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Abstract: This paper explores the politics of cult in early fourth-century Thessaly, a period of prolonged *stasis* throughout the region. Two case studies are offered: The first explores Jason of Pherai’s planned expedition to Delphi in 370 and its potential impact on Thessalian corporate identity; the second reconstructs the role of Ennodia in the Pheraian tyrants’ attempts to win regional hegemony.

Résumé : Cet article étudie la politique cultuelle du début du IVe siècle en Thessalie, une période de *stasis* prolongée dans la région. Deux études de cas sont proposées. La première aborde l’expédition planifiée par Jason de Phères à Delphes en 370 et son impact potentiel sur l’identité thessalienne. La seconde étude reconstruit le rôle d’Ennodia au sein des tentatives des tyrans pour imposer leur hégémonie à la région.

Introduction

During that period at the time of an eclipse of the sun, Lykophron of Pherai, wishing to rule all of Thessaly, conquered in battle those Thessalians opposing him, Larisans and others, and he killed many.

September 3, 404, the conventional date of Lykophron of Pherai’s successful battle against those opposing his designs on controlling Thessaly, marks in many traditional historiographies the first in a long series of military conflicts for leadership in Thessaly which so dominated the region in the early fourth century and illustrated so joylessly the Thessalian predilection for *stasis*.1 Xenophon’s abbreviated description of the event can be seen to stand at the
beginning of such a historiography. The conflict that he depicts is eminently military in character, and the immediate consequences of this event are again conceived of in purely physical terms—“and he killed many”. But there is another layer of inchoate analysis even in Xenophon to which subsequent historiography has been less sensitive. Xenophon describes Lykophron’s aspirations in geographic terms (“wishing to rule all of Thessaly”) and the addition of the adjective δυνης perhaps suggests that the Pheraian was already master of “some” Thessalian territory beyond his native city. This desire led to confrontation with inhabitants of this region (“Thessalians”), whose identity is immediately clarified by an appositive phrase (“Larisans and others”). There is thus in this passage a profound elision of place and people, of city and region, which well captures another, non-military side of this period of Thessalian stasies. Lykophron is never heard from again, but the questions posed by his attempt at winning regional authority would linger. These wars were not simply a matter of who would rule Thessaly and how would he or they do it, but something still more fundamental: What was Thessaly? Perhaps more urgently, what did it mean to be Thessalian? Such questions are rarely so baldly and naively framed and, as history reminds us, do often enough admit of purely military solutions. But given the inherently discursive nature of identity, which is not primordial and static, but elective and evolving, there may be other answers to these questions—social-cultural, political, and, the topic of this paper, religious.

This essay explores the relationship between cult and regional identity in fourth-century Thessaly through two case studies. The first, squarely grounded in roughly contemporary literary evidence, concerns the appropriation of a panhellenic figure, Pythian Apollo, by Jason, tyrant of Pherai, tagos of the Thessalians, and his use of this divinity to build a Thessalian identity and therein to cement regional consensus supporting his rule. The second, based now on laconic or lacunose inscriptions, now again on late literary sources, explores an aggressive attempt, most likely by the tyrants of Pherai, to stake out an elect position for their city within this developing regional identity by shaping public discourse about a peculiarly Thessalian goddess, Ennodia. Where panhellenic Pythian Apollo was in some sense made a regional, Thessalian god through Jason’s actions, Ennodia, already a figure of regional prominence in the fourth century, was remade into a Pheraian goddess by the tyrants. While it is difficult to reach definitive conclusions about the relative success of each of these endeavors, the Thessalian nachleben of both figures may be illuminating. Philip II’s use of Pythian Apollo for a purpose similar to Jason’s less than two decades after the tagos’ planned procession to Delphi suggests strongly that this god continued to be a viable figure around which a Thessalian identity could be constructed. Ennodia’s fortunes were to be vastly different. The tyrants’ dis-
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course was influential, but successful outside of Thessaly alone. In central and southern Greece there is considerable evidence that a narrative of Ennodia’s Pheraian origins was current well into the Roman era. The effect within Thessaly was precisely opposite. While Ennodia’s connection with Pherai was ignored in the language of cult in the region, such an association was not easily forgotten and likely contributed to the goddess’ absence among the patron deities of the Thessalian League at the time of its refounding in the second century.

