Polysèmes

Revue d'études intertextuelles et intermédiaires

14 | 2015

La couleur

Translating Colour: The Case of *Manette Salomon*

Traduire la couleur : le cas de Manette Salomon

Émilie Sitzia

Electronic version

URL: <http://journals.openedition.org/polysemes/450>

DOI: 10.4000/polysemes.450

ISSN: 2496-4212

Publisher

SAIT

Electronic reference

Émilie Sitzia, « Translating Colour: The Case of *Manette Salomon* », *Polysèmes* [Online], 14 | 2015, Online since 18 November 2015, connection on 10 October 2020. URL : <http://journals.openedition.org/polysemes/450> ; DOI : <https://doi.org/10.4000/polysemes.450>

This text was automatically generated on 10 October 2020.

Polysèmes

Translating Colour: The Case of *Manette Salomon*

Traduire la couleur : le cas de Manette Salomon

Émilie Sitzia

- 1 When the Goncourt brothers' art novel *Manette Salomon* was first published in 1867, Alphonse Duchesne, critic for *Le Figaro*, commented : "Votre livre n'est pas une série de chapitres, mais bien une galerie de tableaux. Telle de vos pages est un Delacroix, telle autre un Decamps. Vous avez des Géricault et vous avez des Meissonier" (Goncourt 1996, 557).¹
- 2 The Goncourt brothers had a genuine affinity for the visual arts. They cultivated close friendships with artists such as draughtsman and watercolourist Paul Gavarni, they were avid art collectors and were also amateur artists themselves. Even though Jules de Goncourt received no formal artistic education, he practiced watercolour and etching and produced some memorable works such as *The Bal Mabille*.² The Goncourt brothers wrote extensively about a wide range of artistic subjects: for example historical accounts of eighteenth-century French art, an introduction to Japanese art as well as contemporary art criticism. They developed a rich literary palette and a very personal form of pictorial writing: "l'écriture artiste".³
- 3 If we consider pictorial writing as an intersemiotic translation (Jakobson 79), that is, a translation from one sign system to another, the central problem of pictorial writing is to find the most satisfactory equivalence between the visual and literary codes. Colour, because of its intrinsically visual nature, is one of the Goncourt brothers' main challenges in this translation process. We propose to explore here the Goncourts' "écriture artiste" in their art novel *Manette Salomon* and to see why and how they translate colour and how colours are used in their text. Are colours for the Goncourts gratuitous or do they produce meaning? Are they expressive colours? Are colours connected to nature or at odds with it, are they a complement to literary "realist illusion" (Mitterrand 1994, 15). We will first analyse how the Goncourt brothers use colour as a visible trace of the integration of painterly processes in their writing practice. We will then consider how their use of expressive colour constitutes a move

away from realism towards literary and visual modernism. We will then see how they translate colours using synaesthesia in their writing to convey, evoke, replace or enhance sight with other senses.⁴

