari. A bowl bears the invocation Πάθι, i.e., Kore/Persephone (ca. 2nd cent. BC); a sherd of an Apulian vase is inscribed with the name of Aphrodite.

136) H. Malay, *Θυμολύτειν και θυμολύσια και the Cult of Apollon Axyros in Lydia*, in *EA*, 20 (1992), p. 75-76 [*BE 1994, 509*]: L. ed. pr. of a new confession inscription from Saïtai. Throphimos was punished for disregarding (παρίδε) his mother-in-law, and after his propitiation (θυμολύτησε) he dedicated a stele to Apollon Axyros. This texts permits the interpretation of the words θυμολύτειν ('mitigate the divine wrath') and θυμολύσια [*cf. EBGR 1989, 123*] and gives the correct form of the epithet of Apollon Axyros (not Azyros as in *SEG 37*, 1737). Notice the use of the expressions σπηλαγραφεῖν and εὔχαριστεῖν, common in confession inscriptions.

Exakester ("dio purificatore"), Eleithyia (mentioned on a still unpublished sherd), Polystephanos Soteira, and Apollon (cf. the month name Karneios). The influence of Akragas' mother cities (Gela and Rhodes) is evident in the cults. M. associates a graffito on a vase with the text [-Middle Greek--] with the graffito θεταν μνήμων (Phintias, near Gela) and interprets both texts as references to the Nymphs [but see EBGR 1988, 42: thesei is the designation of a cult association].


139) J. MANGAS, *El culto de Apolo en Hispania. Testimonios epigráficos*, in *Mélanges Lévêque*, 6, p. 171-192: Collection of 26 Latin inscriptions from various cities of Spain, which attest Apollon's cult, esp. as a healing deity. This cult seems to have been particularly supported by the local elites.

*140) A.G. MANTIS, *Προβλήματα τῆς εἰκονογραφίας τῶν ἱερείων καὶ τῶν ἱερέων στὴν ἀρχαία Ἀθήναι* (Athens, 1990: Study of representations of priests and priestesses in the archaic and classical art. The 'small temple' at Rhamnous is usually interpreted as a temple of Themis, because a colossal statue was found there. The inscriptions found there do not support this identification (p. 104-113); they record joint dedications to Nemesis and Themis, who must have been worshipped together, but not in the 'small temple'.

141) L. MARANÇOU, *'Ανασκαφή Μινώας Αμοργοῦ*, in *PAAH*, 146 (1991) [1994], p. 281-305: A vase probably dedicated to Dionysos was found in a sanctuary in the acropolis of Minoa (Amorgos, 4th cent., p. 287).


143) D.S. MASOURIS, *Περὶ τῶν μύην Ομηρεῶν*, in *Platon*, 44 (1992), p. 103-109 [BE 1993, 22]: M. discusses *IG* XII 5, 15 (Ios), which mentions a sacrifice to be offered on the 16th day of the month Homereon. The literary sources imply that this day was the anniversary of Homer's death. The literary tradition which affirms that Demosthenes died on the same day as Homer (16 Pyanopsion) permits the identification of the month Homereon with Pyanopsion. M. argues that in Ios the sacrifice to Homer was offered during the festival Thesmophoria.

144) D. MENDELSON, *Συγκεκριμένοι: Dithyrambic Language and Dionysiac Cult*, in *Cf*, 87 (1992), p. 105-124: M. studies the use of the rare verb συγκεκριμένοι, which occurs only three times in classical literature, always in Dionysiac contexts (ARCHIL., fr. 77B; KRATINOS, fr. 199 K-A; EURIP., *Bacch.*, 1103), arguing that it is a survival from the vocabulary of early Dionysiac cult dithyramb. The verb ('utterly blasted with lightning') expresses the elements of drunken inspiration and sudden, violent destructiveness, which seem to be related to early cult dithyramb. In this context M. presents the evidence for the rôle of thunder in Dionysos' myth (the god's birth and Semele's death) and possibly also in the Dionysiac mysteries. There is a reference to death by lightning in the 'Orphic' lamellae of series A (ἀστέρομπλήθα κεραυνῶν, ἀστέροπλήθη κεραυνῶν) [cf. EBGR 1989, 21], perhaps an allusion to the crime of the Titans. The abrupt appearance of a brilliant light, an allusion to the lightning that punished the Titans, may have been part of the initiation. The initiate, lightning-blasted like the Titans, escaped from the evil through his punishment for unjust deeds "and found the better".

146) T.B. MITFORD, *Inscriptiones Ponticae. Sebastopolis, ZPE*, 87 (1991), p. 181-243 [*SEG 41, 1112, 1119*]: M. presents a corpus of the inscriptions of Sebastopolis, partly presented in *EBGR* 1991, 166 (new texts are marked with an asterisk). The honorific inscription for a high priest and stephanephoros of the city emperor cult (στεφανηφόρος τοῦ μεγίστου αὐτοκράτορος Καίσαρος Νίρβα Τριακόνων Σεβαστοῦ Γερμανικοῦ Δακικοῦ, AD 104) mentions the tribe Diopatoris (11*). [probably named after Zeus Pater or Patroios, for tribes named after gods see *EBGR* 1988, 75, 117; 1990, 166]. A prominent citizen, high priest of Hadrian for lifetime (with his wife), is honoured for his services; he organized many spectacles and served often as theiasarches (12). For another high priest see n° 20 (2nd cent. AD). Caesellia Maxima, *matrona stollata* and high priestess, proudly bore the name Amazonis (19, 2nd/3rd cent.); according to a local tradition the land of the Amazons was not far from Sebastopolis, in the plain of Iris and Thermodon. The metric grave inscription for Theotima mentions the establishment of a temenos, with an altar surrounded by a grove (24* l. 14-16). A grave inscription is dedicated to Ἁθοί καταφέργοι (28*).

147) V. MITSOPOULOS-LEON, *Bronzekastchen für Artemis*, in *Eumusia*, p. 137-140 [*SEG 40, 370*]: Presentation of three bronze boxes dedicated to Artemis Hemera at Lousoi, one of them inscribed with the name of the goddess and that of a male dedicant (la. 4th cent. BC). Another inscribed box was known already, also inscribed with the name of a man. Bronze boxes are typical female dedications; this explains perhaps why male dedicants chose to write their names.

*148) J. MOLT HAGEN, *Die ersten Konflikte der Christen in der griechisch-römischen Welt*, in *Historia*, 40 (1991), p. 41-76 [*BE 1992, 402; SEG 41, 918*]: M. (p. 47 n. 27) comments on the term θεοφιλεῖς (proselytes) in an inscription of Aphrodisias concerning the local Jewish community (*SEG 36, 970; EBGR 1987, 95; cf. infra n° 209*). With regard to the title asiasarches M. rejects R.A. KEARSLEY's view that the titles asiasarches and archiereus denote two different offices [cf supra n° 96 and infra n° 244].

149) L. MORETTI, *Dagli Heraia all’Aspis di Argos*, in *MGR*, 16 (1991), p. 179-189 [*SEG 41, 1750*]: M. establishes that the Argive agon Heraia was renamed to Ἀπολύτων Ἀσπίδων (ca. AD 85); the older name was still used later, in exceptional cases.


151) H. MÜLLER, *Phyromachos im pergamentischen Niképhorion*, in *Chiron*, 22 (1992), p. 195-226 [*BE 1993, 70; SEG 40, 1131*]: M. disproves B. ANDRAE's speculations about the place where Phyromachos' statue of Asklepius was set up; ANDRAE's views were based on misinterpretations of the literary and epigraphic evidence (esp. POLYB., XXXII, 15, 1-8; *OGIS* 332, an honorific decree for Attalos III concerning the erection of his statue in the Asklepieion). M. demonstrates that only one sanctuary of Asklepios existed in Pergamon, that the statue made by Phyromachos was set up in Asklepios' temple outside the city (not in the sanctuary of Athena Niképhoros), and that the inscription found in ‘temple R’ of the gymnasion is not a dedication to Asklepios, but simply names an official with a theophoric name (e.g., Asklepiodoros).
152) D. Mulliez, *Un document financier inédit de la fin du Ier siècle av. n.è.*, in *Delphes*, p. 317-332 [BE 1993, 276]: Ed. pr. of a leasing or loan contract (la. 2nd cent. BC) between a citizen of Delphi and the sanctuary. It is the earliest attestation of the hosioi, a collegium of five officials. An individual was to pay annually, in four installments, an amount to the hosioi; the document mentions the guarantees and penalties if the contract was not fulfilled. The duties of the hosioi were obviously not limited to religious matters, but covered also the financial interests of the sanctuary.