1. Jason’s Procession to Delphi

By 370, less than thirty-five years after Lykophron’s victory, Thessalian politics had stabilized. Following his appointment as tagos of the Thessalians in 375, Jason of Pherai was subsequently able to secure control over tetradic Thessaly and, in the aftermath of Leuctra, to win influence in perioikic Perrhaebia as well as the Spercheios valley. This expansion of power into central Greece was both a reminder of previous Thessalian glory and a potential harbinger of more adventurous policy in the near future. The capstone of this period of prosperity and ambition, symbolic of the broader transformation of regional politics, was to be the Pythian festival of 370. Xenophon describes the Thessalian preparations in some detail:

4 Xen., Hell VI, 4, 29: ἐπὶ τὸν Ἀπόλλωνα δὲ Ἀννωδίαν παρήγγειλε μὲν ταῖς πόλεσι βοῦς καὶ οἶνος καὶ ἄγας καὶ ὡς παρακεκεχοθήκε εἰς τὴν θυσίαν καὶ ἔρχοντα πάνω μετρίως ἔκατε πόλει ἐπεγγελλομένῳ γενόσθαι βοῦς μὲν οἷς ἐλάττωσας γυῖας, τὰ δὲ ἀλλὰ βοσκήματα πλεῖον ἢ μίκρα. ἔκαθε δὲ καὶ νικηθείσης χρυσοῦ στέφανον ἔσεσθαι, ὡς τῶν ἐπὶ τῆς θυσίας ἐπεγγελθεῖται τῷ θεῷ θρήφτεε.

5 The recent Theban success at Leuctra and their subsequent emergence as a potential rival to Thessaly also may have provided an important external stimulus for such a display in 370. For a recent discussion of Pheraian and Thessalian politics in 375-370, see S. SPRAWSKI, Jason of Pherae: a study of history of Thessaly in years 431-370 BC, Krakow, 1999, p. 79-114.
demands were wildly and voluntarily exceeded — 1,000 cattle, 10,000 other animals.\(^6\) One therein glimpses the displacement of latent Thessalian interstate conflicts from the political and military sphere into the realm of cult. Of equal, if not greater importance, was the collective, Thessalian character of the θεωρία, and the final outcome of these preparations may have been some form of political or social unity momentarily performed in the language of cult.\(^7\)

The successful projection of this new Thessalian identity depended ultimately on the honorand, Pythian Apollo. The choice was appropriate for several reasons. He was a familiar god, as cults honoring him in a specifically Delphian aspect were prevalent in Thessaly. One notes, among others, a fifth-century cult of Apollo Delphaios at Theotonium and a cult of Apollo Pythios at Larisa.\(^8\) Moreover, the close relationship of Delphi and Thessaly was periodically demonstrated through the performance of the Septeria, an enneateric festival which reenacted the flight of Apollo to the Vale of the Tempe in northeastern Thessaly on the border with Pieria. In myth, after murdering the monster Python, who had been terrifying Delphi and environs, the archer god was polluted and in need of purification, which he obtained at the Tempe. In the Septeria of Delphian cult, a youth was pursued from Delphi to the Tempe after leading a group to set fire to a temporary edifice within the tēmenos of Apollo there. This youth and his group then fled the sanctuary, experienced labors of some sort, and eventually made their way to the Tempe, where a modest sanctuary of Apollo has been discovered.\(^9\) There the youth was purified and the attendant group assumed the character of an ersatz θεωρία by offering rich sacrifices to Apollo. Laurel was culled and conveyed back to Delphi where it would be used for Pythian victor crowns.\(^10\) Along the way, the group may have been entertained in the countryside of Larisa, as Apollo had been.\(^11\) The
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6 For an insightful reading of the pastoral realities of such a display (and the power which it conveyed), see T. Howe, *Pastoral Politics: Animals, Agriculture, and Society in Ancient Greece*, Claremont, CA, 2008, p. 1-6, 118-120.


