Stillness and Temporality in the Work of Louis MacNeice

Karen Brown
Stillness and Temporality in the Work of Louis MacNeice

Immobilité et temporalité dans l’œuvre de Louis MacNeice

Karen Brown

AUTHOR’S NOTE
An earlier version of this essay was presented at the conference “Silence... and Irish Writing”, Pázmány Péter Catholic University, Budapest (2014), organised by Dr. Michael McAteer. I thank Dr. McAteer for his insightful comments on the text. I also thank the “zero and twelve” research group at the University of St. Andrews for discussing it with me.

Museums offer us, running from among the buses,
A centrally heated refuge, parquet floors and sarcophaguses,
Into whose tall fake porches we hurry without a sound
Like a beetle under a brick that lies, useless, on the ground.
Warmed and cajoled by the silence the cowed cypher revives,
Mirrors himself in the cases of pots, paces himself by marble lives,
Makes believe it was he that was the glory that was Rome,
Soft on his cheek the nimbus of other people’s martyrdom,
And then returns to the street, his mind an arena
where sprawls
Any number of consumptive Keatses and dying Gauls
(Museums”, MacNeice 2007, 29, l. 1-10)

To date, only a handful of critics have remarked on the museal aspects of MacNeice’s œuvre. In the context of verbal-visual relationships, MacNeice’s friendship with Anthony Blunt is suggestive—according to Edna Longley, it has not yet been “widely appreciated that MacNeice’s first intellectual mentor was Anthony Blunt” (Longley 233). Their friendship reveals that MacNeice’s engagement with the museum as space and concept was both intense and lifelong, having been interwoven into his intellectual development. As Stallworthy points out, Blunt taught MacNeice to “read, mark, learn and inwardly digest” pictures when they were boys at Marlborough, and they travelled together to Spain over Easter 1936, where they visited the Prado in Madrid (180). In his autobiography The Strings are False, MacNeice recorded:

In my own house at Marlborough College the dominant intellectual was Anthony Blunt, who had a precocious knowledge of art and an habitual contempt for conservative authorities. He was very tall and very thin and drooping, with deadly sharp elbows and the ribs of a famished saint; he had cold blue eyes, a cutaway mouth and a wave of soft brown hair falling over his forehead. His features were far from classical but he had at times a pre-Raphaelite beauty; when he was annoyed he pouted and stuck out his lip, his good looks vanished and sulkiness was all. He had specialized in mathematics, but outraged the masters of the Modern Side by putting most of his energies into a society of his own to which he read papers on Cubism.
(MacNeice 1965, 95)

The two young men continued to correspond in letters for many years after their school days, and these letters are littered with references to paintings. For example, between 1927 and 1928 when MacNeice was in Merton College, and Blunt a Fellow of Trinity College, Cambridge, MacNeice asked Blunt’s advice about framing his “Cézanne Still Life”, and reported that he had bought a reproduction of “Van Gogh’s bridge”. He also invited Blunt over to Oxford to give a lecture on the artist Brueghel, and commissioned an article on modern French art for the student newspaper he edited called Galahad. These letters provide additional evidence of MacNeice’s eclectic reading at the time, counting Walter Pater’s essay on “Style”, Frazer’s The Golden Bough, Wyndham Lewis, and Virginia Woolf’s Mrs Dalloway. In one letter, MacNeice asked Blunt to purchase him a copy of the complete works of Ovid (the Metamorphoses in particular), and those of Virgil, in lieu of some money owed to him. Their inter-arts endeavours also extended to the men conceiving the idea of an illustrated magazine to be called The Venture, which was to appear in Cambridge in October. Consisting of short stories and verse, woodcuts and articles on music, art and drama, it would be edited by Blunt, H. Romily Fedden and Michael Redgrave, with a contribution by MacNeice in the first number. Regrettably, the magazine never materialised.