153) D. Mulliez, *Les actes d'affranchissement delphiques*, in *Cabiers du Centre G. Glotz*, 3 (1991), p. 31-44 [BE 1992, 278]: M. gives an overview of the manumission documents in Delphi, focusing on the formulaic expressions of the inscriptions (manumission as 'sale' to Apollo), the provenance of slaves, the procedure of manumission and its evolution.

154) M. Nafissi, *Mageirike skeu e sacrificio nel territorio di Taranto*, in *PP*, 47 (1992), p. 132-146 [BE 1993, 276]: Reedition of the archaic inscription from Taras with a list of cult implements [EBGR 1987, 65; 1989, 30; 1990, 3 and 243]. N. proposes several restorations and interpretations of the objects (l. 7: *σμενιαί, 'axts'; l. 8: *σκαφ[ίδαι], 'cooking pots'; l. 8f.: *χρυσόβάθος, 'hook for the meat'). N. establishes that the objects listed here were related to the sacrifice and the cooking of the meat of sacrificial animals [cf. EBGR 1990, 3]; the text is not necessarily an inventory [the view of L. Dubois], but a list of objects dedicated (by the city?) to the sanctuary of Artemis Agrotera (harpástea). N. also comments on the cultic use of trumpets, the rôle of the hierokeryx, and the cult of Artemis Agrotera, a goddess associated with the borderland.

155) A.M. Nagy, *EYOIIAI EYTYXI*, in *Bulletin du Musée Hongrois des Beaux-Arts*, 76 (1992), p. 15-29: Ed. pr. of a small bronze bell of unknown provenance with an engraved representation of gladiators and the inscription *éù61lÀI *éù1lXI (la. imp., Budapest Museum). The bell was probably used as an amulet. While the wish *éù1lXεί is common on amulets, this is the first attestation of *éù6lÀεί, obviously an encouragement to a gladiator to use his weapons successfully. N. collects numerous examples of similar bells, often inscribed with wishes of the type *éù61lÀou, *éù1lXεί, νίκα πρέπ(ε)ι σοι, εύταχης ὁ φωράν, νίκα, et sim. Most of these objects date to the 3rd and 4th cent.


160) J. Nollé - F. Schindler, *Die Inschriften von Selge* (IGSK, 37), Bonn, 1991 [SEG 41, 1249-1252]: Corpus of the inscriptions and the testimonia of Selge in Pisidia; new texts are marked with an asterisk. The most interesting new text is an 'astragal-oracle' (4, *2nd/3rd. cent.). It must have included 120 answers, but only five fragments survive. The correct answer was found by throwing the dice seven times. The names of Herakles, Nike Themis, Asklepios, Zeus, and the
Dioskouroi head each answer, which is given in four hexametric verses; some of the verses are known from other 'astragal-oracles'. N. will present a detailed commentary in a forthcoming corpus of the alphabetic and astragal-oracles of Asia Minor. A group of texts concerns the prominent family of the Plancii Magniani, whose members held the most important priestly offices (15, 17-20).

**Dedications:** to the emperors, Artemis, and the city (1, imp.), Athena (2, 1st/2nd cent.), and an anonymous deity (3*, imp.). *Sacred officials*: Priests of Artemis (1), Helios (84*), Athena (84*), a priestess of Ares (20, for lifetime), priests and priestesses of Τύχη τῆς πόλεως for lifetime (15*, 20; cf. 17), high priests and priestesses for the emperor cult in the city (15*, 16*, 20), archieros (17, 20, 57*) (on the office of hierophytes see *infra* n° 245), a hierophantria of a mystery cult (15*), and agonothetai, usually members of the family of the Plancii Magniani, for lifetime (15*, 16*, 20).

**Agons:** ἀρχαίοι πολιτικοί (15*, 20), ἀρχαίοι παναγιετικοί οἰκουμενικοί Καμάρτειος Κεσβέλιος Ἕλιος (15*, 16*; cf. 20, 55; cf. Zeus Kesbelios). The agon θημις Παναθηναϊκή (46*, 47*, 48*, 49*, 50) was founded by Valeria Briseis for the memory of her sons Memnon, Hermolaos, and Kotyseus (ea. 3rd cent. AD); the only known contest was a stadion-race for boys and men; two men served as agonothetai. The agon Artemiosios (51*, 52, 53, 54*) was founded in the ea. 3rd cent. AD by M. Aurelius Memnonianus Tlepolemos and Aurelius Diogenianus Hermolaos (54*), in honour either of Artemis or of a woman with the name Artemisia; the agonothetes (and founder) Tlepolemos served for lifetime; this office was reserved for members of his family (cf. 51*: ἄγωνοστοντος τὸ πρᾶτον διὰ θεοῦ); the contests included wrestling (52) and pakrati (of boys: 53); this agon was celebrated at least 8 times (53). Other agons (probably the agones politiko) included contests in boxing of boys and men (56*, 57*). An agonothetes of an unknown agon is mentioned in n° 82*. Other inscriptions commemorate the victories of a pankratiast in the Olympic games (58*) and victories of two other athletes in local agons (59*, 60*). N° 17 mentions the temple of Ares (17) and a sanctuary of Tyche (Tychaion).

161) J. NOLLÉ, *Zur Geschichte der Stadt Etenna in Pisidien*, in E. SCHWERTHEIM (ed.), *Forschungen in Pisidien (Asia Minor Studien, 6)*, p. 61-141 [BE 1993, 590; SEG 41, 1246, 1247]: N. studies the history of Etenna, also focusing on the epigraphic and numismatic evidence for the cults of this city (Zeus, Hera, Demeter, Asklepios, Apollon, Nemesis, Helios, Τύχη τῆς πόλεως, probably Herakles). He also presents a collection of the testimonia and the inscriptions of Etenna (new texts are marked with an asterisk). Seleukos (a Seleucid king or a local citizen) was honoured as ktistes (2, Ist cent. AD). Priest (high priest of the city emperor cult (3, 11*, 12*), a priestess for lifetime of Demeter κατὰ πόλιν (4, 3rd cent. AD, cf. 5*), a priest for lifetime of Dea Roma (5*, 2nd/3rd cent.). Also notice the rare word κατασφαξα mentioned in a grave inscription; its meaning is not clear (18, 'urn', 'crematorium', 'grave')? (probably 'urn'). In an introductory chapter (p. 78-86) N. discusses the methodological background for the use of coins as a source of information for (public) city cults, criticizing H. BRANDY's reconstruction of the cults of Aspendos [EBGR 1988, 22].


163) O. PALAGIA, *Cult and Allegory: The Life Story of Artemidoros of Perge*, in *Philolakon*, p. 171-177 [BE 1994, 594]: P. studies the rock reliefs and the inscriptions of the sanctuary founded by Artemidoros of Perge on Thera (3rd cent. BC; IG XII 3, 421-422, and Suppl. 1333-1348). Traditionally, the relief representations of animals (eagle, lion, dolphin) were interpreted as symbols of gods (Zeus, Apollon, Poseidon). P. observes the analogy between these reliefs and representations on coins of certain cities: She interprets the laureate head as the head of Artemis Pergaia, the eagle as an emblem of Alexandria, the lion as a symbol of Miletos, the dolphin as that of Tenos. P. speculates that these cities marked important stages in Artemidors' career in the service of the Ptolemies but see the objections of F. GRAF, *Bemerkungen zur bürgerlichen Religiosität im Zeitalter des Hellenismus*, in M. WÖRLE - P. ZANKER, *Stadtbild und Bürgerbild im Hellenismus*, München, 1995, p. 107-109.
164) A. Pariente, *Le monument argien des “sept contre Thèbes”*, in *Polydipston Argos*, p. 195-225 [BE 1993, 29]: Ed. pr. of a boundary stone of a heroon dedicated to the cult of the “Seven” in Argos (6th cent. BC; ἱρὸν τοῦ ἐν Θῆβαις). The sculptures seen by Pausanias (II, 20, 5) must have stood in this heroon. P. suggests that the cult of the “Seven” was established in Argos in the middle of the 6th cent.; a cenotaph for the heroes fallen in Troy was built in the same time. After their victory at Oinoe (ca. 460) the Argives dedicated statues of the “Seven” and the Epigones at Delphi and renovated the heroon in Argos. P. discusses the political significance of these myths for Argos against the background of the wars against Sparta. [For the consequences of this new find for the interpretation of monuments in Argos and Delphi cf. M. Daumas, *Argos et les Septs*, in *Polydipston Argos*, p. 253-264; J.-F. Bommelaer, *Monuments argiens de Delphes et d’Argos*, in *Polydipston Argos*, p. 265-303.]

165) A. Pariente, *Chroniques des fouilles et découvertes archéologiques en Grèce en 1991*, in *BCH*, 116 (1992), p. 833-954: The boundary stone of a sanctuary of Artemis was found in Myrina (Lesbos, p. 923). A lex sacra found in Kos contains a calendar of sacrifices to Apollo, the Dioskouroi, Artemis, Aphrodite, Zeus Polieus, Athena Polias, Hekate Soteira, and Leto (p. 931). An inscription on a vase names the hero Kerkaphos, to whom probably a sanctuary was dedicated at Trianda (Rhodes, 5th cent., p. 932).