11 A fifth-century dedication to Apollo Leschais has been recovered from the στήλη of Larisa: IG IX 2, 1027a. The findspot has been tentatively associated with the Deipnias of myth (Aelian,
topography of this procession thus provided a powerful reminder of the linkage between Thessaly and Delphi in the sacred time of myth. S. Sprawski has made the attractive suggestion that the proceessional route used for the Septeria would also have been used by Jason’s theoria.12 Finally, Thessaly’s relationship with Pythian Apollo was meditated through the Delphian Amphictyony, an organization ostensibly grounded in ethnē rather than poleis.13 This underlying cultic reality, coupled with the collective, Thessalian character of the theoria, gave Jason an excellent opportunity to create an image of a Thessaly united under a Thessalian, as opposed to a Thessaly enslaved to a dynast from, for example, Pharsalos, Larisa, or Pherai.

Such a procession may also have had the added benefit of appealing to memories of Thessaly’s ragged, faded glory as a northern Greek power. Sources are fragmentary, but in the sixth century the Thessalians had exercised near hegemony over much of central Greece, including the Spercheios valley, Delphi, perhaps as far as Boiotia to the doorstep of Attica.14 While their standing seems to have slipped somewhat over the course of the fifth century, the Thessalians still appear influential in the Spercheios valley at the time of the Peloponnesian War. The regional stasis of the late fifth and early fourth century had resulted in diminished Thessalian influence to the south. Their elect position within the Delphic Amphictyony, probably a relic of this earlier stage of dominance, was a tangible reminder of this brilliant chapter in their history.

Jason never saw the fruit of his preparations. He was assassinated before his procession took place, and his ultimate intention toward Apollo’s sanctuary, southern Greece, and Persia quickly became fodder for conspiracy theorists, Delphic and otherwise.15 His epigones would be wildly divisive figures and regional stasis was renewed as familiar enemies like the Aleuads of Larisa again came to the fore after his death. For a moment, however, the potential of a unified Thessalian ethnē was real. Jason’s attempted redefinition of regional identity was not lost on another successful politician and general of the fourth century, Philip II of Macedon. The northern king, allied with a coalition of Thessalian states, fought a series of battles during the Third Sacred War against Onomarchos of Phokis and his Pheraian allies. Before the ultimate battle at Crocian Field in 352, Philip ordered his army to don crowns of laurel and encouraged them to fight as if avenging Apollo himself for the wrong done him by the Phokians. Victory was
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12 Sprawski, o.c. (n. 5), p. 123.

Philip's. In the aftermath he negotiated a settlement whereby the last tyrants of Pherai and much of their army withdrew from Thessaly. Later that year, Philip likely won election as archon of the Thessalian League. While the Third Sacred War continued to be waged for several more years, Crocin Field ensured that Philip would play an ever more active role in central and southern Greek politics. But in sharper regional perspective, this battle was the final episode of Thessalian stasis in the fourth century and it is fitting that Delphian Apollo was again utilized to forge regional unity.

2. Ennodia between Pherai and Thessaly

According to Polyainus, Cnopus, of the Codridae genos, was fighting with the Ionians at Erythrai after the Ionian colonization of Asia Minor. Cnopus received an oracle “to take as general from the Thessalians, the priestess of Ennodia” (στρατηγὸν παρὰ Θεσσαλὸν λαβεῖν τὴν ἱερέα τῆς Ἐννοδίας). The priestess, Chrysame, arrived and, through her mastery of herbs, cleverly poisoned the Erythraians; Cnopus led his army to victory. This curious bit of mythologizing provides an introduction to the topic of this paper’s second case study, a figure less familiar than Apollo – Ennodia. This distinctively Thessalian goddess’ name seems to mean “by the road” or “roadside” and may reflect a habitual location of her cult or perceived area of influence. She was worshiped throughout the region in a wide variety aspects, some mysterious (e.g., Stathmia, Korillos), some familiar (e.g., Patroa). Modern accounts of the goddess have tended to stress her chthonian features. These are paramount in her iconography, where she is regularly found on horseback, holding torches, and in the presence of dogs. Literary sources like Polyainos associate her with appropriate interests (the dead, witchcraft, etc.). Most strikingly, her early and important cult at Pherai appears to have arisen within a cemetery which had