It was Blunt who, in a radio portrait of Louis MacNeice (BBC transmission, 7 Sept. 1966), first opened up the idea of MacNeice’s visual sensibility when he noted that:

My own contact with Louis depended to a large extent on a particular faculty which hasn’t I think been sufficiently emphasized in what’s been said and written about him, and that was his astonishing visual sensibility; both to paintings but also simply to things seen, colours of the most curious kinds [...] when he was talking about painters like Brueghel or Blake, [he] showed not only an interest in the content of the literary side, those are admittedly if you like all rather literary
painters, but an astonishing feeling for the actual visual impression. (Blunt, qtd in Walker 2009, 199)

Jon Stallworthy followed on Blunt’s observations, writing that the British Museum, and especially the Egyptian rooms, had been of primordial importance for MacNeice’s literary imagination. He quotes from the thirteen-year-old schoolboy’s diary:

British Museum
January 4th—
After 4 P.M. when part of Mus. shut went through Egyptian galleries etc. up staircase to mummy rooms with Louisa [an alias for Elizabeth?]. Egyptian rooms—First R.—Bodies of prehistoric men baked hard by the sun. Skeletons. Box & basket burials—2nd R. Mummies & mummy cases 3rd room later ornamented mummies. During 3 days saw nearly all the rooms including Roman Galleries—(sculptured heads of Julius Caesar, Nero, Titus, Marcus Aurelius, Antonius Pius etc); Graeco-Roman Rooms. (copy of Diadamerous, copy of Discobolus, Hermes); Demeter of Cnidus, Ephesus Room; Elgin Room (Thesis & 3 Fates, bust of Pericles); Phrigaleian & Weréc’ rooms; Mausoleum (statues of Mausoleus & wife) Egyptian galleries (head of Thothmes III, statue of Ramses II, many black granite statues of Sephet, the fire goddess, stone Sphinx (?) cast of Smet Smet, “Rosetta Stone”… stone sarcophagi, bits of colossal statues; Assyrian Transept. (Stallworthy 67)

Stallworthy remarks: “Many of these objects, sinking into the depths of memory and there preserved as by the peaty waters of an Irish bog, would be exhumed years later for use in poems” (67). Stallworthy does not elaborate on his salient observation, thus initiating the prospects for a new line of enquiry informed by theories of museology and by word and image studies. The text-image investigation into MacNeice’s work has been considered by Tom Walker (“Even”, 196-213), and is developed in the context of museology by Karen E. Brown (2014, 23-30).

As Elizabeth Loizeaux observes, poetry written in the twentieth century is “fully born of the museum age”, and museum and gallery experiences differ among poets according to socio-historical context (21). The specific museums considered in this chapter—the British Museum and the National Gallery in London—were built in the language of classical architecture with their large domed rotundas, portico entrances, and impressive staircases. As Kenneth Hudson has pointed out, the British Museum in particular reinforced and encouraged this classicizing tradition throughout Britain in the nineteenth century, declaring civic pride and cultural standing through the semiotics of museum architecture (Hudson 25-26). In the 1930s, as today, museums acted as a refuge from the noise of the street, providing a space wherein one could think, create and reflect in the manner described by Stuart Sim in his Manifesto for Silence (2007). Poets such as MacNeice may have gone to these museums as places of retreat from the bustle and business of everyday life, believing them to be optimal spaces of stillness in which to seek inspiration. Yet the experience could ultimately take on a moralistic value, as the poet was forced to contemplate epic themes such as suffering or death (Yeats’s mortal loss of his friends in “The Municipal Gallery Revisited”), or even a heuristic value as individual struggles or societal malaise were re-enacted within museum walls (Yeats 601-604).

Moreover, objects in modern museums become art works through the modus operandi of display. They are exhibited to encourage prolonged looking, placed in a contextual narrative imposed by the curator. Removed from their original cultural contexts, they have resonance within cultural systems of their original and current environments. Svetlana Alpers calls this aestheticisation of objects the “museum effect” (Alpers 26), while Stephen Greenblatt and Catherine Paul focus on viewers’ “resonance and wonder”
in front of museum objects (Greenblatt 42, Paul 3). Both concepts speak to the idea of an intense immobility in the museal experience. In what follows, I argue that MacNeice’s poems offer a critique of the museum as a still and silent refuge from malaise, both personal and historical. At the same time, it will be seen that a complexity exists within MacNeice’s writing wherein the museum is experienced as a powerful and layered metaphor, affirming the power of the museal space for him; a space of “intense immobility” linked to the idea of museum-mausoleum (see Bennett; Mansfield 1-8; Preciosi 28-45).