166) C. Parisi Presicce, *Panakeia, Iatros e le altre divinità asclepiadi a Cirene*, in *Africa Romana*, 9, p. 147-166 [BE 1993, 698]: Study of the cult of Asklepios in Kyrene based on the archaeological and epigraphical evidence. One new inscription on an altar mentions the deity Panakeia (4th c. BC). The cults of Asklepios, Iatros, and Panakeia show some similarity with the respective cults in Kos; however, the further development of this cult in Kyrene was strongly influenced by the political situation in the city, i.e., by the aristocratic rule and later the democratic regime. [ES]

167) B.C. Petraseos (ed.), *Τὸ Ἐργον τῆς Ἀρχαιολογικῆς Ἐταιρείας κατὰ τὸ 1991*, Athens, 1992: Report of the activities of the Archaeological Society at Athens with reference to new epigraphical finds in Rhamnous [infra n° 169], Epidaurus (p. 11) [supra n° 125], Messene [infra n° 221], Vergina [supra n° 5], and Minoa on Amorgos [supra n° 141].


169) B.C. Petrokko, *Ἀνασκαφῆ Ραμνοῦντος*, in *PAAH*, 146 (1991) [1994], p. 1-63 [SEG 41, 124]: P. presents 30 new inscriptions found in the fortress and the sanctuary of Nemesis at Rhamnous (p. 24-59), i.e., honorific decrees for Athenian officers in charge of the fortress (1-14, la. 4th cent.-la. 3rd cent.), dedicatory inscriptions (15-23, 6th-1st cent.), and grave inscriptions (27-30). The stele with the decree n° 1 was to be erected in the sanctuary of Dionysos in Rhamnous. Several officers were honoured for the performance of the traditional sacrifices (3, 13, 14); one text mentions the offering of πελάνων to gods and heroes. The texts include dedications to Heros Archegetes (15, 17; la. 6th-5th cent.) and Aphrodite (23, 4th/3rd cent.), a boundary stone of the ierà αὐλή of Heros Archegetes (16, 4th/3rd cent.), and a list of eranistai (24, 1st cent.). The victors of torch races organized for the soldiers (19: Prolemaia, 3rd cent.; 20-21: Diogeneia, 3rd-2nd cent.; cf. 23) dedicated torches to Zeus Soter and Athena Soteira.

170) B.C. Petraseos, *Ἀνασκαφῆ Ραμνοῦντος*, in *PAAH*, 147 (1992) [1995], p. 1-41: P. presents 11 new inscriptions from Rhamnous; one of them is a security horos mentioning an eranos (p. 38, no. 8, 4th cent.) [infra n° 168].

171) M. Pettersson, *Cults of Apollo at Sparta. The Hyakinthia, the Gymnopaidiai, and the Karneia* (*ActaAth*, 12), Stockholm, 1992: P. argues that the three Spartan festivals of Apollo formed a ritual cycle related to the Spartan age system. Some epigraphical evidence is used in relation to the myth and worship of Hyakinthos in and outside Sparta [but notice the absence of
references to the cult of Artemis Hiakynthotrophos; cf. more recently EBGR 1987, 90], the cult of Apollon Karneios and its distribution in the Dorian areas, and the Gymnopaidiai [for problems of method in P.'s study see the review by P. CARTLEDGE, in OpAth, 20 (1994), p. 287-290].

172) G. PETZL, Lukians Podagra und die Beichtinschriften Kleinasiens, in Mètis, 6 (1991), p. 131-145 [SEG 41, 1016, 1830]: A close analysis of LUKIAN'S work Podagra shows that it reflects the same religious attitudes that are attested in the 'confession inscriptions' (sickness as punishment for sin, epiphany of the avenging deity, propitiation, manifestation of the divine power in the presence of a large crowd, praise of the power of the deity, belief in the healing power of the god, punishment for lack of faith). In a note (p. 135f. n. 12) P. doubts if the phrase οὐδὲν παρθένον διέρηξε in a 'confession inscription' means that the god punished a sinner through defloration [EBGR 1990, 53], since there is no evidence that sexual intercourse with the god was regarded as a punishment; on the contrary LUKIAN'S treatise about the false prophet Alexandros (Alex. 42) implies that women proudly claimed to have had sexual intercourse with a god. P. contends that the sinner was punished by death. [For a similar interpretation: M. RICL, The Appeal to Divine Justice in the Lydian Confession-Inscriptions, in E. SCHWERTHEIM (ed.), Forschungen in Lydien (Asia Minor Studien, 17), Bonn, 1995, p. 72 n. 13. However, P. overlooks the problem that the verb διαφηγόμαι is not attested in the meaning 'to kill'; in P.'s example (DIO CHRYS., VIII, 32) the verb acquires this meaning from the context (διερήξεν ἐπὶ τὴν γῆν καταβολῶν). On the contrary, the verb is well attested in the context of defloration, in medical literature. Besides, P.'s examples of women proud of having had sexual intercourse with a god concern married women, and not virgins. Finally, the 'confession inscriptions' indicate the death of the sinner always using clear unequivocal expressions (κατασθήκω, ἀποκτένω, ἀποτέλεσμα, διαφημίζω, θάνατος, τελευτάω, and τελευτή). For the interpretation of this text, the starting point has to be the linguistic evidence, which quite clearly indicates what had happened to the virgin.

173) G. PETZL, Zum neupythagoreischen Monument aus Philadelphiea, in EA, 20 (1992), p. 1-5 [BE 1994, 42, 43, 511]: P. discusses the grave monument of Pythagoras of Philadelphiea (ca. 1st. cent. AD), a follower of the Neopythagoreans. The relief has a symbolic representation of the crossroad which leads either to vice or to virtue; it indicates the two alternatives (ἀρετή, ἀσωτία) and their consequences. The representation is framed by a grating in the form of Y; in Neopythagorean thought, the letter Y alluded to this crossroad. According to P.'s interpretation the personification of Arete gives the man, who has chosen the road of pain and virtue, a palm-branch, symbol of victory and honour; this honour will accompany the deceased to the underworld. An Hell. epigram from Lato [EBGR 1989, 7] expresses a similar thought ('Απειρή δὲ τὰ το[ῦ]το[ῦ] τείμια κηρύξει καὶν Αἴδων δώμοις). The grave epigram indicated that Pythagoras was not the name given to the deceased at his birth, but a nickname which he won through his wisdom.

174) G. PETZL, Ein frühes Zeugnis für den Hosios-Dikaios-Kult, in EA, 20 (1992), p. 143-146 [BE 1994, 484; SEG 41, 1060]: Ed. pr. of the grave inscription of Apollonios. A metrical funerary imprecation is addressed to Hosios kai Dikaios (near Hadrianoutherai, Mysia, 1st cent. BC/AD): 1. ['Ηλιόφι] 'Οσίῳ τε Δικαίῳ χέρις ἄν[ίροις] [for this gesture cf. supra n° 13]. Appolionios invoked the chthonic deities against any violator of his grave (l. 6: κλέες δαιμόνες οἱ χθόνιοι). In the fragmentary curse formula the violator is threatened with death (l. 8: [μῆ] φθάνῃ τὸ άλλον εἰς κατοίκον, i.e., "he shall not see the light of sun"; l. 9: πανάληψι) and destruction of his livestock (l. 7: [μῆ] δρέμα μνήμονα ἐξοριο). The existence of such an early testimony of the cult of Hosios kai Dikaios in Mysia casts doubt on M. RICL'S view [infra n° 185] that the cult originated in the northwestern part of Phrygia.

175) M. PIÉRART, Rapport sur les travaux de l'École Française d'Athènes en 1991. Argos. Agora, in BCH, 116 (1992), p. 673-676: A fragmentary honorary inscription for an emperor mentions Hera (Argos); her name was perhaps part of the title of a magistrate, e.g., a priest (p. 674).
176) L. Pietilä-Castrén, *L. Mummius’ Contributions to the Agonistic Life in the Mid Second Century BC*, in *Arctos*, 25 (1991), p. 97-161 [SEG 41, 276, 286]: Collection of the epigraphic evidence for the activities of L. Mummius concerning agon in Greece. Mummius carried out constructions in the site of Isthmus and served as agonothete of the Isthmia; he was honoured in Argos with the erection of his statue, possibly in connection with his arbitration between Argos and Kleonai over the organization of the Nemean games; in Eretria he was honoured with the organization of a race. P. rejects the view that this latter agon implies the establishment of a cult [but see *EBGR* 1991, 126; R.S. Stroud, in *SEG* 41, 276 points out that one of the texts used by P. was found in Aulis, and not in Nemean].