16 Diodorus Siculus, XVI, 35, 4-6.
17 Diod. Sic., XVI, 37, 3; XVI, 38, 1.
19 Polyainus, Stratagemata VIII, 43.
20 The spelling of the goddess’ name varies between Ἐννοδία and Ἐννοδία. Cf. L. Dubois, “Zeus Tritodios,” REG 100 (1987), p. 461, who notes that “Ἐννοδία s’explique comme *τεν-νοδία < *τεν-νοδία exactement comme l’éolien homérique ἐνετε παντετο εστε est issu de *en-ekτo, lat. inquoque. La forme sans gémine en Thessalie s’expliquerait alors, soit comme une influence de la loinæ, soit comme une réinterprétation secondaire.”
only recently gone out of use.\textsuperscript{22} The most trenchant recent interpretation of Ennodia in the Greek world has been provided by P. Chrysostomou, who collects all literary, epigraphic, and material evidence for her cult. He argues that while at root she was an awful, fear-inspiring goddess of roadsides and the dead, and with strong connections to ghosts, witchcraft and the occult, Ennodia later evolved over the course of the Classical period into a multidimensional goddess whose perceived spheres of influence were diverse, and included politics, kourotrophy, and earthquakes.\textsuperscript{23} More significant is the important hypothesis of Chrysostomou concerning the possible diffusion of Ennodia cult from Pherai: “The extension of her cult is chiefly due to migrant Pheraians who had settled for various reasons in other cities of Thessaly, by Thessalians who had settled in passing in Pherai and became acquainted with the goddess or who had private business with Pherai and became acquainted with the goddess, as well as by Thessalians abroad (Pheraians and others) who had settled in Macedonia and elsewhere… It is obvious that the growth of the military and political power of Pherai from the Archaic period and afterwards, but especially in the period of the tyrannies of Lykophron, Jason, Alexander and his successors (end of the fifth century to 344), favorably influenced the spread of her cult.”\textsuperscript{24} She became, according to Chrysostomou, “the national Thessalian goddess.”\textsuperscript{25}

The late fifth and early fourth centuries do emerge as a period of transition for Ennodia in Thessaly, as Chrysostomou suggests, and on this front the goddess admits of comparison with Delphian Apollo; the nature and outcome of that transition remains in question, however. For, while Chrysostomou’s position represents the crystallization of a scholarly consensus that Ennodia cult originated in Pherai and was later diffused from there,\textsuperscript{26} the evidence for


\textsuperscript{23} Chrysostomou, \textit{a.e.} (n. 21), p. 104-133.

\textsuperscript{24} Chrysostomou, \textit{a.e.} (n. 21), p. 100.

\textsuperscript{25} Chrysostomou, \textit{a.e.} (n. 21), p. 268.