Colours as marks of the painterly influences on the writing process

- 4 Edmond de Goncourt noted in his *Journal*: “Je voudrais trouver des touches de phrases semblables à des touches de peintre dans une esquisse: des effleurements et des caresses et, pour ainsi dire, des glacis de la chose écrite qui échapperaient à la lourde, massive, bêtasse syntaxe des corrects grammairiens” (Goncourt 1989, II, 932). This quest for a perfect pictorialist writing was at the heart of the Goncourts’ writing practice. They used colour in different ways to mark the painterly influences on their “écriture artiste”: their choices of colour juxtapositions were visually motivated; they also used colour as a compositional device to structure ekphrasis; and finally the materiality of colour was used to create textural variations.
- 5 Discussions on colour juxtaposition, contrast and complementarity were essential to the development of nineteenth-century French painting. The debate opposing the inherent colour of an object—colour as substance—to secondary colour (transformed by context and lighting) was particularly important in this context (Gage 1993, 192). At that time, artists such as the Barbizon painters, whom the Goncourt brothers highly regarded, were exploring light effects in nature and showing how the character and colours of a landscape were entirely transformed by changes in context and lighting. Michel Eugène Chevreul’s theories, particularly those relating to the juxtaposition of contrasting colours were also popular (Bomford 1990, 79-80). At the time, the issue of the perception of colour and its transferability into painting was at the heart of artistic practice as well as critical and scientific debates. Interestingly, when the Goncourts composed their descriptions, they seemed to use such visual strategies. For example they describe the city of Adramiti as “[...] une ville où tout est rose, bleu clair, cendre verte, lilas tendre” (Goncourt 1996, 122-123). The juxtaposition of pink and baby blue and ash green and tender lilac follows Chevreul’s theories. These colours are approximately opposed on the colour wheel and, if it were a painting, the juxtaposition of the colours would lead them to vibrate and come to life. When composing their text the Goncourts applied the colour rules dominating the artistic scene at the time. The colours here are a mark of the influence of painting on their writing.
- 6 Furthermore, in their ekphrases the Goncourts used language referring to colour to compose their imaginary painting, to add layers after layers of paint: “Sa seconde toile faisait voir une vue d’Adramiti. D’une touche fraîche et légère, avec des tons de fleurs, la palette d’un vrai bouquet, Coriolis avait jeté sur la toile le riant éblouissement de ce morceau de ciel tout bleu, de ces baroques maisons blanches, de ces galeries vertes, rouges, de ces costumes éclatants, de ces flaques d’eau où semble croupir de l’azur noyé” (Goncourt 1996, 237). The Goncourt brothers start by laying out their colours on the palette, focusing the attention of their reader on the painterly process. Their imaginary painter is then described painting the background of blue sky, the white houses in the foreground, then adding here and there highlights of colours to play with the contrasts. By describing the painting in this way, and using colours as a compositional device, the Goncourt brothers mimic the process of painting. They

simultaneously involve the viewer in the creative process of their imaginary character and anchor their “écriture artiste” as a pictorial writing practice.

- 7 The Goncourts also used the material aspect of colour, the texture of paint. In the mid-nineteenth-century, painters such as Théodore Rousseau or Narcisse-Virgile Díaz de la Peña used the materiality of paint to create new effects. The use of the materiality of paint contrasted drastically with the slick application of paint at the Academy. To the Goncourts, a painting’s merit didn’t lie in the narrative it presented, but purely in its sensuous qualities. To them, art was meant for the eyes as a “[...] récréation du nerf optique” (Goncourt 1980, 206). When they describe the painting *Café Turc*, colours are merged with textural qualities:

En revenant au souvenir de ce Café turc dont il s’était empli les yeux à l’exposition pendant une demi-heure, il rappela à Chassagnol cette bande de ciel ouaté de blanc, martelé d’azur, sur lequel semblait trembler un tulle rose ; ces petits arbres buissonneux, pareils à des massifs de rosiers sauvages, le cône des ifs, des cyprès noirs percés de jours, cette rondeur d’une coupole, la ligne des terrasses, ce rayon vibrant sur des plâtres tachés du velours des mousses, ces murs ayant des tons de peau de serpent séchée et comme des écailles de reptile, ce craquelé de la muraille chatoyant sous les traînées du pinceau, l’égrenage du ton, l’émail de la pâte, les gouttelettes de couleur huileuse, les tons coulant en larmes de bougie [...]. (Goncourt 1996, 401)

- 8 Their description starts with colours associated with notions of materiality. Words such as “ouaté”, “martelé” and “tulle” evoke specific textures and the way in which each colour catches the light. John Gage has shown that for some artists the articulation of the variation of colours relies on “very subtle textural variations” (Gage 1995, 185). In the rest of the description colours are even replaced by texture. The materiality of paint when it creates moss, snakeskin, cracks, paste, oily drops and candle tears evokes strong textural references and influences how the colour is perceived. The reader is invited to transgress the visual world to touch colours and contemplate how a similar tone with diverse textures creates visual variations. The Goncourts’ interest in the materiality of colour was shared with late-nineteenth and early-twentieth century painters. The Goncourt brothers’ use of colour—juxtaposing contrasting colours, using colours as a composition device and emphasising the textural dimension of colour—is a tangible mark of painterly influences on their writing process.