178) D.S. Potter, *The Inscriptions on the Bronze Herakles from Mesene: Vologeses IV’s War against Rome and the Date of Tacitus’ Annales*, in *ZPE*, 88 (1991), p. 277-290 [BE 1992, 63; SEG 41, 1520]: Study of the historical evidence that can be extracted from the inscription on a statue of Herakles found in Seleukeia on the Tigris [EBGR 1990, 24, 39, 140] about the relations between Rome and the Parthians. P. comments on the temple of Apollon (τοῦ χαλκῆς πύλης προκαθιδεν). This temple is not identical with the temple of Apollon Komaios. The statue of Herakles (Nergal) was placed in this temple near the ‘bronze gate’ because of the apotropaic powers attributed to Herakles (protector of gates) and Apollon Propylaios. The statue of Apollon ‘seated in front of the bronze gate’ may be identified with the seated figure with kalathos and lyre depicted on coins of Seleukeia.

179) S. Pricoco, *Apollo ὕπαρπαρος. A proposito di un oracolo di Hierapolis frigia*, in *Studi di filologia classica in onore di Giusto Monaco*, Palermo, 1991, I, p. 491-495 [BE 1993, 50; SEG 41, 1196]: The alphabetical oracle written in the temple of Apollon Karios and Klarios in Hierapolis contains the enigmatic verse νυκτὸς ἀπὸ ξοφέρης ἐφάνη ποτὲ φασιφρὸς ἀκτή [SEG 26, 1378]. P. explains this verse against the background of contemporary religious beliefs and practices in Asia Minor, i.e., the nocturnal divination in the sanctuary of Apollon Klarios (IAMB., myst., III, 124), and the use of the adjective φασιφρὸς as an attribute of Apollon (φασιφρὸς δαμανν), particularly in a mystical context (LACT., inst., I, 7, 9; Orph. b. 34, 6 ed. Quondam). This oracle alludes to Apollon, the source of light, who guides man to security.

180) G. Pugliese Caratelli, *Sul culto di Afrodite Euploia in Napoli*, in *PP*, 47 (1992), p. 58-61: P. makes several critical remarks on E. Miranda’s study on the cult of Euploia in Neapolis [EBGR 1989, 72]. There is no proof that the cult of Aphrodite Euploa was introduced in Knidos later than that of Aphrodite Doritis and Akraia, since PAUSANIAS (I, 1, 3) does not refer to the date of the introduction of these cults, but only to the foundation of their sanctuaries. *Euploea* in STATIUS (Silvae 148-153) refers to a sanctuary of Euploia, and not to a place name. The introduction of Eupoitia's cult in Italy under Rhodian influence cannot be excluded.

181) F. Queyrel, *Les acclamations des inscriptions peintes du xyste*, in *Delphes*, p. 333-348 [BE 1993, 277]: After a short introduction to the practice of acclamations for benefactors, agonothetai, contestants in competitions, kings, and emperors, in Hell. and imp. times, Q. gives a preliminary presentation of the dipinti found in the gymnasium at Delphi (imp.). The most usual acclamation mentions Apollon (Μέγας Πθύθως Απάλλων); the same acclamation can be restored in inscriptions in honour of artists (FAD III 1, 226, 469). The Delphic acclamations are to be seen in the context of athletic and artistic contests and spectacles. Q. presents some parallels for acclamations underlining the greatness of a god, esp. in 'confession inscriptions' and narrations of miracles. [To Q.'s examples of acclamations add the acclamations of Aphrodias (SEG 34, 1046-1065), Perge (SEG 34, 1306; EBGR 1991, 273), and Tralleis (SEG 38, 1172; EBGR 1988, 104); Q. overlooked the important article of T. Klauser, *Akklamation*, in *RAC*, 1 (1950), p. 216-233].
182) A. RAUBITSCHEK, *Die Inschrift auf dem Apollon Béarn (I.G. V/2, 403)*, in *Kottinos*, p. 171 [SEG 41, 382]: The bronze statuette of Apollon from Lousoi (ca. 470/60) made its appearance in the antiquities trade, so that the inscription (I.G. V/2, 403) could be studied again. The statue was dedicated to Artemis Hemera (τὸς Ἀρτέμιδος Ἄπολλόνιον τῆς Ημέρας, "this statuette of Apollon belongs to Artemis Hemera"). [The reading Ἄπολλόνιον is, however, not certain: see SEG 41, 382].


185) M. RICL, *Hosios kai Dikaios. Seconde partie. Analyse*, in *EA*, 19 (1992), p. 71-102 [BE 1993, 546; SEG 41, 1836]: In the second part of her study on the cult of Hosios kai Dikaios [cf. *EBGR* 1991, 204] R. studies the diffusion of the cult (basically in Phrygia Epiktetos, but also in Galatia, in Lydia, and partly in other areas of Asia Minor), its nature, and iconography. Her study demonstrates the great diversity which characterizes the cult. In Maionia the epithets Hosios and Dikaios are usually (with one exception) connected with the conjunction καὶ and designate one divinity. On the contrary, in Phrygia the conjunction καὶ is often omitted despite the fact that Hosios kai Dikaios were conceived as two distinct figures (cf. the evidence from the sanctuary at Yaylababa Köyü). Some texts name only Hosios, whereas addresses addressed only to Dikaios are extremely rare; this might reflect an earlier aspect of the cult. The neuter form of the name (Hosion kai Dikaion) is very rare. Hosios kai Dikaios present a distinct deity, and not a 'nom divin mystique' or an hypostasis of another deity (Apollon or Helios). R. also studies the combination of the notions of hosion and dikaion in the Greek literary tradition [one should add the oath of the hierai in the mystery inscription of Andania (92 BC), *LSGG* 65 1. 8: πεπολήματέ δὲ καὶ πολλὰ τῶν ἴδιων τῶν συμβολῶν ὀνίως καὶ δικαίως]. In Asia Minor the principal function of this deity (or deities) of local origin was to remind the humans to respect the divine and secular laws. The iconography, influenced by the iconography of Nemesis and Dikaion, sometimes presents Hosios kai Dikaios as one divinity and sometimes as a couple. The iconographical motives reflect the divinity's role as protector of animals, agriculture, and especially viniculture. In Maionia the god is often represented as a rider, wearing a solar crown and holding a long palm branch; in Phrygia his usual attributes are the solar crown, a balance, and a staff, which reflect the weighing of souls and the administration of justice. Usually the dedications were made after a vow for the welfare of the dedicant, his/her family, and property; some monuments were dedicated by village communities. Two interesting exceptions are a funerary inscription with the appeal to Hosios kai Dikaios to punish persons who had wronged the deceased woman and one text closely related to the "confession inscriptions". In Maionia the cult was practiced in many small sanctuaries, the modest religious personnel consisting of priests, in one case of a prophetes; in Phrygia a major sanctuary is known at Yaylababa Köyü, where also an association existed (συνεστίας φιλαργήκων). The mention of a high priest in Dorylaion indicates the existence of an hierarchy. Deities associated with the cult of Hosios kai Dikaios were Hosia, Hosia kai Dikaia, Apollon, Helios, Mes, Meter Theon (Kybele), and, sporadically, Dike, Zeus Hypsistos, Zeus Bronton, Zeus Olbios, Herakles, Chrysea Parthenos, Selene, Hermes, Dionysos, Dikaioyne, Tyche, and Asklepios. The epigraphic evidence shows that Hosios kai Dikaios could be conceived either as angel(s) of a more distant god or as god(s) on their own right. The first conception is probably earlier. R. argues that the cult originated in Phrygia [but see supra n° 174] and was not influenced by Judaism.

dedicated to ["Ἡλιος ο Θεὸς "] and his angels Hosios kai Dikaios as a vow ὑπὲρ σωφίαν [members of the same ὁμοίωσις, i.e., perhaps a cult association] (1, Bozan, 2nd/3rd cent.). The dedicants were three ὑποτακτικοί θεών [one of them with the theophoric name Asklepios], i.e., probably members of the cult personnel. This is the first unequivocal identification of Hosios kai Dikaios as angels of Apollo. Another altar was dedicated by a man to Helios, Hosios kai Dikaios for the welfare of his household and his village (2). In another dedication for the welfare of a household, Hosios kai Dikaios Theos is conceived as one deity and is represented wearing a solar crown (3, 2nd cent.). Another fragmentary dedication is addressed to Hosios Dikaios (4). Herakles is represented on one of the sides of an altar dedicated to Hosios; Hosios is represented holding a staff; Herakles' association with Hosios kai Dikaios is well attested (5). A dedicatory tablet is addressed to Hosios (6, 2nd/3rd cent.).