\textsuperscript{26} On the diffusion of Ennodia cult, see also L. Robert, “Une déesse à cheval en Macédoine,” \textit{Hellenica} XI-XII (1960), p. 588-595, who emphasized the importance of the goddess in Thessaly and Macedonia and suggested that the goddess spread from Phere to the north; and C. Morgan, \textit{Early Greek States Beyond the Polis}, London/New York, 2003, p. 139-140, who noted for the Early Iron Age and Archaic eras that “… so unusual [are the circumstances of the Pheraian cult] … that it does not seem surprising to find no secure material evidence for the worship of Enodia outside Pherei until the fifth century… To a great extent, the expanding political power of Pherei must have lain behind the spread of the cult; the decision to associate with such a distinctive deity must imply a deliberate sharing of values…” E. Mastrokostas, “On the Grave
this view is not particularly strong. Such a perspective is based primarily on the
early date of her cult in Pherai, which seems to have begun sometime in the
fifth century, and the absence of any correspondingly early evidence from
elsewhere in Thessaly, the earliest material (and epigraphic) evidence dating to
the fifth century. The second point is the weaker of the two, as it rests on the
assumption that absence of evidence is indeed in this case evidence of absence
– always a risky proposition, especially in an area like Thessaly where excavation
has often been more scattershot than systematic. Nevertheless, given the
current state of evidence it is certainly possible, perhaps probable, that Ennodia
cult spread to other locations in Thessaly (and beyond) from Pherai. But
historical diffusion of a cult does not necessarily require that there be historical
memory of such an act, or that such origins would be advertised in a meaning-
ful way in the performance of cult. Purely local factors more often than not
would be decisive in determining how or even whether a cult’s origins would be
recollected. One begins to shift at this point from the scientific analysis of
physical artifacts to semiotic analysis of textual and iconographic remains, from
alien to discourse.

Irrespective of the material evidence for Ennodia’s origins at Pherai, some
such narrative was known already in antiquity. A pair of interesting passages in
Pausanias details the transport of cult images of Artemis Pherai, who must be
an extra-Thessalian hypostasis of Ennodia, to two northern Peloponnesian
cities. In Sikyon, Pausanias noted that there was a sanctuary of Artemis Pherai
on the road to the gymnasion. Locals in his time asserted that the goddess’ cult
image had been brought from Pherai.\footnote{Pausanias, II, 10, 7: κοιμήθησαν δὲ τὸ ξαναν λέγουσιν ἐν Φεραῖ.}
Pausanias observed that there was a cult of Artemis Pheraia at Argos as well and here some Argives could claim that her
cult image was transported there from Pherai.\footnote{Paus., II, 23, 5: τὸ δὴ λέγεται καὶ οὗτοι γαςιν ἐν Φεραῖων τῶν ἐν Θεσσαλίᾳ κοιμήθησαν.}
Just as these two locations shared common local narratives of the origins of their cult images of Artemis
Pheraia, so too, according to Pausanias, was the character of her cult parallel in
both Sikyon and Argos. The periegete further suggests that the Athenians had a
cult of Artemis Pheraia and that this cult too resembled the Sikyonian and
Argive versions.\footnote{Pausanias did not discuss such a cult in his section on Attica, and although no such cult is otherwise attested in the region, Hesychius notes
that a goddess Pheraia was a “foreign/strange” divinity at Athens who is most likely to
have been a representation of Artemis.} Pausanias did not discuss such a cult in his section on Attica, and although no such cult is otherwise attested in the region, Hesychius notes
that a goddess Pheraia was a “foreign/strange” divinity at Athens who is most likely to
have been a representation of Artemis.\footnote{Paus., II, 23, 5: σέβοντο γὰρ καὶ Ἀργαῖοι Φεραῖαν Αρτέμιν κατὰ ταῦτα Ἀθηναίας καὶ Σικυωνίτων.}

Epigram from Pella, \textit{A.A.A} X (1977) 259-263,” \textit{A.A.A} 11 (1978), p. 196-197, had previously
challenged this now dominant narrative and, drawing on a funerary epigram from Pella dated to
or 400-350 for a priestess of Ennodia, suggested that Ennodia cult was well-established at an early
date in Macedon and may in fact have spread from there to the south.
likely the Athenian goddess described by Pausanias as Artemis Pherai.\(^\text{30}\) Given Hesychius’ testimony, it is probable that the Athenians, like the Sikyonians and Argives, maintained that their cult image had a Pheraiian provenance. In sum, by the time of Pausanias’ writings at the very latest, there appears very clear evidence of a dominant Pheraiian discourse of origins regarding Ennodia cult.