“Like a Beetle Under a Brick”

The obvious place to begin this enquiry is with MacNeice’s early poem “Museums”, written in 1933. Here, the reader imagines the poet seeking solace in a museum and playing out his fantasies there. In the poem, MacNeice creates a simile between the museum visitor and a beetle that has hurried into the museum space, “warmed and cajoled by the silence” (MacNeice 2007, 29, l. 5). The reader visualises the visitor making his way quietly through the galleries of the museum, and filling his imagination with “the nimbus of other people’s martyrdom” (l. 8) before re-emerging onto the street, his imagination filled with images of “consumptive Keat ses and dying Gauls” (MacNeice 2007, 29, l. 10)). In this poem as in others considered here, MacNeice’s museums offer spaces of relative stillness where one might escape the noise and bustle of the street, the traffic, and the cold. But why, at this time and in this socio-political context, was museal stillness of such importance to MacNeice? By comparing the buildings to classical temples, medieval cathedrals, and Renaissance palaces, Carol Duncan has drawn attention to the ritualistic experience of museum architecture, demonstrating that “a museum is not the neutral and transparent sheltering space that it is often claimed to be” (Duncan 90). Highlighting the role of ritual in museum experience, and ways in which museums forge a sense of citizenship within a public, Duncan’s ideas have special resonance in considering MacNeice’s relationship with these spaces when viewed in the socio-political climate of the 1930s. Collectively, his “museum” poems offer a critique of the institution as a sanctuary from wider political unrest in the context of the Second World War, an interpretation equally relevant to W.H. Auden’s “Musée des Beaux Arts” (Auden 146-147; Coombes 24-26).

By the eve of the Second World War, MacNeice had been frequenting the British Museum, the London Zoo (discussed in his 1938 book, Zoo), and the original British Museum Reading Room. Founded in 1753, the British Museum was, according to Marjorie Caygill, the first national, public and secular museum in the world, which aimed to belong to the nation and to be, at least in theory, open to all “studious and curious persons” (Caygill 3-4). The iron-framed room was designed with scholars, not the general public, in mind. Constructed in 1855-57 and opened in May 1857, the reading room dome also became one of the sights of London, measuring 140 feet in diameter and containing some 1.14 million cubic feet of space—making it comparable only to the Pantheon in terms of size. Additionally, the interior design was innovative, accommodating three hundred readers at radially set tables, each reader being made physically comfortable with warming pipes for their feet and air vents in each table (Wilson 118). On the eve of the Second World War, MacNeice describes the reading room as a privileged space in which he and his fellow writers could take refuge. In the context of the 1930s to the 1950s or
1960s, this may well have been the case for the reading room, in contrast to the contested culture of noise present in the ex-library today (Sim 51). MacNeice recalled: “The summer of 1939 was a steady delirium, the caterpillar wheels of enormous tractors rearing on every horizon. As individuals there was nothing we could do—just mark time or kill it. [...] The British Museum became a sort of club for us. [...] The colonnade of the British Museum is the quintessence of peace” (MacNeice 1965, 208-209). These reflections on the domed haven for writers and the displaced inspired a July 1939 poem called, precisely, “The British Museum Reading Room”:

Under the hive-like dome the stooping haunted readers
Go up and down the alleys, tap the cells of knowledge—
Honey and wax, the accumulation of years—
Some on commission, some for the love of learning,
Some because they have nothing better to do
Or because they hope these walls of books will deaden
The drumming of the demon in their ears.
Cranks, hacks, poverty-stricken scholars,
In pince-nez, period hats or romantic beards
And cherishing their hobby or their doom
Some are too much alive and some are asleep
Hanging like bats in a world of inverted values,
Folded up in themselves in a world which is safe and silent:
This is the British Museum Reading Room.
(MacNeice 2007, 690 l. 1-14)