187) J. ROBERT - L. ROBERT, Décret de Kolophon pour un chresmologue de Smyrne appelé à diriger l'oracle de Claros, in BCH, 116 (1992), p. 279-291 [BE 1993, 456]: Ed. pr. of an important honorific decree of Kolophon (2nd cent. BC) for the chresmologos Menophilos of Smyrna. In accordance with an oracle given by Apollon Klarios (Καὶ τὸν Ἑλιοῦν τὴν πόλιον) Menophilos was invited by Kolophon to come to the oracle at Klaros and supervise it (κατασκευήν ἐπὶ τὴν προστάσεως) τοῦ μνημείου). Menophilos is honoured for fulfilling his duties as ἰεροφόρος ("interprète des choses divines", p. 283-287) in a way worthy to the oracle's fame. The honours were to be announced at the festivals Dionysia and Great Karia. The stele was erected in the sanctuary of Apollon (ἰερολόγων τὸ τρίτον ἐν [διάξοι] πρὸς τῇ παραστάθη).

*188) N. ROBERTSON, Festivals and Legends: The Formation of Greek Cities in the Light of Public Ritual (Phoenix, Suppl. 31), Toronto-Buffalo-London, 1992: Making extensive use of the epigraphic evidence, R. studies the way old Greek festivals reflect early forms of public organization and warfare. He argues that each of the three Athenian festivals of the month Hekatombaion had served in its turn as the chief new year's festival in different periods of Athenian history. The Hekatombaia, a festival of Apollo in which the youth was introduced to the citizen body, is a relic of the days when Athens was a small community southeast of the Akropolis; the Synoikia arose when Attika was organized in phratries; the Panathenaea were introduced by Peisistratos, who placed the phratries under some constraint, in order to display the unitary strength of Athens. The Oskhophoria and the Olympieia are interpreted against the background of Athens' concern with seafaring and cavalry respectively. R. also studies the Spartan Gymnopaidiai as a festival related to the enrollment of citizens. He suggests that three early agonistic festivals (Parparonia, a festival near Kenchreai, and Ithomeia) and the sacrifice at Phigaleia were later associated with the Argives and the Messenians against Sparta. [R.'s analysis is stimulating, but the result too hypothetical, due to the late and often equivocal source material. To mention only one example, the two epigraphic testimonia for the festival Parparonia discussed in detail by R., i.e., the Κεντράων inscription and the Περαπαρονος inscription (p. 184-187, 197-199), are objects of great controversy with regard both to their reading and to their interpretation; see more recently EBGR 1989, 92; 1990, 85; SEG 41, 295. For the Athenian festivals related to the myths of Theseus R. could not consult C. CALAME, Thésée et l'imagination athénienne, Lausanne, 1990].

189) G. ROGERS, The Constructions of Women at Ephesos, in ZPE, 90 (1992), p. 215-223 [BE 1993, 71]: R. studies the contribution of women benefactors for the repair or construction of buildings in Ephesos in imperial times. Until the 3rd cent. AD they usually appear in inscriptions recording the construction, dedication, or restoration of buildings as the wives and daughters of male benefactors. Numerous texts from the first four centuries AD attest constructions funded by priestesses, who either drew upon family wealth to fulfill the obligations of their office or spent their own funds. From the middle of the 3rd cent. women were represented as builders in their own right. This increasingly prominent rôle of wealthy women in public life can be seen also, e.g., in their service as prytaneis, gymnasarchs, Asiarchs, or theuori to the Olympic games.

190) D. ROUSSET, Review of C. ANTONETTI, Les Éoliens, image et religion, Paris, 1990, in RPh, 66 (1992), p. 377-379: R. corrects A.'s view that the epithet Apollon Thermios is attested only from the late 3rd cent. BC (see ISE 78, 247/6). This epithet may be attested already
as early as the 5th cent. (IG IX 12 91: ΘΡΕΜΙΟΝ, with a metathesis). [The ethnic name Θέμιος / Θέμιος is not necessarily a divine epithet].

191) G. Roux, The History of the Rotunda of Arsinoe, in Samothrace, 7, p. 231-239 [BE 1993, 69]: The name of the husband of Queen Arsinoe in her dedicatory inscription to the Dioskouroi (Samothrace, 2.1, no 10) is not preserved. R. restores the name of Ptolemy II (not Lysimachos); consequently Arsinoes' Rotunda was dedicated ca. 276-270 BC. This is the only dedication of a Hell. queen alone, without the king. This can be explained in view of Arsinoes' adventurous life; with the dedication of the Rotunda she expressed her gratitude to the Diskouroi for saving her life and helping her become queen of Egypt.

192) C. Russo, Sul v. 9 della laminetta orfica di Hipponion, in PP, 47 (1992), p. 181-182 [BE 1993, 25; 1994, 55]: In l. 9 of the Orphic text from Hipponion R. suggests the reading δη ἐξερέας Αἰός σκότος οὐρ [e] ἐντός, i.e. "because you search through the darkness of Hades inside (its) confines" (ΟΑΟΕΕΝΤΟΣ, on the gold leaf) [for other restorations see EBGR 1989, 109; 1991, 153]. The proposed restoration corresponds to the idea that the path which the initiate will take with the other mysts begins in the kingdom of Hades.

193) G. Sacco, Alcune iscrizioni greche d'Italia, in MGR, 16 (1991), p. 217-224: Ed. pr. of a metrical epitaph in which the deceased is called Θεός, Rome, 2nd cent. AD). Another funerary stele (4, Porto, 2nd cent. AD) bears the unusual dedication ΘΕΟΣ ΚΥΣ.UL/OLO/1 (instead of ΘΕΟΣ ΚΥΣ.UL/OLO/1).


195) F. Salviat, Sur la religion de Marseille grecque, in Marseille grecque, p. 141-150: Overview of the various cults attested in Massalia (Artemis Ephesia, Apollo Delphinios, Apollon Thrageilos, Dionysos, Leukothea, Kybele) and the local festivals (Anthesteria, Thargelia, Apaturia). The religious calendar of Massalia presents a close similarity to those of Lampsakos and Phokaia.

196) D. Sansone, Cleobis and Biton in Delphi, in Nikephoros, 4 (1991) [1992], p. 121-132 [BE 1993, 91; SEG 41, 521]: The inscription on the statues of Kleobis and Biton in Delphi has been read in various ways by P. Faure and C. Vatin. Even if the reading of the name of the Dioskouroi should be correct (Φαῦδαος, "of the Anaktes"), it means that the statues were dedicated to the Dioskouroi, not that they represented the Dioskouroi.


199) F. Sartori, Ancora sulle dediche a Demetra in Eraclea Lucana, in Mélanges Lévèque, 6, p. 269-277: After a critical examination of the interpretations proposed for the graffiti on sherds and lead tablets found in the sanctuary of Demeter in Herakleia (SEG 30, 1150-1170), i.e., as dedications of statuettes of the dedicants to Demeter or as sacred manumissions,
S. endorses G. MANDOLI’s view (Manomissione sacre in Eraclea Lucana, in PP, 41, 1986, p. 99-107) that these texts record manumissions in the form of a dedication to the deity; other interpretations, however, cannot be excluded, e.g., dedications by people seeking the protection of the goddess.


*201) P. SCHMITT PANTEL, La cité au banquet. Histoire des repas publiques dans les cités grecques, Rome, 1992 [BE 1993, 169]: S.’s impressive work on the history of public banquets in the Greek cities, from the archaic to the Roman period, contains many significant contributions to aspects of public cult, esp. to the rôle of banquets in festivals. S. makes ample use of the epigraphic evidence, esp. of the lex sacra of the Labyadai in Delphi (CID 9, p. 81-93) and the Hell. inscriptions concerning religious festivals and the contributions of benefactors (p.255-420; for a list of inscriptions see p. 527-544). I indicate briefly some of the subjects of religious significance discussed in her book: participation in banquets after a sacrifice and its rôle for the construction of identity (p. 45-52), banquet and the initiation of the youth (p. 76-81), various festivals (Athens, Apatouria: p. 81-91, 131-135; Panathenaia: p. 126-130; Dionysia: p. 130f.; Thesmophoria: p. 131-135; Theseia: p. 136-139; Bouphonia: p. 139-143; Delphi, Apollai: p. 81-91), the vocabulary of banquets used in the inscriptions (p. 261-289), and the public banquets, usually funded by benefactors, in Hell. festivals (organization, participation, funding, location, food and wine, distributions, p. 291-420). [For a critical review of the part dedicated to euergetism see Ph. GAUTHIER, in BE 1993, 169, who comments on several inscriptions and points to a methodological problem of the book. The public banquets are mainly known from 2nd cent. BC inscriptions, whose focus on the rôle of benefactors may be misleading, esp. with regard to the participation of non-citizens. Many festivals, however, for which we have no Hell. regulations and which continued to be celebrated according to the old traditions, were probably limited to the citizens].