It is possible to follow a thread of this discourse from the second century CE into an earlier period of antiquity. A third-century dedication from Phalanna in Perrhaibia, a perioikic region of northern Thessaly, indicates that the city was home to a cult of Ennodia Pherai: [Μικκιόν Θερσάνθρος | Ἄμικιον Θεσσαλία | "Mikkioun, son of Thersandros, dedicated to Ennodia Pherai."\(^\text{31}\)] Here again, if P. Clement’s restorations are secure, which they appear to be, there is perceived to be a close connection between Ennodia and Pherai, reflected in her epithet, Pherai, “of/belonging to/associated with Pherai”.

The trail ends, however, at Phalanna in the third century, and Mikkioun’s dedication remains the earliest evidence from Thessaly (outside of Pherai) attributing the goddess’ origins to Pherai. As we proceed still earlier into the fourth and fifth centuries, evidence for Ennodia discourse is less homogeneous and more fragmented. The evidence falls into two basic categories: evidence from Pherai attesting to the goddess’ importance to the polis and evidence from Thessaly outside of Pherai which makes no explicit association between Ennodia and the putative point of her cult’s diffusion.

We begin with what can be reconstructed of the Pherai narrative first, for it is based on a fuller evidentiary record. Three areas are especially significant. First, city awards of proxeny began to be published in Ennodia’s major sanctuary at Pherai in the second half of the fifth century.\(^\text{32}\) This development continues into the first half of the fourth century. Although she is not an acropolis divinity at Pherai and the sanctuary in question actually lay beyond the Classical fortification circuit, city decrees are nevertheless published there in substantial number. Elsewhere in the Greek world, it is customary to see an especially close relationship between those divinities in whose sanctuaries state decrees were published and the broader interests of the state itself. Second, Ennodia is part of the local
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\(^{31}\) Ed. pr. N. I. Gianopoulos, “Επιγραφαὶ Θησσαλίας,” AD 10 (1926), p. 52, no. 4. The text printed above is based on P. Clement, “A Note on the Thessalian Cult of Enodia,” Hesperia 8 (1939), p. 200. This Mikkioun and Thersandros are otherwise unknown (LGPN 3 B s.v. Μικκιόν 1; Θερσάνθρος 6).

\(^{32}\) For the decrees, see ed. pr. Y. Béquignon, “Études thessaliennes, XI,” BCH 88 (1964), p. 400-412, nos. 1-13 (SEG 23, 415-432); for the identification of this sanctuary as belonging to Ennodia, see Chrysostomou, s.v. (n. 21), p. 25-47.
dodekatheon in the fourth century. While the processes which lie behind this formalization of her status must remain oblique, her presence suggests a central role in state religion at the time. Finally, and most impressively, the coinage of Pherai begins to feature Ennodia prominently beginning in the early fourth century. On some issues portraits of her appear, whether frontal or in profile, typically with a torch in the surrounding field; on others she is on horseback, holding torches. Such coinage can certainly be linked with two tyrants of Pherai—Alexander, who ruled ca 368-357, and Lykophron II, who ruled ca 353-352—and Chrysostomou has argued that she may be on coinage issued during Jason’s reign as well (ca 379-370). The iconography of this coinage marks a strong departure from the city’s earlier tradition and must reflect a transformation of the goddess’ position within the city.

Taken together, these three categories of evidence suggest that the late fifth-early fourth century was a turning point for Ennodia in the city of Pherai. She gained at that time a civic orientation and emerged as a focus of communal identity. Previously she had no doubt been a major figure in the Pheraian pantheon. Her sanctuary’s large Doric temple, by far the most impressive architecture yet known for the city, had been standing since the sixth-century, a fact which suggests that some elite cadre within the community had found her cult a worthy vehicle for self-promotion. The durability and magnificence of this sanctuary ensured that it would continue to play a role in later communal self-definition. Yet there is no evidence that the Pheraians at this time claimed that Ennodia was an exclusively Pheraian divinity or that other Ennodia cults in Thessaly diffused from there.