Using expressive colour: towards modernism

- 9 The Goncourt brothers’ interest in the materiality of paintings, their fight against academic beauty and literary painting, as well as their choice of modern landscape as the achievement of modern art were a major step towards the establishment of modern art (Vouilloux 1997, 27-28). Colours in the Goncourts’ texts were never gratuitous, and regardless of whether or not they referred to reality, they contributed to the production of meaning. The Goncourts often used colours to complete their descriptions and to transcend the literary codes to stimulate the reader into creating a mental image that invoked, for example, a specific mood or rhythm.
- 10 The Goncourts were known to use colours to qualify the literary production of their friend Gustave Flaubert: *Salammbô* was “[...] la grosse couleur presque l’enluminure” (Goncourt 1989, I, 692) whereas *Mme Bovary* was grey. Colours to them were closely associated with mood and they were used to convey this in the art novel. A letter from

the fictional painter Coriolis to his friend Anatole from Adramiti reads : “Figure-toi que ton ami habite une ville où tout est rose, bleu clair, cendre verte, lilas tendre... Rien que des couleurs gaies qui font : pif ! paf ! dans les yeux dès qu’il y a un peu de soleil. [...] Enfin, c’est éblouissant ! Et je me fais l’effet d’être logé dans la vitrine des pierres précieuses au musée de minéralogie” (Goncourt 1996, 122-123). The reference to colours coupled with the exclamations “pif ! paf ! dans les yeux” conveys the excitement of the painter, whose happiness is linked directly to the light and joyful pastel colours described. With colours, the Goncourt brothers created a sort of non-discursive symbolism that enriched their poetic prose.

- 11 Liliane Louvel has shown that tones, rhythm and speed are essential tools in the translation from the visual realm to the literary realm and that equivalences could be found there (Louvel 2002, 12). The Goncourts also used colour as a rhythmical device to mark the passing of time. While Coriolis looks at a Japanese album, “[...] il se perdait dans cet azur où se noyaient les floraisons roses des arbres, dans cet émail bleu sertissant les fleurs de neige des pêcheurs et des amandiers, dans ces grands couchers de soleil cramoisis et d’où partent les rayons d’une roue de sang, dans la splendeur de ces astres écornés par le vol des grues voyageuses” (Goncourt 1996, 261). Instead of focusing on the process of painting they insist here on the particularities of Japanese prints: strong contrasts and bright colours. In each evocation of a different print one coloured contrast succeeds to the next. It is the rhythmical power of colours, the passing from one colour contrast to another that partitions time and creates rhythm.
- 12 The use of colour as expressing emotion, rhythm and speed, rather than purely descriptive colour, goes beyond the realm of the realistic use of colour. It can be interpreted as opening the way to modernism with its focus on rhythm and the abstraction of human feeling. The Goncourts’ definition of painting as “un art matérialiste, vivifiant la forme par la couleur [...]” (Goncourt 1855, 6) and their use of colour in their “écriture artiste” seem to take them towards a modernist interpretation of art rather than anchor them in the Realist tradition.

Synaesthesia

- 13 Even though mechanical colour organs had existed since the eighteenth century, it was not until the beginning of the nineteenth century that the first medical descriptions of synaesthesia appeared. The growing interest in synaesthesia in nineteenth-century Europe can be seen in E.T.A. Hoffmann’s short stories, Charles Baudelaire’s “correspondences” theory or the increasing popularity of colour pianos (Gage 2006, 185-186). With their “écriture artiste” the Goncourt brothers pursued the agenda set by Baudelaire in his poem “Les Correspondances”. In the poem, Baudelaire proposes to seek, as Gage puts it “[...] synesthetic links between perfumes, colours and sounds” (Gage 186). For the Goncourts colour was never an isolated element; rather, it tended to merge with other sensations. In their *Journal* they state that “La peinture [n’est] rien autre chose qu’un chatouillement physique de l’œil [...]” (Goncourt 1989, I, 350). The Goncourts saw painting as a vehicle for physical sensation.
- 14 To translate colours, the Goncourts sometimes used synaesthetic descriptions to try to overcome the issues of equivalence raised by intersemiotic translation. In his study on Théophile Gautier’s pictorial writing techniques, Peter White underlined that : “L’emploi de la référence artistique semble provenir d’une insuffisance fondamentale

du discours romanesque [...]. Il manque à l'écrivain la plastique des formes ; ce sera donc par la comparaison qu'il dépassera les limites de la fiction et nous rendra des effets visuels plus immédiats, et ses textes s'en trouveront enrichis" (White 283-284). But beyond comparisons, the Goncourts sought to convey colours through reconstituted multisensory experiences, synaesthetic experiences.