202) J. SCHWARTZ, Décrets de prêtres sous Ptolémée III Euergete, in ZPE, 91 (1992), p. 83-84 [BE 1993, 677]: A fragment of a decree of the synod of priests found in Elephantine [now in Louvre; see supra n° 13, 23] and a fragment now in Uppsala (SB 10036) belong to the same decree. S. publishes the fragment of Uppsala with commentary [for the decrees of synods of Egyptian priests for Ptolemies see EBGR 1991, 104].

203) E. SCHWERTHEIM, Neue Inschriften aus Adramyttion, in EA, 19 (1992), p. 125-133 [BE 1993, 44, 435]: Ed. pr. of a honorific inscription for the benefactor Alkippides by the demos of Adramyttion and the Roman merchants (late 1st cent. BC); Alkippides received heroic honours (l. 6: ἑρώω). 204) H.A. SHAPIRO, Mousikoi Agones: Music and Poetry at the Panathenaia, in Goddess and Polis, p. 52-75: Based on the iconographic evidence S. argues that Perikles did not introduce or reintroduce the musical contests at the Panathenaia, but reorganized them, adding certain events, dropping others, and enacting the new program as law. IG II² 2311 (early 4th cent.), which lists contests of kitharodai, aulodai, men kitharistai, and auletaei, probably also boys kitharistai and auledoi, reflects the program laid down by Perikles. A close analysis of the iconography of archaic vases shows that the earlier program included contests of auletaei and aulodai (probably from 566 on, under the influence of the Pythia); contests of kitharodai and kitharistai were added later, still in the 6th cent. Contests of rhapsodes had probably taken place before Hipparchos limited this contest to performances of the Iliad and the Odyssey.

205) P.J. SIJPESTEIJN, A Remark on SB V 7905, in CE, 134 (1992), p 328: S. interprets the dedication to Zeus Soter by Besarion, a messenger of the prefect (not Besarion and a messenger of the prefect, as understood by E. BERAND supra n° 13, text 30).
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210) L. Soverini, Il "commercio nel tempio": Osservazioni sul regolamento dei κάσηαδι a Samo (SEG XXVII, 545), in *Opus*, 9/10 (1990/1991) [1992], p. 59-121 [BE 1993, 393]: S. reads with ample commentary the Hell. inscription of Samos which regulates the operation of shops in the Heraion (SEG 27, 545). S. focuses on the economic and legal aspects of the document, giving numerous parallels for the operation of shops in sanctuaries, the presence of soldiers (esp. in periods of war), the exclusion of slaves from financial activities in the sanctuary, and prohibitions against fugitive slaves and suppliants.

211) A.J.S. Spawforth, *Spartan Cults under the Roman Empire*, in *Philolakon*, p. 227-238: S. studies the various aspects of worship in Roman Sparta, esp. the administration of public cults by the hieronmomenes and the hierothyat (on this office see infra n° 243), the civic priesthoods, the public divination, the religious innovations (esp. the introduction of the emperor cult and the establishment of a Serapeum), and the private cult-practices (esp. dedications). This material "underlines the extent to which civic religion played a major part in evoking the old Sparta which the Roman city was so intent in placing on show".
212) A. STEINER, *Pottery and Cult in Corinth: Oil and Water at the Sacred Spring*, in *Hesperia*, 61 (1992), p. 385-408: s. studies a group of oil-containing vessels found at the sanctuary called ‘the Sacred Spring’ in the agora of classical Korinth (5th-2nd cent. BC). They were probably used in a chthonic cult. S. collects evidence from leges sacrae for the use of oil in the cult (p. 402 n. 70).

213) G. STEINHAUER, Δύο τιμητικά ψηφίσματα τῶν Ἀρχανέων, *APhem*, 131 (1992) [1993], p. 179-193: Ed. pr. of two honorific decrees of the demos of the Acharneis for its officials (tamias, demarchos, epimeletes of the festival Διονύσου κατ᾽ ἄρροις). The office of the epimeletes of the Dionysia in a demos is attested for the first time. Besides their other duties they offered sacrifices to the gods and heroes, conducted the Dionysia (sacrifice, procession, agon), and dedicated a phiale. The decrees were set up in the sanctuary of Athena Hippia (Athens, 315/4). The officials, in the second decree, and their descendants were given the privilege of prohedria at the Dionysia in Acharnai. The tamias was liable to control of the city authorities, probably because he administered public (Athenian) money for the Dionysia. It is possible that Demetrios of Phaleron (316/5) legislated that the Dionysia celebrated in the demes were to be financed by the city.


217) Α. STRAMAGLIA, *Il leone, il tesoro e l’indovinello*, in *ZPE*, 91 (1992), p. 53-59: One of the miracles narrated in the Epidaurian collection of healing miracles (n° 46 ed. HERZOG) reports how a woman found a lost treasure on the spot where the statue of a lion cast its shadow at noon, in the month Thargelion. This is a folklore motive, probably also applied by IAMBLICHOS in his *Babyloniana*.


was associated with Artemis, Hekate, Selene, Demeter, and Aphrodite (IGR III 903). The title of Eutychia (ἤνθρωπης ἔλθεν) is related to the ritual of walking on burning coals (Strab. XII, 2, 7: δι' ἄνθρωπος βεβαίως). T. gives a detailed commentary on fire-walking in Perasia’s cult. Eutychia’s agnomen Metereine (from Meter?) may be related to her office as priestess of Artemis. The name Metereine was already attested in Mopsuestia.

221) P. Themelis, ‘Ἀνασκαφὴ Μεσσηῆς’, in PAAH, 146 (1991) [1994], p. 85-128 [SEG 41, 365]: Presentation of new epigraphic finds from the sanctuary of Artemis Orthia in Messene, including the dedication of an agonothetes to Artemis Orthia (p. 90, 3rd cent.), dedications to the gods (p. 91, 2nd cent.), and to Artemis (p. 97ff., n° 2-3, 2nd/1st cent.). Notice also the treaty oath preserved in a fragment between Messene and Polyperchon (317 BC, p. 96f., n° 1, l. 9-11) and three lists of priests and kηστοικόμειν and προστάται of Artemis Kyprissia (p. 99-102, n° 7-9, 3rd cent.) [cf. EBr 1991, 238]. One intact list names 28 kistiokomoi.

222) P. Themelis, ‘Ἀνασκαφὴ Μεσσηῆς’, in PAAH, 147 (1992) [1995], p. 60-87 cf. BE 1994, 93, 125]: T. presents numerous new epigraphic finds in Messene. Five dedicatory inscriptions found in the gymnasium were addressed to Herakles and Hermes (3rd-1st cent., p. 63-69). A dedication to Apollon Agylieus was found near the fountain called Arsinoe (p. 84, 4th/3rd cent.), whose sanctuary has to be located on the north side of the agora (cf. IG V 1, 1441).

223) M. Tortorelli Ghidoni, Sul v. 4 della laminitta di Hipponion: ΨΥΧΟΝΤΑΙ o ΨΥΧΟΝΤΑΙ?, in PP, 47 (1992), p. 177-181 [BE 1993, 25; 1994, 54]: T. proposes a new reading of l. 4 in the Orphic text of Hipponion [cf. supra n° 192]: ἐνθα καταρρέεται ναναί νεκρών ψυχέντα ("are animated"), instead of ψυχονται ("cool themselves"); thus the text contains an eschatological message, i.e., the souls of the just receive new life.

224) J. Tréheux, L’unité de pesée et l’unité de compte des béropes à Délos, in Economics of Cult, p. 21-23: The Attic drachma remained the unit of measurement in the accounts of the hieropoioi of Delos even after the island gained its independence (314 BC).

225) T. Tsatsopoulou, ‘Ἡ ἀνασκαφὴ ἔρευνα στὴν ἀρχαῖα Μεσσήβια Θράκης κατὰ τὸ 1989’, in AEMT, 3, p. 577-585: Ca. 200 inscribed sherds were found inside the temple of Apollon in Mesembria and near it (6th/5th cent.); most of them are inscribed with the name of Apollon, which was probably pronounced ‘Ampollon’.

226) O. Tzachou-Alexandri, A Vase Painter as Dedicator on the Athenian Acropolis: A New View of the Painter of Acropolis 606, in D. Buitron-oliver (ed.), New Perspectives in Early Greek Art, Hannover-London, 1991: T.-A. publishes a new amphora painted by the Painter of Akropolis 606. Based on the epigraphic evidence for vases dedicated by their painters to Athena she argues that the masterpiece of this painter, found on the Akropolis, was a dedication by the artist himself (ca. 565 BC).