Turning now to evidence of other Thessalian, non-Pheraian discourse concerning Ennodia, it is conspicuous that Ennodia is first epigraphically attested in a pair of inscriptions from Larisa, not Pherai, and that these dedications in no way advertise the goddess’ putative Pheraian origins. The first, dated ca 450-425, reads: Αργεία μ' ἀνάθεσε ὑπὲρ το[μ]δός | το[μ]'] ἄργαλμα αἴξα[ν]ται δ' Ληνί[ρι]ον | Φαστικόν, “Argeia dedicated me, this agalma, on behalf of her son. Agetor made a vow. To Enodia Wastika.”

The peculiar epithet is to be derived from (ϝ)ιστυ and may draw on any of the rich associations of this word. Perhaps this was simply an urban Ennodia, perhaps even a poliadic Ennodia of the

35 For earlier, fifth-century Pheraian coinage, see HEAD, o.c. (n. 34), p. 307; GARDNER, o.c. (n. 34), p. 46, pl. X; SNG III Thessaly, no. 234-238.
36 IG IX 2, 575 (CEG 1, 342; SEG 35, 590b).
community of Larisa. Most important for our purposes is the absence of any
notion of a relationship with Pherai. If Wastika is a poetic synonym for Polias,
then this Ennodia would be an emphatically Larisan goddess. The second
dedication from Larisa, dated ca 425-400, reads: ‘Ἐννοδίας : Στρογικά | Πατρώς :
ἔνθεσι | Κρατέως : Μαλάνως “Kratawas son of Malanos dedicated to Ennodia
Strogika Patroa.” As an epithet, Strogika continues to mystify. Patroa, however,
is quite familiar, normative even. Again, there is no mention of Pherai.

From the perspective of realien, these cults of Ennodia in Larisa may in the
final analysis have been diffused from Pherai. Her cult is very old there and no
comparable evidence for Ennodia cult of an equivalent age has been retrieved
from Larisa or anywhere else. But the precise historical relationship between the
Ennodia cults of Larisa and those of Pherai is unknowable in the current state of
evidence. What is perceptible is that, whatever the ultimate origins of the Larisan
Ennodias, these fifth-century dedications betray no awareness of any relationship
with Pherai. Striking, rather, is how deeply implicated in Larisa she appears: She is
ancestral (Patroa) and belongs to the urban tissue, perhaps even the political
consciousness, of the city (Wastika). In sum, these dedications may offer evidence
of a local, fifth-century discourse about the goddess which did not necessarily
involve the city of Pherai as her primordial home or, at the very least, did not
advertise such a relationship in the language of dedication. With the exception of
the Phalanna inscription, later mentions of Ennodia in Thessalian epigraphy do
not suggest a relationship with the city of Pherai, either. The pattern established
by the fifth-century Larisa inscriptions seems to hold.

When and where might there have arisen a discourse which claimed Ennodi
as a specifically Pheraian deity? If the evidence assembled above is at all
representative, the late fifth and especially the early fourth centuries at Pherai
appear transitional. Given the shift in Ennodia’s status within the community
of Pherai at that time and the city’s concomitant aspirations for regional
leadership, it is easy to see both how the city may have attempted to rebrand
Thessalian manifestations of the cult as Pheraian in origin and how subsequent,
“new” foundations of the cult may have carried a strong civic stamp. As I have
argued in this paper, broader questions about a collective regional Thessalian
identity were part of the contemporary political and military backdrop. Pheraian
claims on Ennodia would have helped to redraw the sacred topography of
Thessaly and to establish Pherai in an elect position within it. One can glimpse
how this move could conceivably have benefited the Pheraians in their quest

37 M.H. Hansen, in M.H. Hansen and T.H. Nielsen (eds.), An Inventory of Archaic and
Classical Poleis, Oxford, 2004, p. 47, has recently observed that “the distinction between asy in
the sense of urban center and polis in the sense of political community is not quite as sharp as
sometimes believed.”