- 15 In *Manette Salomon* colour is sometimes expressed by smell, with colours not named but evoked. For example when they describe the body of work of imaginary landscape artist Crescent, who is most likely based on Jean-Baptiste-Camille Corot and/or other Barbizon school painters, they replace the visual component by olfactory sensations : "Sa peinture faisait respirer le bois, l'herbe mouillée, la terre des champs crevassée à grosses mottes, la chaleur et, comme dit le paysan, le *touffe* d'une belle journée, la fraîcheur d'une rivière, l'ombre d'un chemin creux : elle avait des parfums, des *fragrances*, des *haleines*" (Goncourt 1996, 361). The painting, which for them is essentially forms and colours on a canvas, is described here solely by its evocative power. Colour is replaced by sensations of temperature, humidity and smell. For instance, the colour green is evoked through the smell of the forest and wet grass, the browns through the breath of the cracked clods in the fields. Landscapes, which are for them "la victoire de l'art moderne" (Goncourt 1855, 18), become the terrain of synaesthetic experiences and colours become part of multisensory correspondences.
- 16 In some other instances the description of colour is complemented by other senses to try and express it in the most precise physical way. For example their description of skin colour is here enriched and even saturated with other sensory feelings:

Ses yeux se perdaient sur cette coloration si riche et si fine, ces passages de ton si doux, si variés, si nuancés, que tant de peintres expriment et croient idéaliser avec un rose banal et plat ; ils embrassaient ces fugitives transparences, ces tendresses et ces tiédeurs de couleurs qui ne sont plus qu'à peine des couleurs, ces imperceptibles apparences d'un bleu, d'un vert presque insensible, ombrant d'une adorable pâleur les diaphanéités laiteuses de la chair, tout ce délicieux je-ne-sais-quoi de l'épiderme de la femme, qu'on dirait fait avec le dessous de l'aile des colombes, l'intérieur des roses blanches, la glauque transparence de l'eau baignant un corps. (Goncourt 1996, 273)
- 17 The indication that pink is not a precise enough equivalent—either pictorial or literary—to skin colour announces their project and underlines the difficulty of the translation of this colour. The authors proceed to convey a whole range of feelings emanating from the colour: a visual feeling with the transparencies, an emotional one with the mention of tenderness and a physical one with the evocation of warmth. They use the technique of the painter himself, layering glazes of subtle colours to convey its nuances: dabs of blue, dabs of green, dabs of milky white. Furthermore, they take the description and associate this colour with other senses. "[...] Le dessous de l'aile des colombes [...]" evokes touch as well as colour; "[...] l'intérieur des roses blanches [...]" makes use of the sense of smell and touch to complement colour and in the final "[...] la glauque transparence de l'eau baignant un corps [...]" the reader associates the colour with a physical sensation: the warm bath, the softness of the water. Without withdrawing the evocation of colour that is present in the wing of the dove, the white rose and the bath water, they enhance it. They saturate the colour with sensation and transcend the visual component of colour to make it physically palpable for the reader. The Goncourt brothers throughout their art novel adopted this strategy to enrich their palette.

- 18 Using synaesthetic means, the Goncourt brothers showed that real colour is not unambiguous and stable in itself; rather, it is tied to a plethora of other sensations and associations. They showed that painting itself is limited in its capacity to convey elusive colours and that literature has indeed the means to try and express subtle nuances of colour through its power of evocation.