Clearly, for MacNeice the Reading Room played a similar role to the museum in his personal and societal world. Both were sites of intense immobility and refuge and, in the case of the Reading Room, enabled the formation of community among scholars coming from various backgrounds of education and class and all “[h]anging like bats” (MacNeice 2007, 690, l. 12). Some of the lines above could be interpreted as more negative than positive in an alternating language of life and death (“cherishing their hobby or their doom”; “[h]anging like bats”), reinforcing the museum-mausoleum in MacNeice’s writings, and the more portentous aspects of an “intense immobility”. Considered in the context of the encroaching war, it should be noted that this “world which is safe and silent” (MacNeice 2007, 690, l. 13) was shrouded in unease, and that this was a peace which could be quickly shattered. For example, an air raid shelter was constructed in the basement of the museum, to which readers in August 1939 could be evacuated in the event of an attack. In the same month, when war with Germany appeared inevitable, the museum and library arranged with the railway companies to remove material by escorted container vans—a process put in place on 24 August when, over the course of two weeks, more than one hundred tons of books, manuscripts, prints, and drawings were moved to Aberystwyth (Miller 334).

For MacNeice’s part, he spent the remainder of 1939 between Belfast and Dublin, and in February and March 1940, he gave a series of lectures in the United States of America, after which he taught for a time at Cornell University while pursuing a temporary relationship with the American writer Eleanor Clark (MacNeice 1965, 222). In the USA MacNeice also suffered acute appendicitis, convalescing at Portsmouth Hospital in New Hampshire following his operation (MacNeice 1965, 23-35). Yet he records this period of his career in letters and memoirs as exceptionally happy and productive, as though he were on the brink of a new phase in his work. Most significantly, he wrote two gallery
poems engaging with the theme of intense immobility at this time: “Picture Galleries” (MacNeice 2007, 760-761) and “The National Gallery” (MacNeice 2007, 258).

MacNeice’s Museal Journey in “Picture Galleries”

12 In 1967, Germain Bazin emphasised the original religious and contemplative context of the museum visit. It is, in his terms, “[a] temple where Time seems suspended, the museum procures for today’s man those momentary cultural epiphanies in which, since Gide, he has delighted” (Bazin 7). Written in the spirit of a Bazinian epiphany, MacNeice’s 1940s poem “Picture Galleries” speaks to definitions of “musing” in a museum—from the Old French *muser*, meaning “pondering” or “loitering”, “reflecting in silence”, “being mentally abstracted or absorbed in thought”, and “looking or gazing in contemplation” (Chambers Dictionary 991). “Musing”, in “Picture Galleries,” is understood as a temporal process related to “strolling”, through which the poet has the sensation of a muffled stillness in the form of a suspension of time:

> Strolling, guidebook in hand, along the varnished parque
> We meet the calm of opium in the long galleries,
> An under-water dream, a closed
> World whose people live in frames, the flames of their self-centred
> Affections frozen, the bread and fruit on their tables fossil,
> A curfew—once for all—imposed
> Upon their might-be wanderings; their might-be applications
> For resurrection in advance refused.

(MacNeice 2007, 760, l. 1-8)

13 “Picture Galleries” is the poem in which MacNeice engages most strongly with the tradition of *ekphrasis* associated with Auden’s “Musée des Beaux Arts”. In MacNeice’s poem, the museum is experienced as an “under-water dream, a closed / World” and the people the poet sees there “live in frames [...] / Affections frozen”. For this poet, picture galleries are, like museums and the British Museum Reading Room, a site of intense refuge, and in “Picture Galleries”, the poet’s visit induces a sense of calm and escapism from everyday life: “the calm of opium in the long galleries, / An under-water dream” (MacNeice 2007, 760, l. 2).