227) V. Tzafiris, The "God who is in Dan" and the Cult of Pan at Banias, in Eretz Israel, 23 (1992), p. 128-135: T. studies two aspects of syncretism and cult continuity in Palestine, i.e., the continuous worship of a local deity called θεὸς ὁ ἐν Δαοναῖς until the late imperial period (Banias) and the introduction of the cult of Pan (later called Diopan) in Banias and Caesarea Philippi.

228) Ch. Tzouvara-Souli, Ἀμφρακία, Arta, 1992 [BE 1993, 342]: General presentation of the history and topography of Ambrakia, with thorough discussion of the various cults attested in this city (p. 133-201), i.e., the cults of Aphrodite Ainelas, Apollon (Agylieus, Pythios), Athena Chalinitis, Artemis (Agrotera, Hegemone, Pergaia, Pasikrata), Asklepios, Dione, Hestia, the Nymphs, Zeus (Prytanis), and the Egyptian deities. The cults of Apollon, Athena, and Artemis were strongly influenced by Ambrakia’s mother city, Korinth. [B. Helly, in BE 1993, 342 remarks that one of the inscriptions is a dedication to (Apollon) Soter and Zeus (not Zeus Soter)].
229) F. VAN STRATEN, *Votives and Votaries in Greek Sanctuaries*, in *Le sanctuaire grec*, p. 247-284: S. gives an excellent overview of the various aspects of dedications in Greek sanctuaries. The ancient iconography (dedicatory reliefs) shows that worshippers regarded votives as a typical component of a sanctuary. The vocabulary attested in the inscriptions reflects the views of ancient worshippers about dedications, as decorative elements and commemorations of events. In general, dedications established a permanent link between the worshipper and the deity. The leges sacrae both regulate the placing of dedications (*LSL* 107, 123; *LSG* 43) and introduce measures for their protection (*LSAM* 74). A comparison between the iconography and the inscriptions reveal an interesting aspect of dedications by women. Although some inscriptions indicate that a woman alone was the dedicant, the relief representation presents the dedication as a family affair; on the contrary, private dedications by men could be regarded as a private matter. The iconography of votive reliefs is rather limited, focusing on the elements of incubation, prayer, sacrifice, and, rarely, ritual dances. The sacrifice scenes on reliefs depict only the presentation of the animal to the altar or the placing of the *oulai* on the altar, and not the actual killing of the animal.

230) E. VARINIOGLU - A. BRESSON - P. BRUN - P. DEBORD - R. DESAT, *Ouranion en Carie*, in *REA*, 94 (1992), p. 155-174 [BE 1993, 519]: Ed. pr. of two Hell. honorific decrees of Ouranion which attest the eponymous official *stephanephoros* (1, 2) and the month *Eleutherion* (1). One of the decrees was to be inscribed in a sanctuary, probably that of Aphrodite (1). The goddess with the epithet Akraia (*IKeramos* 10) should be identified with Aphrodite, and not Hera (p. 171).

231) A. VEGAS SANSALVADOR, *Xamvûnη, ein Beiname der Demeter in Olympia*, in *Glotta*, 70 (1992), p. 166-180 [cf. *SEG* 41, 395]: Linguistic study of the epithet Chamyn, attested for Demeter in Olympia (*PAUS.* VI, 20, 8-9; VI, 21, 1; *lVO* 485) [cf. *EBGR* 1991, 259]. The evidence for the association of Demeter Chamyn with the Eleusinian goddesses, her relation to horses, the related cult of Demeter Konia (from *kônia*, 'dusty'), and the myth of Demeter's sacred marriage with Iasion, one of the Daktyloi related to the *xmuvînî*, support the conclusion that Demeter Chamyn was a chthonic deity; her epithet is probably related to *xmavî* and *vwî*, i.e., 'having her lair on the earth' [cf. already O. KERN, *Die Religion der Griechen*, Berlin, 1926, I, p. 219; *Xamvînî*; U. VON WILAMOWITZ-MOELLENDORF, *Der Glaube der Hellenen*, Berlin, 1932, I, p. 207 n. 1].

232) M. DE HENAR VELASCO LOPEZ, *Le vin, la mort et les bienheureux. À propos des lamelles Orphiques*, in *Kernos*, 5 (1992), p. 209-222: Commentary of the verse *olvov EXEIÇ Euv(a>Î~ova tl~(~>V in the Orphic texts from Pelinna (Thessaly) [for the text see most recently *BBGR* 1991, 89]. The wine is characterized as "a fortunate recompense" [for an alternative reading ("you have received, fortunate, wine as your honour", see *EBGR* 1991, 89). V. argues that the reference to wine should not be interpreted as a reference to funerary libations or the initiation ritual, but to the deceased person's life after death; this view can be supported by many literary sources which describe the pleasures of the underworld as a banquet with an abundance of wine.

233) G. VELENIS - P. ADAM-VELENI, *Ρωμαϊκό θέατρο στή Θεσσαλονίκη*, in *AEMT*, 3, p. 241-256 [BE 1992, 313]: V.-A. report the discovery of a huge building (length 250 m., 1st-4th cent. AD), probably the theatre/stadium mentioned by Byzantine authors. They present a list of archaeological and epigraphic monuments related to performances in this theatre, including an unpublished funerary monument for a winner of a contest.

234) H.S. VERSNEL, *Insconsistencies in Greek and Roman Religion. I. Ter Unus. Isis, Dionysos, Hermes: Three Studies in Henotheism*, Leiden, 1990: The three studies are devoted to the cult of Isis in the Hell. period (p. 39-95, with detailed commentary on the aretalogy of Maroneia), the cult of Dionysos in Athens (p. 96-205, with presentation of the epigraphic evidence at p. 137-146), and an epigram of Martialis (V, 24) for the gladiator Hermes, which resembles an aretalogy (p. 206-251). But besides these studies, this important book contains
stimulating remarks on a variety of subjects, with extensive use of the epigraphic evidence, esp. on aretalogies and hymns on stone (p. 41-52), on confession inscriptions (p. 65f., 198-204), the perception of a deity (esp. Isis) as saviour (p. 45-47), the use of the word *tyrannos* as an attribute of gods (p. 62-64), the perception of deities as rulers (esp. of villages in Asia Minor, p. 64-67), the introduction of new gods in Athens (Adonis, Kybele, Bendis, Kotys, Sabazios, Isodai'tes, p. 102-119) and Delos (Sarapis, the Phoenician Herakles in Delos (p. 120f.), the crime of asebeia (p. 123-131), epiphanies of gods (p. 191), and the expression *megas theos* (p. 194f.).

235) M. VICKERS, *The Metrology of Gold and Silver Plate in Classical Greece*, in *Economics of Cult*, p. 53-72: A detailed study of many temple inventories leads to the surprising conclusion that the gold and silver plates dedicated in Greek sanctuaries followed the Achaemenid weight standard (even after Alexander’s conquests).

236) I. VOKOTOPOULOU, *Ἀνασαράφη Μένδης*, 1989, in *AEMT*, 3, p. 409-423: Mention of a kylix dedicated to Poseidon (p. 416, fig. 17, 5th cent. BC) found in Poseidi; the modern name of the site derives from the name of the god. This is the third sanctuary located on the peninsula of Pallene, after the sanctuaries of Dionysos and Ammon Zeus at Aphytì and that of Artemis at Sane.

237) E. VOUTIRAS, *Berufs- und Kultverein: Ein Doumos in Thessalonike*, in *ZPE*, 90 (1992), p. 87-96 [BE 1992, 314]: Ed. pr. of a grave inscription dedicated by the δούμας *Ἀφροδίτης Ἐπιτευξίδας* to one of its members (Thessalonike, AD 90/91). The inscription was probably set up in a cenotaph (cf. ἔξος τελεωτήσαντα). The term δούμας as designation of a cult and professional association is well attested in Asia Minor, but only here attested in mainland Greece [for a further attestation see *supra* n° 124]. The officials of this doumos included an archisynagogos, a grammateus, and an exetastes; the latter office is rarely attested for associations. As may be inferred from the representation of the deceased as a captain, this particular association was probably an association of merchants and sailors. The protector of this association was Aphrodite, whose epiklesis is related to the words ἐπιστυγχάνω/ἐπιτευχέως ('succeed in your enterprise'). Aphrodite’s rôle as protector of sailors and merchants is well attested.

238) E. WAEGEMAN, *ἈΡΩΠΙΦΡΑΣΙΣ. Aphrodite’s Magical Name*, in *AC*, 61 (1992), p. 237-242: W. studies the magical name ἈΡΩΠΙΦΡΑΣΙΣ, interpreted by C. BONNER as the secret name of Aphrodite. This name appears mostly on amulets with representations of the goddess, in the iconographical typus of Aphrodite binding her hair. Both the representation and the material used for the amulets (usually lapis lazuli) correspond to the descriptions of gems in the *Kyranides* 1, 5, 27f. These amulets were used in love and forensic magic. The colour of the stone may have alluded to the celestial character of the goddess. The two components of her magical name (ἀρωπότ, φρατό) may have been associated with the Greek words σύγχρηση (cf. φρατόσφυρον, i.e., lapis lazuli) and φράσα (plough), cf. φρατό or ἀφράτη, a poetical name for the fertile woman.