Conclusion: the limits of translation: unnameable and unpaintable colour

- 19 In *Manette Salomon* the Goncourt brothers enhanced the sense of sight with other senses. They also used colour to express a mood, mimic the process of painting and as a rhythmical device. Throughout their novel they questioned the limitation of an artistic translation of the world. The Goncourt brothers, even though they were some of the keenest synaesthetic writers of their century, were aware of the limitation of the translation between the world, words and images. They realised that, when words fail, so too do the images, as evidenced by their description of the Bosphorus and its water : “[...] le Bosphore, les îles, la côte de Troie, blanche, avec des éclats de carrière de marbre, étincelante dans ce bleu, le bleu du ciel et de la mer mêlés, un bleu pour lequel il n’y a ni mots ni couleur, un bleu qui serait une turquoise translucide, vois-tu cela ?” (Goncourt 1996, 158). Some colours for them reach the limits of what art can express. Paint and words are incapable of rendering this colour and the result of the equivalence is an approximation by comparison; the use of an oxymoron leaves the writer/painter with a feeling of uncertainty as to the success of his evocation. Unnameable colours are of particular interest in the context of the colour-order system prevalent in the late-nineteenth century where one had come to expect a name for every colour (Gage 2006, 141). John Lyons stresses that “among the 180 or so higher-level or more specialised ‘principales couleurs’ listed in *Le Grand Robert*, [...] we find the delightfully evocative *cuisse de nymphe* (a shade of pink, literally translated as ‘colour of a] nymph’s thigh’)” (Lyons 1995, 207). In such a context, the failure of words seems to have been difficult for them to accept.
- 20 However to the Goncourts this was not a problem of equivalence specific to literature. It was not only a problem for intersemiotic translation, it was also a problem if one translated from visual codes to visual codes as this remark by their fictional painter shows : “Seulement il y a un embêtement, – ne le dis pas à ces animaux de critiques, c’est que c’est si beau, si brillant, si éclatant, si au-dessus de ce que nous avons dans nos boîtes à couleur, qu’il vous prend par moments un découragement qui coupe le travail en deux” (Goncourt 1996, 124). The limits to the representation of colour are not specific to writing but shared between all arts. Colour sometimes escapes equivalence even within pictorialist writing and the problem that remains is how approximate the equivalent offered is. Finding the equivalent of a colour in an image or a text was for the Goncourt brothers at the very limit of the creative powers of both the artist and the writer.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Baudelaire, Charles. *Les Fleurs du mal* (1857). Paris : Poulet Malassis et de Broise, 1861.
- Bomford, David *et al.* *Art in the Making: Impressionism*. New Haven & London: Yale UP, 1990.
- Cabanès, Jean-Louis (dir.). *Les Frères Goncourt : art et écriture*. Bordeaux : PUB, 1997.
- Caramaschi, Enzo. *Réalisme et impressionnisme dans l'œuvre des frères Goncourt*. Paris : Nizet, 1971.
- Champeau, Stéphanie. *La Notion d'artiste chez les Goncourt (1852-1870)*. Paris : Honoré Champion, 2000.
- Gage, John. *Colour and Culture*. New York & London: Thames & Hudson, 1993.
- Gage, John. "Colour and Culture". *Colour: Art and Science*. Trevor Lamb and Janine Bourriau (eds.). Cambridge: CUP, 1995.
- Gage, John. *Colour in Art*. New York & London: Thames & Hudson, 2006.
- Goncourt, Jules et Edmond de. *Manette Salomon* (1867). Paris : Gallimard, 1996.
- Goncourt, Jules et Edmond de. *Journal* (1956-1958). Paris : Robert Laffont, 1989.
- Goncourt, Jules et Edmond de. *Arts et artistes* (1967). Jean-Paul Bouillon (ed.). Paris : Hermann, 1997.
- Goncourt, Jules et Edmond de. *L'Art du XVIII^e siècle et autres textes sur l'art*. Jean-Paul Bouillon (ed.). Paris : Hermann, 1997.
- Goncourt, Jules et Edmond de. *Préface et manifestes littéraires*. Hubert Juin (ed.). Genève : Slatkine, 1980.
- Goncourt, Jules et Edmond de. *Les Frères Zemganno*. Paris : G. Charpentier, 1879.
- Goncourt, Jules et Edmond de. *La Peinture à l'exposition de 1855*. Paris : Dentu, 1855.
- Jakobson, Roman. *Essai de linguistique générale*. Paris : Minuit, 1963.
- Louvel, Liliane. *Texte Image : Images à lire, textes à voir*. Rennes : PUR, 2002.
- Lyons, John. "Colour in language". *Colour: Art and Science*. Trevor Lamb and Janine Bourriau (eds.). Cambridge: CUP, 1995.
- Mitterrand, Henri. *L'Illusion Réaliste. De Balzac à Aragon*. Paris : PUF, 1994.
- Ricatte, Robert. *La Création romanesque chez les Goncourt*. Paris : Armand Colin, 1953.
- Vouilloux, Bernard. *La Peinture dans le texte*. Paris : CNRS éditions, 1994.
- Vouilloux, Bernard. *L'Art des Goncourt : une esthétique du style*. Paris : L'Harmattan, 1997.
- White, Peter. « La référence artistique comme procédé littéraire dans quelques romans et contes de Gautier ». *Théophile Gautier : l'art et l'artiste*. Actes du colloque international de Montpellier III (septembre 1982), tome II, 281-309.