14 This appearance of time suspended (to characterise “dreamtime”) in the poem reminds us of descriptions of *ekphrasis* by Wendy Steiner as a “pregnant moment”, after Gotthold Ephraim Lessing (Steiner 41-48). It also brings to mind Rhoda L. Flaxman’s and Viola Hopkins’s concepts of a “framing device” located within the text (Flaxman 1-5; Hopkins 561). In the words of Murray Krieger, *ekphrasis* oscillates between a desire for “the spatial fix” and the “freedom of the temporal flow” (10). We see this throughout the first stanza of “Picture Galleries”: still-life subjects (“the bread and fruit on their tables”) become fossilized with a “curfew [...] imposed”. The potential for movement (“might-be wanderings [...] might-be applications,”) is left frustrated, because these objects are ultimately denied the possibility of resurrection. In this instance, the poem is apprehended both temporally, from one line to the next, and spatially, as the rhyming system that creates echoes between line endings. The use of four stanzas balanced by a regular rhyming scheme also gives an illusion of control or freeze-framing, but the poem demonstrates the narrative impulse characteristic of *ekphrasis*, as the poet is impelled to animate the image through narrative and rhythm. Indeed it is this rhythm that at once draws MacNeice to prolonged viewing of art works, and torments him because of it:
Yet were violent monsters, whom the retiring ocean
Left embedded in sandstone: Michelangelo’s tortured
Urge to God, Greco’s fugue of fire,
Goya’s sleight-of-hand that fooled his patrons, Blake’s ingenuous
Usurpation of reality, Daumier watching the bubbles rising
From mouths of the drowned; panic, desire,
Fantasy, joy of the earth—the rhythm lurks in the canvas, sometimes
If we look long, is more than we can bear.

(MacNeice 2007, 760, l. 9-16)

The last line of the second stanza plays out W.J.T. Mitchell’s conclusions about “ekphrasis and the other” (Mitchell 695-719). What Mitchell calls “ekphrastic indifference” occurs as the poet strolls, guidebook in hand, and becomes enveloped with a sense of calm. In this dream-like state, the figures and still lives in the art works appear frozen in the first stanza, and yet through prolonged viewing, they remind the poet that before their current lives, they were “violent monsters” (l. 1). Now they have, in effect, come to life, and the goals of “ekphrastic hope”, of achieving vision, iconicity, or a “still moment” of plastic presence through language, become “ekphrastic fear” that may be “more than we can bear”. The agent for this fear is, interestingly, “rhythm”, lurking in the canvas, reminding us of the role of flux in MacNeice’s poem “Poussin” (K. Brown 23-40). The poem thereby expresses the tension between movement and stasis that is central to this thematic volume. It achieves this through reference to still life, which on investigation is seen to be a trope in a number of poems by MacNeice.

The theme of immobility can be seen perhaps most intensely in the third stanza of “Picture Galleries”, in which he mentions a work held in the collection of the Provincial Museum of Fine Arts in Seville. Carthusians in their Refectory by Zurbarán (the correct title of which is St. Hugo in the Refectory, c. 1655) is a remarkable choice for a favourite painting (MacNeice 1965, 159). As the figure below shows, it is a picture of extreme austerity, depicting seven monks in white, congregated around a table, on which bread, bowls of meat, and jugs are arranged. The work illustrates divine approbation relating to a decision by the monks never to eat meat. The story of the life of St. Bruno records that one Sunday the seven monks were offered meat, and while debating whether to eat it or not, they fell into a deep sleep lasting for six weeks. On their waking, St. Hugo was amazed to find the meat still there, and in the picture, St. Hugo is pictured pointing to meat transforming miraculously under his eyes into ashes. The story concludes that in view of the miracle, the monks decided to continue their abstinence from eating meat. Given the omission of St. Bruno from MacNeice’s references to the painting, he may not have associated the work with its full iconography drawn from the life of the saint. Nevertheless, one might ask why this picture appealed so much to him?

Textual evidence for MacNeice’s interest in this work dates back to 1940, when, during his convalescence in America, he wrote to Eleanor Clark:

I have also been reading in a dipping & splashing kind of way [...] a book about Goya (some facts I need for my prose book) & a book on Toulouse-Lautrec [...] & Nicholas Pevsner on the birth of modern architecture; also have been looking through books of reproductions of Greco & Matisse & Picasso & Zurbaran [sic] & Grosch & Piero Della Francesca (rediscovered one of my favourite pictures—Carthusians in their refectory by Zurbaran [sic], which I saw in Seville); also Walker Evans photographs of America. (MacNeice to E. Clark, 3 Sept. 1940, in Allison 404-405)
Another clue lies in MacNeice’s unfinished autobiography *The Strings are False*, in which the work is mentioned again. There, he recalls his visit to Spain with Blunt, and writes:

> Our new revelation was the painting of Zurbaran [sic]. In the Museum at Seville are two pictures of his which require the word “inevitable”—bread became God but still bread. In one the Virgin Mary in a great blue robe held up like a tent by cherubs is laying her hands in blessing—and it is a blessing you believe in—on the heads of kneeling Carthusians. The other is a picture of a Carthusian refectory (those white robes were a godsend to Zurbaran [sic]), a design mainly of parallels, crockery and loaves on the table as precise as in a still-life by Chardin, and the monks in still-life too; the whole is as clean as an operating chamber where no one will ever operate; a lyrical intense placidity; a haunting matter-of-factness. (MacNeice 1965, 159)

In the context of art history, MacNeice has responded to the intense stillness of the moment captured by Zurbarán, in which the monks are poised for action (eating and drinking), and yet appear to be frozen in time, perhaps reflecting the stoicism and measure of their lives as well as their slumber. The composition of the work reinforces their alterity. The table positions the viewer at a firm remove from the main group of monks, while directing our eye from the left-hand foreground towards the main action of the canvas, which takes place in front of the table where St. Hugo greets a layman. Critic Julián Gállego considers the latter to be a page or a cook, and has written convincingly on Zurbarán’s use of colour. He remarks that the colour white on the monks’ habits is full of subtle ranges of tone, and that this tonality is enlivened further by the sienna suit and blue sleeves of the layman, as well as the leaden grey of St. Hugo’s mozzetta [short cape with a hood] and cassock (Gállego 66-67). He describes the picture on the wall above the group as “like an orgy of colour”, pointing out that it attracts our attention immediately, as do the warm loaves and blue design on the white crockery (Gállego 67).
the wall has been identified as the “Virgin, Child and Saint John the Baptist”, after a Flemish engraving known to Zurbarán (Baticle 248-251).

With regard to iconography, to admire strongly Roman Catholic imagery of a group of Carthusian monks may seem odd for a man brought up in the Protestant Church of (Northern) Ireland, and who for all accounts and purposes did not participate in formal religion as an adult. And yet, MacNeice would have been sensitive to the Catholic belief in transubstantiation (“bread became God but still bread”). Was MacNeice empathizing with Carthusian monks? If so, in what ways? The Carthusian Order was founded by St. Bruno of Cologne, who settled in 1084 with some disciples in the wild and mountainous region near Grenoble, called Chartreuse. The monks led a solitary, silent existence spent for the most part in their individual cells, which could only be left three times a day for Mass and prayers in the church (Zarnecki 101). On reflection, this lifestyle resonates with that of the poet living at a remove from society. It also resonates with MacNeice’s “The British Museum Reading Room”, in which a site of learning and enrichment is shared by a community of largely solitary characters living and working in a “safe and silent” space (MacNeice 2007, 690, l. 13).

In the third stanza of “Picture Galleries”, MacNeice writes that Zurbarán was acting as a “creature of the period” when he painted the monks. In the context of the poem, the reference marks a shift from the subjective to the objective as the poet retreats from his rhythmic agony experienced in stanza 2, to suggest that one can view and understand art works “as history” to “remind us of what we always / Would rather forget—”:

```
Or viewed as history they remind us of what we always
Would rather forget—that what we are or prefer is conditioned
By circumstances, that evil and good
Are relative to ourselves who are creatures of period; seeing
That what, for instance, Zurbaran found in his Carthusians
Serene in white, with rope girdle and hood,
Lautrec discovered in brothel and circus; the answers were even
Even though we today may find them odd.
```

(MacNeice 2007, 760, l. 17-24)

The stanza therefore embodies Blunt’s observations on MacNeice’s “astonishing visual sensibility”, and also Terence Brown’s view on the stoicism of MacNeice’s poetry. In the context of ekphrasis, it also sees the poet keeping the speaker’s relationship with art works in a state of flux or questioning, thus fashioning what Elizabeth Loizeaux has described as “the dynamic interplay of the perceiving, thinking, feeling poet, the work of art and the audience that is characteristic of ekphrasis as a literary genre” (Loizeaux 16).