239) R. WAGMAN, *An Inscribed Architectural Block from Epidauros (IG, IV², 1, 129-131)*, in *Epigraphica*, 54 (1992), p. 280-283 [BE 1994, 92]: The inscription *IG* IV² 1, 129-131 (Asklepieion, Epidauros, 3rd cent. AD) contains hymns to all the gods, Pan, and Meter Theon. This stone, an architectural block used for the construction of a monument or building in the late 4th cent. BC, was inscribed many centuries later. The blocks with hymns to Hygieia, Asklepios, Pallas, and Apollon (*IG* IV² 1, 132-135 II) belong to the same construction [on these hymns cf. *EBGR* 1989, 12].

240) R. WAGMAN, *Two Inscribed Sculptural Representations of Pan from Epidauros*, in *ZPE*, 93 (1992), p. 45-46 [BE 1993, 94]: W. studies the sculptural features of an inscribed statuette of Pan (*IG* IV² 1, 466, imp.) and a votive relief depicting Pan (*IG* IV² 1, 305, 4th cent. BC), both dedicated to Asklepios in Epidauros.

241) R. WAGMAN, *On IG IV² 1, 132-134*, in *PP*, 47 (1992), p. 469-471: According to M. MITI'SOS the inscription *IG* IV² 1, 132-134 in Epidauros contained four hymns, i.e., the psalm of Arifhron to Hygieia and the hymn to Asklepios (col. I), and the hymns to Pater Theon and Palas (col. II, cf. *SEG* 36, 350). W. observes that these texts were written on an architectural structure [cf.
supra n° 239], it follows that in the hymn to Pater Theon the missing part is much longer than M. had assumed. [ES]

242) P. WEISS, *Einige beschriftete Kleinobjekte*, in ZPE, 91 (1992), p. 192-200 [BE 1993, 103]: Ed. pr. of several inscribed objects. An inscribed silver sheet records the dedication of an eagle to Theos Patroios Epekoos, i.e., Zeus (Asia Minor, imp.). A bronze tabula ansata records the dedication of the boxer Moas, also known as Baktcis, to Apollo, in fulfillment of a vow after his victory at the agon Karia (Asia Minor, 1st cent. BC?). W. also restores the inscriptions on two small mirror frames from Xanten and Sucidava (CIL XIII 10029.319). The text (κόσκλον τουτί νόσον ἄρ' ἔλαττο τουτί, i.e., "this round object diminishes your sickness") may be a proverb. Looking at him/herself in the mirror the person saw a smaller image and hoped that the pain (probably lovesickness) would decrease accordingly.

243) J. WINAND, *Les hérothytai. Recherche institutionnelle* (Académie Royale de Belgique, Mémoires de la Classe des Lettres, 2e série, t. LXVIII, Fasc. 4 - 1990), Bruxelles, 1990 [SEG 40, 1717]: Detailed discussion of the offices of hérothytai and archiérhothytai, attested basically on Rhodes (95 attestations) and in another 19 cities (29 attestations) from the 5th cent. BC to the 3rd cent. AD. The largest part of the study is dedicated to Lindos (p. 32-92), where the majority of the texts were found (82 texts). The rest of the evidence comes from Kamiros, Ialysos, Rhodes, the cities of the Rhodian Peraia, the Rhodian colonies, Sparta, Kyrene, Andania, Messene, Tegea, Phigaleia, Megalopolis, Thyrrheion, Histiaia, Demetrias, and Selge. This office is attested also in Argos (EBGR 1991, 134 and supra n° 119), Crete (EBGR 1988, 15), and Segesta (supra n° 61). This office was usually occupied by members of the upper class at the beginning of adulthood, as one of the first offices of their public career. The hérothytai usually formed boards, attested in Lindos, Rhodos, Sparta, Kyrene, Phigaleia, Megalopolis, Messene, Andania, and Demetrias; the existence of a board in Selge can be inferred from the office of an archiérhothytai in this city. Their official seat (hierothyteion) is attested in Lindos. In Lindos the hérothytai were subordinate to the priest of Athena Lindia, assisting in the offering of sacrifices. The hérothytai usually served in the cult of minor deities and heroes. In cities where only one hérothytai existed, his rôle was more important and he served in the major cult of the city. The office of archiérhothytai, attested for the first time in 296 BC, became increasingly important. It was usually occupied after the office of the hérothytai. The office of hérothytai, probably a peculiar Dorian institution, is older than its first epigraphic attestation in the 5th cent. Since it existed in the Rhodian colonies, it must have existed in Rhodos already before the period of colonization.

244) M. WORLLE, *Neue Inschriften aus Aizanoi I*, in Chiron, 22 (1992), p. 337-376 [BE 1993, 1994, 314; SEG 40, 1197]: 1) Ed. pr. of an inscription recording the dedication of a bridge to emperor Antoninus Pius, the deified emperor Hadrian (Θεὸς Ἀδριανὸς Παντοκλάτορος), the Eleusinian Goddesses, Athena Polias, Poseidon, and Amphitrite by the benefactor M. Ulpius Appuleius Eurykles (Aizanoi). The text is dated with reference to the 234th Olympic Games and the 6th Panhellenic Agon (AD 157). Eurykles applied this dating, probably because he wanted to commemorate his journey to Athens and his participation in the session of the Panhellenia (AD 153-157). The deities mentioned in his dedication have no particular relation to Aizanoi; they probably served the same purpose, alluding to Eurykles' travel to Athens (Hadrian Panhellenios, Eleusinian deities, Athena Polias) and his safe return (Poseidon, Amphitrite). 2) Ed. pr. of a dossier of inscriptions in the stadium of Aizanoi commemorating the offices held by Eurykles, his ancestors, and other members of his family. His grandfather Appuleius Flavianus was high priest of the provincial emperor cult in Pergamon (4d) and priest of Dionysos (4b); his embassy to Rome (3) may have been related to a conflict about the sacred land of Zeus [cf. EBGR 1988, 97l. Eurykles' father Appuleius Flavianus held the offices of agonothetes of Deia, priest of Zeus, priest of Zeus for lifetime, and agonothetes of the great pentaeteric agons (3, 4a; cf. SEG 35, 1365). Eurykles himself served as high priest of the provincial emperor cult in Pergamon (4d) and probably in Smyrna (3), agonothetes of the Deia (3), agonothetes of the provincial agon at Sardis (3), and
agonothetes of the provincial agon Augusteia Asias (new name of Rhomaia Sebasta) in Pergamon (2b). His wife Severina served together with him as high priestess of Asia (2b); his sister Iulia held the same office in Pergamon together with her husband Asklepiades. Another member of the family served as agonothetes of the agon Traianeia in Pergamon (2a). The grandfather of Eurykles' wife, Antonius Asklepiades, had won the wrestling contest of the boys in the Olympic games of AD 93 (2c, 4e). In an appendix W. restores the honorific inscriptions for Aelia Ammia, high priestess of Asia, found in Amorion and Ephesos [cf. EBGR 1988, 66; 1990, 160]. According to W.'s convincing restoration of the Ephesian text (I.Ephesos 689) Ammia held the office together with her son Aquila (συν[ερωτασ][ενην τη[ς άρης, πο[τ τή[ς Ιάννων]). The inscriptions of Amorion and Ephesos do not support R.A. KEARSLEY'S view that the title asiarches denotes a different function from that of archiereus [EBGR 1990, 166; cf. supra n° 96, 148]; on the contrary, they confirm the communis opinio, that the high priest of Asia bore the title Asiarches as president of the provincial council.

245) L. ZHMUD, Orphism and Graffiti from Olbia, in Hermes, 120 (1992), p. 159-168 [BE 1993, 26]: Study of the Orphic graffiti on bone plaques found in Olbia [cf. EBGR 1991, 264]. Z. defends the reading ὅρψιοι in the first graffito (against M.L. WEST'S reading Δος νύσφο δρψιοι or ὅρψικων), i.e., 'To Dionysos from Orphies'. The inscription βιος-θάνατος-βιος-ἄλθεια on a plaque reflects the belief in metempsychosis, temporary death being followed by new birth (cf. the opposition ψυχή-σώμα on another plaque). Thus, the Olbian graffiti attest the existence of an association, whose members called themselves Orphies, already in the 5th century BC; they also confirm the relation between Dionysiac cult and Orphism. Z. argues that the Orphic movement was not a conglomerate of loosely related phenomena, but had a coherent set of views, allowing, however, for differences between various groups of followers.
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