38 The improved text of P. CHRYSOSTOMOU, in Υπάλληλοι. Πραγματειακά Ι’ Διεθνούς Συνεδρίου
Φαρά – Βελεστίνο – Ρήγας, Athens, 2002, p. 209-213 (non ind.) (SEG 54, 561); ed. pr. A. Τζιαφλιάκη,
for regional hegemony. Yet, for all the successes of this narrative in southern Greece and beyond, it was to be a failure in Thessaly. While Ennodia would indeed come to be worshipped throughout the Thessalian *ethnos*, as very likely she already had been by this time, there is no evidence, as Chrysostomou suggests, that she became a “national goddess”, only that the tyrants of Pherai wished that she be so. Ennodia was a far more contentious figure than Apollo and there was a fundamental difference between regionalizing a panhellenic god and parochializing a regional goddess.

The irony remains that the further one moves from Archaic and Classical Pherai in chronology and geography, the closer the original association between the city and Ennodia appears, and ultimately it may be the case that these specifically Pheraian resonances rendered her an unsuitable divinity around whom a new Thessalian identity could be constructed when Flamininus re-founded the league in 196: Ennodia is conspicuously absent from Thessalian coinage of the post-Flaminian era; decrees of the new League were not published in an Ennodia sanctuary, whether at Pherai or elsewhere in Thessaly; there is no evidence of League investment in any Ennodia sanctuary; no month of the Thessalian calendar in use after 196 appears to recognize the goddess. This negative evidence does not suggest that Ennodia became unpopular, however, for inscriptions indicate that she loomed as large in the cultic landscape of Hellenistic and Roman Thessaly as she did in the Classical period, if not larger. But the moment when Ennodia might have become truly a goddess of the Thessalians had passed, most likely in the fourth century.

**Conclusion**

The preceding case studies have attempted to show that the Thessalian civil wars which began at the end of the fifth century and continued to the middle of fourth were as often concerned with defining and contesting a regional Thessalian identity as with attaining battlefield supremacy. I have suggested that this dimension to these conflicts, which has been understandably downplayed in modern historiography given the state of the sources, found religious expression. The case of Apollo is relatively straightforward. Jason of Pherai, among the more politically astute statesmen of the fourth-century Greek world, planned a high profile Thessalian procession to Delphi. Concern with Jason’s ultimate concerns vis-à-vis Delphi and beyond has undercut the effect of these preparations within Thessaly. By 370 the undisputed and fully sanctioned hegemon of Thessaly, Jason’s intended *theoria* rekindled the long-standing Thessalian relationship with Delphi and offered Thessaly a signal opportunity to perform their newfound political unity under his leadership in cult. Ennodia posed different challenges for the tyrants of Pherai. It is clear that she assumed a high civic profile in the late fifth and early fourth centuries, and that the
tyrants actively encouraged the association of their interests, and those of the _polis_ as a whole, with her cult. Within this milieu there likely arose a discourse which claimed Pherai as the ultimate origin of other Ennodia cults in Thessaly and beyond. While this discourse may have reflected with some accuracy the historical circumstances of Ennodia cult and seems indeed to have become influential in the southern Greek world, it is significant that other Thessalian cults of Ennodia, with one exception, do not reflect it.

The most obvious general parallels for the type of activities discussed in this paper are Athenian. Athenian claims to Delos and their patronage of Delian Apollo in the fifth century attempted to foster not simply a pan-Ionian unity, but an especially elect status for Athens within this supra-_-ethnos_. Athenian attempts to raise the status of a particularly “Athenian” Athena throughout the Delian League and to compel participation at the Panathenaia, Greater Dionysia, and Eleusinian Mysteries similarly attempted to redraw the cultic topography of the Delian League in a manner that underscored Athenian imperial dominance. While the formal structures and the detailed history of fifth-century Athenian hegemony within the Delian League discourage too precise a comparison with the less well-documented fourth-century Pheraian attempts at leading Thessaly, it is appropriate to speak of the cultic dimensions of power and identity in both cases, if not of “religious propaganda” outright.
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