As the poem progresses into stanzas 3 and 4, MacNeice meditates on the possibility of the gallery visitor being in a crowded space while also feeling very alone. Yet having promised refuge from the world, ultimately the gallery throws the poet back into the world of history, society, and politics. Most significantly, the final stanza of “Picture Galleries” introduces noise for the first time, as MacNeice realises that people have in fact surrounded him during his under-water, museal dream:

```
A curator rings a bell: tourists, connoisseurs and loafers,
School-children with their teachers, hustle for the door, many
Of their faces tired or showing relief
At leaving a silence which was a crowd of voices, the language,
Like that of a paralytic hard to follow; they descend the staircase
Into the open air, a sheaf
```

(MacNeice 2007, 760, l. 17-24)
Of inklings fluttering in their minds, and now even the open
Air is half-articulate and unsafe.

(MacNeice 2007, 760-761, l. 25-32)

24 Near the end of the poem there is therefore a shift from intense introspection and silent refuge toward objective thinking in an audible world, and ultimately toward empathy as the poet imagines the experiences of the school children. He watches them descend the staircase and listens to their collective chatter echoing through the gallery. Speech has broken silence, and it was a silence particular to the space of the museum, “a silence which was a crowd of voices”. In this context, Terence Brown’s observation on MacNeice’s poetry clearly is played out: “Frequently MacNeice’s poetry is meditative, often pondering problems of metaphysics or politics or religion. Often, however, the meditative verse is interrupted by a sudden sense of the vigour of nature, of the reality of the outside world. A meditative, subjective poem will suddenly become celebrative or descriptive of the natural world” (T. Brown 96).

Similar themes are present in MacNeice’s poem “The National Gallery”. As in his poem on the British Museum Reading Room, MacNeice responds to the particular history of the National Gallery of London during the Second World War. Founded in 1824, this gallery found its permanent home on Trafalgar Square in the 1830s, where it was strategically located to provide access to the wealthy, coming by carriage from the west end of the city, and to the working classes, coming by foot from the east end. From its earliest days, the gallery was therefore committed to democratic access for all to both building and collections; and as with the British Museum, careful plans were made for the evacuation of works in time of war. With the bombing of central London imminent, the Board of Trustees made the decision to evacuate the National Gallery collection to Wales, from which it would be shipped to Canada. In June 1940, however, during the Battle of France, Churchill sent a telegram to Kenneth Clark (then director of the Gallery) instructing him to “bury them in caves or in cellars [...] no pictures shall leave these islands”; and so the pictures were put into slate mines in Wales (McGregor 42-43). MacNeice’s poem “The National Gallery” was written in celebration of the return of the pictures to Trafalgar Square in 1945:

The kings who slept in the caves are awake and out,
The pictures are back in the Gallery; Old Masters twirl their cadenzas, whisper and shout,
Hundreds of windows are open again on a vital but changeless world—a day-dream free from doubt.

[...]
So fling wide the windows, this window and that, let the air
Blowing from times unconfined to Then, from places further and fuller than There,
Purge our particular time-bound unloving lives, rekindle a Pentecost in Trafalgar Square.

(MacNeice 2007, 258-259, l. 1-5, 43-47 respectively)

26 Through these encounters with paintings, the musing poet finds himself momentarily lifted out of his perambulation through the gallery. Pictorial characters come to life in his poem in a form of *energeia*, which, if we understand the word in its ancient meaning, describes the way that characters and stories come to life in the mind’s eye of the reader. As elaborated by Liliane Louvel, *energeia* effectively gives the silent artwork the capacity to speak, speaking *in its silence* (Louvel 43, 177, 186-187). MacNeice’s Old Masters vividly come to life in the mind of the poet when they “twirl their cadenzas, / Whisper and shout” (MacNeice 2007, 258, l. 2-3). We can recognise herein the idea that Plutarch
attributed to Simonides in the *Moralia*, and to which Giorgio Agamben draws attention in his discussion of silence, text and the visual image. This is the idea that painting can be comprehended as “silent poetry” (*poiēsis siōpōsa*), and poetry as “painting that speaks” (*zōgraphia lalouso*); (quoted in Agamben 96).