NOTES

1. “Your book isn’t a series of chapters but rather an art gallery. One of your pages is a Delacroix, another one a Decamps. You have Gericaults and you have Meissoniers.” All the translations in this article are by the author.
2. Pen, ink and watercolour on paper; located in the Musée Carnavalet, Paris, France.
3. Edmond de Goncourt first used this term in the preface of *Les Frères Zemganno* (1879) to differentiate the Goncourt brothers’ take on realism from Zola’s. “Le Réalisme, pour user du mot bête, du mot drapeau, n’a pas en effet l’unique mission de décrire ce qui est bas, ce qui est répugnant, ce qui pue, il est venu au monde aussi, lui, pour définir dans de l’écriture artiste, ce qui est élevé, ce qui est joli, ce qui sent bon [...]” (Goncourt 1879, VIII).
4. We don’t propose here to discuss the issue of the English translation of French terms. As underlined by John Lyons “not all languages are isomorphic” (Lyons 1995, 200) and the issues of equivalence for colours in diverse languages is beyond the scope of this article.

ABSTRACTS

This article proposes to explore the Goncourt brothers’ “écriture artiste” in their art novel *Manette Salomon* (1867) and to analyse why and how they translate colour and how colours are used in their text. Are colours for the Goncourts gratuitous or do they produce meaning? Are they expressive colours? Are colours connected to nature or at odds with it, are they a complement to literary “realist illusion” (Mitterand 1994). We first analyse how the Goncourt brothers use colour as a visible trace of the integration of painterly processes in their writing practice. We then consider how their use of expressive colour constitutes a move away from realism towards literary and visual modernism. We then see how they translate colours using synaesthesia in their writing to convey, evoke, replace or enhance sight with other senses.

Nous nous proposons dans cet article d’explorer l’écriture artiste des frères Goncourt dans leur roman d’art *Manette Salomon* (1867) et d’analyser pourquoi et comment ils traduisent la couleur et comment les couleurs sont utilisées dans leur texte. Les couleurs sont-elles pour les Goncourt gratuites ou produisent-elles un sens ? Sont-elles expressives ? Les couleurs sont-elles liées à la nature ou en opposition avec celle-ci, sont-elles un complément à « l’illusion réaliste » littéraire (Mitterand 1994). Nous analyserons tout d’abord comment les Goncourt utilisent la couleur en tant que trace visible de l’intégration des processus picturaux dans leur mode d’écriture. Nous considérerons ensuite comment leur utilisation de la couleur expressive constitue un mouvement au-delà du réalisme vers le modernisme littéraire et visuel. Enfin nous verrons comment ils traduisent la couleur en utilisant dans leur écriture la synesthésie pour communiquer, évoquer, remplacer ou enrichir la vue au moyen des autres sens.

INDEX

Keywords: écriture artiste, intersemiotic translation, colour, synaesthesia

Mots-clés: écriture artiste, traduction intersémiotique, couleur, synesthésie

oeuvre citée Manette Salomon

AUTHORS

ÉMILIE SITZIA

Émilie Sitzia is an associate professor in the Department of Arts and Literature at the University of Maastricht in the Netherlands. Her research interests are the relationships between art and literature, interdisciplinary methodologies as well as museology. She recently published *Art in Literature, Literature in Art in 19th century France* (Cambridge Scholars Publishing, 2012). She published a range of articles on art and literature such as “De Manet à Moreau : l'évolution artistique des tableaux de Claude Lantier dans L'Œuvre” (in *Textimage*, 2011) or ““Where is the Prince?” Unlocking Doré’s Illustration of Perrault’s Cinderella” (in *Relief*, 2010).