27 The gallery visit has, in this instance, effectively engendered a synaesthesic experience resulting in a cacophony of voices that we find in the poem “Pentecost” (MacNeice 2007, 259, l. 47). Beyond these formal and sense-directed interpretations of this poem, however, MacNeice engages with the didactic function of the museum as an institution. His experience has altered his understanding of the world, signifying the redemptive possibilities for society in times of strife. This conveys the message that pictures can speak in many tongues, and to many people. Entering the museum, visitors expect an uplifting and quasi-religious experience: one that has the potential to alter the way that one sees the world. In the words of Frank Pick in 1938, a museum should be a “storehouse of the imagination [...] A visitor should feel the real value of the National Gallery when he comes out, because he should come out with a new vision not of the past but of the present, and see something fresh about Trafalgar Square” (Pick 95). This is the new vision noted in MacNeice’s “The National Gallery”.

28 To conclude, in his museum and gallery poems, MacNeice fashions himself as a beetle scurrying into the refuge of the museum (“Museums”); as an escapist entering a still and dreamlike state (“Picture Galleries”); or as a member of a community of scholars living at remove from society (“The British Museum Reading Room”). Focusing specifically on his poems about museums and galleries informs our understanding of him as a poet engaged with the museum as the place of intense immobility and working within the *ut pictura poesis* tradition. These concerns are important in ongoing debates about MacNeice’s position in relation to 1930s British and Irish poetry, politics, religion, and philosophy. He was part of the Auden generation of sceptics and stoics, but ultimately MacNeice has been revealed as a poet who believed in the redemptive possibilities of poetic endeavour. Understood in socio-historical context, these ideas resonate not only with what we know of MacNeice’s personal biography, but also with the matrix of historical pressures that he faced between the Wars. On the eve of the Second World War, the British Museum Reading Room provided a silent refuge for writers and vagrants alike, and when he travelled to the USA, MacNeice experienced personal and poetic elevation inspired in significant measure by picture galleries. For him, the museum was at once a temple, a mausoleum, and a sanctuary in which the poet could experience the suspension of time. At times the museal space was experienced as troubling immobility, but it was a suspension out of which one would ultimately emerge regenerated and reaffirmed to face a noisy world.

---
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4. FLM 2/1. MacNeice also wrote: “Anthony and I went in for eclectic reading; it was either stark and realistic or precious and remote and two-dimensional. We read Tolstoy and Dostoievski [sic] and Beckford’s Vathek, Thomas Hardy and Crébillon fils, Blake and Lucretius and books about Blake and Lucretius, [repetition in original], lives of Cézanne and Van Gogh, the three Sitwells, Lord Dunsany’s fairy stories, Edward Lear and T.S. Eliot and Aldous Huxley. Anthony had a flair for bigotry: every day he blackballed another musician; despised Tennyson, Shakespere [sic], the Italian High Renaissance and Praxitiles, was all in favour of the Primitives, of Uccello, of the Byzantine mosaics, of Breugel [sic] and Negro sculpture” (qtd in Stallworthy 180).
5. MacNeice’s relationship with the “Auden generation” of poets is well known (Hynes; Brearton & Longley xii).
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7. For a recent discussion of MacNeice’s love poem for Clark, see Peter McDonald “The Pity of It All”, in Brearton & Longley (1-24). For a discussion of the pivotal nature of MacNeice’s time in the USA, see Johnston 10-11.
8. “Picture Galleries” first appeared in MacNeice’s Poems 1925-1940, 1940. In the editorial notes to MacNeice’s Collected Poems, Peter McDonald indicates that it “was included in Plant and Phantom, between ‘London Rain’ and ‘Trilogy for X’” (MacNeice 2007, 817). McDonald places the poem in Appendix 5, “Uncollected Poems 1932-1963”.
9. This iconography is recorded in Zurbarán (Gállego 248), and is drawn from the second part of the life of St. Bruno (1596, folios 146-149).
10. When the Munich crisis foretold of war, fifty paintings were transported to Bangor, Wales, and all paintings were removed during the ten days preceding the declaration of war on 3 September 1939.
11. One of the first works to return was Charles I on Horseback by Van Dyck (c. 1637-38).
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